

**Department of Energy (DOE)
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)**

FY21 Advanced Manufacturing Office Multi-Topic FOA

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0002553

FOA Type: Modification 0002

CFDA Number: 81.086

FOA Issue Date:	07/29/2021
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	09/10/2021 5:00pm ET
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	11/16/2021 5:00pm ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	01/10/2022 5:00pm ET
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	03/04/2022
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations:	March 2022 - May 2022

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00pm ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov>, EERE’s online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the selection.

Modifications

All modifications to the Funding Opportunity Announcement are **[HIGHLIGHTED]** in the body of the FOA.

Mod. No.	Date	Description of Modification
0001	10/13/2021	On the cover page (page i), extend the Submission Deadline for Full Applications from November 5, 2021 5PM ET to November 16, 5PM ET due to the delays incurred during the Concept Paper review. Also change the Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments to December 17, 2021 at 5PM ET.
0002	01/05/2022	On the cover page (page i), extend the Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments to 01/10/2022 at 5PM ET; extend the Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications to 03/04/2022; and change the Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations to March 2022 – May 2022.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	i
I. Funding Opportunity Description	5
A. Background and Context	5
i. Background and Purpose.....	5
ii. Technology Space and Strategic Goals	7
iii. Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion.....	7
B. Topic Areas.....	10
C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest.....	29
D. Authorizing Statutes.....	29
II. Award Information	29
A. Award Overview	29
i. Estimated Funding.....	29
ii. Period of Performance	30
iii. New Applications Only	31
B. EERE Funding Agreements	31
i. Cooperative Agreements.....	31
ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)	31
III. Eligibility Information	31
A. Eligible Applicants	32
i. Eligible Applicants for Topic 1a.....	32
ii. Eligible Applicants for All Other Topics.....	33
a. Individuals.....	33
b. Domestic Entities.....	33
c. Foreign Entities (applicable to all Topics/Sub-Topics)	34
d. Incorporated Consortia	34
e. Unincorporated Consortia	35
B. Cost Sharing.....	35
i. Legal Responsibility	35
ii. Cost Share Allocation.....	36
iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability.....	36
iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	37
v. Cost Share Verification	37
vi. Cost Share Payment.....	37
C. Compliance Criteria	38
i. Compliance Criteria	38
D. Responsiveness Criteria.....	39
E. Other Eligibility Requirements	39
i. Requirements for DOE/National Nuclear Security Agency (NNSA) Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) Listed as the applicant.....	39
ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient.....	39
F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review	40
G. Questions Regarding Eligibility.....	41
IV. Application and Submission Information	41
A. Application Process	41

i.	Additional Information on EERE Exchange	42
B.	Application Forms	43
C.	Content and Form of the Concept Paper	43
i.	Concept Paper Content Requirements.....	43
D.	Content and Form of the Full Application	45
i.	Full Application Content Requirements.....	45
ii.	Technical Volume	46
iii.	Resumes	50
iv.	Letters of Commitment	50
v.	Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)	51
vi.	SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	51
vii.	Budget Justification Workbook	51
viii.	Summary/Abstract for Public Release	51
ix.	Summary Slide	52
x.	Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)	52
xi.	Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)	52
xii.	Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)	53
xiii.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)	53
xiv.	Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Foreign Work (if applicable)	53
xv.	U.S. Manufacturing Commitments	54
xvi.	Data Management Plan (DMP).....	55
xvii.	Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan.....	56
E.	Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	57
F.	Post Selection Information Requests	58
G.	Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)	58
H.	Submission Dates and Times	58
I.	Intergovernmental Review.....	59
J.	Funding Restrictions	59
i.	Allowable Costs	59
ii.	Pre-Award Costs	59
iii.	Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver).....	60
iv.	Construction	61
v.	Foreign Travel	61
vi.	Equipment and Supplies	61
vii.	Domestic Preference – Infrastructure Projects	61
viii.	Lobbying	62
ix.	Risk Assessment.....	62
x.	Invoice Review and Approval	62
xi.	Prohibition related to Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Programs	63
V.	Application Review Information	64
A.	Technical Review Criteria	64
i.	Concept Papers.....	64
ii.	Full Applications	65
iii.	Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments	67
B.	Standards for Application Evaluation	67
C.	Other Selection Factors	67
i.	Program Policy Factors	67
D.	Evaluation and Selection Process	68
i.	Overview.....	68
ii.	Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters.....	68
iii.	Selection	68

Questions about this FOA? Email AMOMultitopicFOA@ee.doe.gov. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

E.	Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates	68
VI.	Award Administration Information.....	68
A.	Award Notices	69
i.	Ineligible Submissions.....	69
ii.	Concept Paper Notifications.....	69
iii.	Full Application Notifications.....	69
iv.	Successful Applicants.....	69
v.	Alternate Selection Determinations	70
vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants	70
B.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	70
i.	Registration Requirements.....	70
ii.	Award Administrative Requirements	71
iii.	Foreign National Access.....	72
iv.	Subaward and Executive Reporting.....	72
v.	National Policy Requirements	72
vi.	Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	72
vii.	Applicant Representations and Certifications	73
viii.	Statement of Federal Stewardship	74
ix.	Statement of Substantial Involvement.....	75
x.	Subject Invention Utilization Reporting.....	75
xi.	Intellectual Property Provisions	75
xii.	Reporting	75
xiii.	Go/No-Go Review.....	76
xiv.	Conference Spending.....	76
xv.	Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements	77
xvi.	Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty	77
xvii.	Table of Personnel.....	77
xviii.	Pending and Current Sources of Support	77
VII.	Questions/Agency Contacts.....	78
VIII.	Other Information.....	79
A.	FOA Modifications.....	79
B.	Government Right to Reject or Negotiate.....	79
C.	Commitment of Public Funds	79
D.	Treatment of Application Information	79
E.	Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel	80
F.	Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities	81
G.	Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability	81
H.	Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure	81
I.	Retention of Submissions.....	81
J.	Title to Subject Inventions.....	81
K.	Government Rights in Subject Inventions.....	82
L.	Rights in Technical Data	83
M.	Copyright.....	84
N.	Export Control	84
O.	Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	84
P.	Annual Independent Audits	85
	Appendix A – Cost Share Information	86
	Appendix B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage	91

Appendix C – Waiver Requests and Approval Processes: 1. Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient; and 2. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver) 93

Appendix D – Glossary 95

Appendix E – Definition of Technology Readiness Levels..... 97

Appendix F – List of Acronyms..... 98

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Background and Context

i. Background and Purpose

To drive manufacturing innovation, spur job creation, and enhance manufacturing competitiveness, the Advanced Manufacturing Office (AMO) supports applied research, development, and demonstration in crosscutting, platform technologies to decarbonize the industrial sector and promote the development and growth of a resilient manufacturing sector for multiple emerging clean energy fields.

Building a clean energy and equitable economy and addressing the climate crisis is a top priority of the Biden Administration. This Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) will advance the Biden Administration’s goal to achieve carbon pollution-free electricity by 2035 and to “deliver an equitable, clean energy future, and put the United States on a path to achieve net-zero emissions, economy-wide, by no later than 2050”¹ to the benefit of all Americans. The Department of Energy is committed to pushing the frontiers of science and engineering, catalyzing clean energy jobs through research, development, demonstration, and deployment (RDD&D), and ensuring environmental justice and inclusion of disadvantaged communities.

The research and development (R&D) activities to be funded under this FOA will support the government-wide approach to the climate crisis by driving the innovation that can lead to the deployment of clean energy technologies, which are critical for climate protection. This FOA will support activities to advance efficiency improvements and enhance manufacturing competitiveness through technological innovation by focusing on three main areas: (1) manufacturing process innovation; (2) advanced materials manufacturing; and (3) energy storage. The FOA integrates several identified research opportunities across AMO into a single funding opportunity and is intended to fund high-impact, applied research and development projects. Efficiency improvements in manufacturing not only benefit the industrial sector by enabling decarbonization, but can also impact the energy efficiency and carbon intensity of products used throughout the economy.

For reference, the United States (U.S.) manufacturing sector uses 25% of the nation’s energy, has an annual energy bill generally exceeding \$125 billion, and accounts for the vast majority of the broader industrial sector's carbon

¹ Executive Order 14008, “Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad,” January 27, 2021.

emissions.² Additionally, in 2019, the U.S. manufacturing sector accounted for 11% of gross domestic product (GDP),³ directly employed 12.8 million people,⁴ and sold products valued at \$5.7 trillion.⁵ In order to produce these goods, U.S. manufacturing firms used over 24.3 quads of total primary energy for all purposes in 2018 (where a “quad” denotes one quadrillion (10¹⁵) British thermal units (Btu)).⁶

And because manufacturing is highly connected with other sectors of the economy, manufacturing activities stimulate economic activity beyond the manufacturing sector itself. Recent reports have indicated that every \$1.00 spent in the U.S. manufacturing sector generates between \$1.33 and \$1.92 in other services and more production^{7,8}—a multiplier higher than that of any other sector. Manufacturing also has a positive effect on overall employment, with manufacturing-related employment ranging from mining to warehousing, as well as engineering, financial, and legal services.⁹ Advanced manufacturing technologies could have an even greater multiplier effect on employment than traditional manufacturing practices.¹⁰ As such, innovation in manufacturing also offers an opportunity to leverage economic growth across the U.S. economy.

The activities under this multiple topic FOA are authorized under § 911 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, which authorizes R&D programs of “advanced

² In Energy Information Administration statistics, the industrial sector includes manufacturing, agriculture and forestry, mining, and construction.

³ “Value Added by Industry as Percentage of Gross Domestic Product (2019).” U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Last revised December 22, 2020. Available at:

<https://apps.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid=150&step=2&isuri=1&categories=gdp&ind>.

⁴ “National Income and Product Accounts Tables – Section 6: Income and Employment History, Table 6.4D: Full-Time and Part-Time Employees by Industry (A).” U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. Last revised July 31, 2020.

Available at: <https://apps.bea.gov/iTable/iTable.cfm?reqid=19&step=2>.

⁵ “Census Bureau Releases 2019 Annual Survey of Manufactures Data.” U.S. Census Bureau. Release Number CP21-TPS.18. Released February 18, 2021. Available at: <https://www.census.gov/newsroom/press-releases/2021/annual-survey-of-manufactures-data.html>.

⁶ Estimated from the Energy Information Administration (EIA) 2018 MECS data and EIA Monthly Energy Review, February 2021. Available online at: <https://www.eia.gov/consumption/manufacturing/data/2018/> and <https://www.eia.gov/totalenergy/data/monthly/>.

⁷ “Manufacturing’s Multiplier Effect is Stronger than Other Sectors” From the Manufacturing Institute, Updated April 2014. Available at: <https://sealectplastics.com/news/manufacturings-multiplier-effect-is-stronger-than-other-sectors/>

⁸ Stephen Gold. “The Competitive Edge: Manufacturing’s Multiplier Effect – It’s Bigger Than You Think,” by Stephen Gold, President and CEO, MAPI, IndustryWeek. Posted September 2, 2014. Available at:

<https://www.industryweek.com/the-economy/article/21963552/the-competitive-edge-manufacturings-multiplier-effect-its-bigger-than-you-think>.

⁹ Thomas Kurfess. “Why Manufacturing Matters.” The American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME). November 2013. Available at: <https://www.asme.org/engineering-topics/articles/manufacturing-processing/whymanufacturing-matters>.

¹⁰ Enrico Moretti. “Local Multipliers.” American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings 100. May 2010. Available at: <https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.100.2.373>.

technologies to improve the energy efficiency, environmental performance, and process efficiency of energy-intensive and waste-intensive industries.” In addition, the FOA relies on the authority of § 3201 of the Energy Act of 2020, which authorizes R&D of transportation energy storage technologies and applications, including vehicle-grid integration; energy storage systems, components, and materials; and R&D of advanced manufacturing technologies that can increase U.S. competitiveness in energy storage manufacturing.

ii. **Technology Space and Strategic Goals**

AMO supports innovative, advanced-manufacturing applied R&D projects that focus on specific, high-impact manufacturing technology and process challenges. AMO invests in foundational, energy-related, advanced-manufacturing processes (where energy costs are a determinant of competitive manufacturing) and broadly applicable platform technologies (the enabling base upon which other systems and applications can be developed). The competitively selected projects from this FOA will focus on developing next-generation manufacturing processes, materials, and information technologies that improve energy efficiency and reduce carbon emissions in energy-intensive and energy-dependent processes, and facilitate the transition of emerging, cost-competitive energy technologies to domestic production.

AMO’s strategic goals supported by this FOA are to:

- Improve the productivity and energy efficiency of U.S. manufacturing
- Reduce lifecycle energy and resource impacts of manufactured goods
- Leverage diverse domestic energy resources in U.S. manufacturing, while strengthening environmental stewardship
- Transition DOE supported innovative technologies and practices into U.S. manufacturing capabilities
- Strengthen and advance the U.S. manufacturing workforce, providing the opportunity for good-paying careers in the manufacturing sector
- Accelerate the deployment of emerging and transformative technologies to achieve net-zero greenhouse gas emissions in the industrial sector by 2050

iii. **Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion**

It is the policy of the Biden Administration that:

[T]he Federal Government should pursue a comprehensive approach to advancing equity¹¹ for all, including people of color and others who have been historically underserved, marginalized, and adversely affected by persistent poverty and inequality. Affirmatively advancing equity, civil rights, racial justice, and equal opportunity is the responsibility of the whole of our Government. Because advancing equity requires a systematic approach to embedding fairness in decision-making processes, executive departments and agencies (agencies) must recognize and work to redress inequities in their policies and programs that serve as barriers to equal opportunity.

By advancing equity across the Federal Government, we can create opportunities for the improvement of communities that have been historically underserved, which benefits everyone.¹²

As part of this whole of government approach, this FOA seeks to encourage the participation of underserved communities¹³ and underrepresented groups. Applicants are highly encouraged to include individuals from groups historically

¹¹ The term “equity” means the consistent and systematic fair, just, and impartial treatment of all individuals, including individuals who belong to underserved communities that have been denied such treatment, such as Black, Latino, and Indigenous and Native American persons, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders and other persons of color; members of religious minorities; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ+) persons; persons with disabilities; persons who live in rural areas; and persons otherwise adversely affected by persistent poverty or inequality.

¹² Executive Order 13985, “Advancing Racial Equity and Support for Underserved Communities Through the Federal Government” (Jan. 20, 2021).

¹³ The term “underserved communities” refers to populations sharing a particular characteristic, as well as geographic communities, that have been systematically denied a full opportunity to participate in aspects of economic, social, and civic life, as exemplified by the list of in the definition of “equity.” E.O. 13985. For purposes of this FOA, as applicable to geographic communities, applicants can refer to economically distressed communities identified by the Internal Revenue Service as Qualified Opportunity Zones; communities identified as disadvantaged or underserved communities by their respective States; communities identified on the Index of Deep Disadvantage referenced at <https://news.umich.edu/new-index-ranks-americas-100-most-disadvantaged-communities/>, and communities that otherwise meet the definition of “underserved communities” stated above.

underrepresented^{14,15} in STEM on their project teams. As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from underrepresented groups in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities (See Section IV.D.vii). The plan should include SMART (Specific, Measurable, Assignable, Realistic and Time-Related) milestones supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions. This plan will be evaluated as part of the technical review process.

Further, Minority Serving Institutions¹⁶, Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or entities located in an underserved community that meet the eligibility requirements (See Section III) are encouraged to apply as the prime applicant or participate on an application as a proposed partner to the prime applicant. The Selection Official may consider the inclusion of these types of entities as part of the selection decision (See Section V.C.i.).

¹⁴ According to the National Science Foundation’s 2019 report titled, “Women, Minorities and Persons with Disabilities in Science and Engineering”, women, persons with disabilities, and underrepresented minority groups—blacks or African Americans, Hispanics or Latinos, and American Indians or Alaska Natives—are vastly underrepresented in the STEM (science, technology, engineering and math) fields that drive the energy sector. That is, their representation in STEM education and STEM employment is smaller than their representation in the U.S. population. <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsf19304/digest/about-this-report> For example, in the U.S., Hispanics, African Americans and American Indians or Alaska Natives make up 24 percent of the overall workforce, yet only account for 9 percent of the country’s science and engineering workforce. DOE seeks to inspire underrepresented Americans to pursue careers in energy and support their advancement into leadership positions. <https://www.energy.gov/articles/introducing-minorities-energy-initiative>

¹⁵ See also. Note that Congress recognized in section 305 of the American Innovation and Competitiveness Act of 2017, Public Law 114-329:

- (1) [I]t is critical to our Nation’s economic leadership and global competitiveness that the United States educate, train, and retain more scientists, engineers, and computer scientists;
- (2) there is currently a disconnect between the availability of and growing demand for STEM-skilled workers;
- (3) historically, underrepresented populations are the largest untapped STEM talent pools in the United States; and
- (4) given the shifting demographic landscape, the United States should encourage full participation of individuals from underrepresented populations in STEM fields.

¹⁶ Minority Serving Institutions (MSIs), including Historically Black Colleges and Universities/Other Minority Institutions) as educational entities recognized by the Office of Civil Rights (OCR), U.S. Department of Education, and identified on the OCR’s Department of Education U.S. accredited postsecondary minorities’ institution list. See <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/edlite-minorityinst.html>.

B. Topic Areas

AMO intends to fund high-impact, early- to mid-stage applied research through this FOA. Proposed requested funding levels and project durations should be commensurate with the work scope necessary to advance the technology to the proposed technology readiness level (TRL). See Appendix E for EERE's definitions of TRLs. In general, efforts should include work scopes between TRL 3 and TRL 6.

All applicants are expected to identify a baseline technology to compare their improvement against and justify why that technology is the appropriate baseline. In addition, the applicant shall provide no less than three leading factors that will impact successful achievement. The applicant shall identify metrics or goals associated with those leading factors such that the achievement of those goals will result in the improvements claimed in the proposal. Examples of potential factors that apply to each Topic area are provided in the descriptions below. These metrics are provided as examples. Applicants should use their knowledge and judgement to identify key factors that apply to their specific technology.

Topic Area 1: Manufacturing Process Innovation

Research for manufacturing process innovation represents a major opportunity space with wide-ranging energy efficiency and economic benefits. Advances in technologies currently used in manufacturing processes, as well as entirely new methods of processing materials can lower energy requirements, which will lower manufacturing energy use and associated costs, and also enable the manufacture of improved materials, technologies, and products.

Topic Area 1a: Efficiency Improvements to Drying Processes

Topic 1a Background: Approximately 7.5 quads of manufacturing energy use annually are related to process heating (70% of all process energy use), with approximately 36% of that energy lost as waste heat, accounting for over 2.5 quads annually.¹⁷ Drying processes account for a significant portion of process heating demand, where thermal dehydration steps can occur multiple times throughout a manufacturing process. Furthermore, the thermal efficiencies for these drying processes range from 20% to 60%,¹⁸ and over 95% of the energy in these drying steps are from direct and indirect fossil fuel use. Drying is often the most energy intensive process in industry, relying on numerous dryer types for many process and end product forms with different drying requirements. In addition, dryer inlet moistures are often driven by product quality requirements,

¹⁷ "Manufacturing Energy and Carbon Footprints (2014 MECS)," available at:

<https://www.energy.gov/eere/amo/manufacturing-energy-and-carbon-footprints-2014-mecs>.

¹⁸ Mujumdar A.S. and Wu Z.H. "Thermal Drying Technologies New Developments and Future R&D Potential; HEFAT2007 5th International Conference on Heat Transfer, Fluid Mechanics and Thermodynamics.

and drying load requirements vary widely. Thermal intensification is a route to reducing energy demand. Advances in technologies currently used for drying, as well as entirely new methods of processing materials, can reduce or eliminate the thermal demand of drying. Additionally, these approaches can lower manufacturing energy use, emissions, and associated costs, as well as enable the manufacture of improved materials, technologies and products.

Topic 1a Opportunity: As a component of process heating, drying represents a significant area of energy utilization. Drying processes consumed an estimated 1,178 trillion Btu of energy in 2010 due to the typically high temperatures (200-700°F) required to remove water and organic compounds.¹⁹As mentioned above, a significant portion of the energy utilized is currently sourced from fossil fuels. Technologies are sought in this subtopic area to increase thermal efficiencies or reduce the amount of process energy required for drying. In addition, as fuels with a lower carbon intensity such as electricity, hydrogen, or biobased fuels become more prevalent and affordable, there is an opportunity to utilize alternative heat and fuel sources that both improve the energy efficiency of the drying process and reduce or eliminate the need for fossil fuel use.

Improving drying processes is widely recognized as an area with a high potential for impact on industrial energy efficiency and productivity. This is reflected in prior government funding in this area, including previous AMO funding in prior FOAs; and through the Rapid Advancement of Process Intensification Development (RAPID) Institute. RAPID has identified drying/de-watering in industrial processes as an area where intensified processes can deliver large benefits in process simplification and energy efficiency. Research by these groups and others have shown that there are a range of technologies, alone or hybridized with other drying processes, that have the potential to deliver large energy efficiency improvements in drying processes.

Topic 1a Technology Focus: Drying is the separation or concentration of a desired product through the removal of water and sometimes other organics or impurities. It is a frequently used process in energy-intensive manufacturing industries, with applications spanning the chemicals, biofuels, pulp and paper, and food processing industries in particular. Current technologies are often thermally driven, which is energy intensive. Because of the temperature range limitations required to preserve product quality, traditional drying processes can also be time intensive, resulting in low throughput and productivity.

¹⁹ Table 6.I.2, "2015 Quadrennial Technology Review (2015 QTR) Technology Assessment 6I: Process Heating," available online at: <http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/06/f32/QTR2015-6I-Process-Heating.pdf>. Based on Chapas, R.B. and Colwell, J.A., "Industrial Technologies Program Research Plan for Energy-Intensive Process Industries," prepared by Pacific Northwest National Laboratory for the U.S. DOE (2007), available from: https://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/pdfs/itp_research_plan.pdf.

Within this subtopic, AMO is seeking applicants that will develop novel drying systems for use in energy-intensive manufacturing applications. These novel systems can utilize a combination of pretreatments, mechanical dewatering, alternative heat and fuel sources, and/or other approaches to optimize energy performance and increase overall thermal efficiency while reducing carbon impacts.

In addition to improved energy efficiency and carbon reduction, the proposed technology should deliver additional benefits such as increased throughput, improved product quality, and inherently safer and more reliable operation. All benefits should be detailed in the application and quantified, if possible. This subtopic would consider validation of promising lab-scale technologies by addressing key scale-up challenges and cost barriers.

Topic 1a Candidate Metrics & Targets: Candidates should target novel drying processes that reduce energy consumption by at least 20% and reduce carbon intensity (ton of carbon dioxide (CO₂)e/kg product) of the process by no less than 25%. Energy and carbon intensity analyses conducted should be included in the application, including a comparison of the current, commercially available state-of-the-art technology with the proposed advancement. Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the TRLs assigned. Successful applicants will be required to have a periodic assessment of their metrics during the award to evaluate potential impacts.

Applicants must clearly explain how the proposed technology will meet the following metrics:

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Reduce energy consumption	kWh/kg (moisture)	20%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce carbon intensity	% carbon intensity change as measured by ton CO ₂ e/kg product	25%	50%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

Additional metrics and critical criteria that will lead to successfully meeting the goals above should also be identified. Applicants must identify and justify appropriate metrics for their technology and clearly indicate how the proposed innovation will satisfy them. Relevant benchmarks/baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets should be included for each metric.

Examples of applicant-identified metrics include the following:

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Increase drying speed/throughput	Time (inlet to outlet moisture) or drying rate	20%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Decrease operating cost	\$/kg water removed	20%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

Topic Area 1b: Advanced Tooling for Lightweight Automotive Components

Topic 1b Background: Machine tools are power-driven machines used to shape or form parts made of metal, plastic, or composites to support both production and prototyping operations through processes such as turning, grinding, milling, stamping, drilling, extrusion, and injection molding. These tools are critical to creating modern manufactured products and impact transportation, aerospace, electronics, energy generation and distribution, and other critical infrastructure sectors. Machine tools provide the factory floor foundation for leveraging advances in robotics, high precision automation, specialty materials, precision components, and additive, subtractive, and hybrid machining. Modern machine tools leverage sophisticated industrial control systems, process parameter monitoring systems, and networked sensors. Many also incorporate advanced materials and precision components, as well as advanced lubricants, bearings, sensors, and coatings.²⁰

One application area of particular interest is the automotive sector, a significant market for tooling. Within the United States, among other relevant sectors, motor vehicle metal stamping (NAICS code 336370) alone generated over \$36 billion worth of product shipments in 2019, while metalworking machinery manufacturing (NAICS 3335, which includes molds, dies, and machine tools) generated nearly \$32 billion worth of product shipments.²¹ Significant efforts are ongoing to both reduce the weight of vehicle components as well as transition powertrains away from traditional petroleum-based fuels. Advances in machine tooling currently used in existing processes, as well as those used in emerging methods of shaping and forming lightweight materials into automotive components, can reduce energy consumption and associated emissions from their manufacture and use. In addition, these methods can lower manufacturing costs,

²⁰ Adapted from “Fiscal Year 2020 Industrial Capabilities Report to Congress.” OSD A&S Industrial Policy. January 2021. Available at: <https://media.defense.gov/2021/Jan/14/2002565311/-1/-1/0/FY20-INDUSTRIAL-CAPABILITIES-REPORT.PDF>.

²¹ 2018-2019 Annual Survey of Manufactures (ASM): Statistics for Industry Groups and Industries. U.S. Census Bureau. February 2021. Available at: <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/econ/asm/2018-2019-asm.html>.

reduce lead times, and increase productivity, as well as enable the manufacture of high quality products for use in transportation applications.

Topic 1b Opportunity: Light duty vehicles accounted for an estimated 15 quadrillion Btu of energy consumption in 2018, representing approximately 15% of national energy use.²² Lightweight material solutions are essential for boosting the fuel economy of modern automobiles while maintaining safety and performance. A 10% reduction in vehicle weight can usually result in a 6%-8% fuel economy improvement, since it takes less energy to accelerate a lighter object than a heavier one. While any vehicle can use lightweight materials, they are especially important for hybrid electric, plug-in hybrid electric, and electric vehicles. Using lightweight materials in these vehicles can offset the weight of power systems such as batteries and electric motors, improving the efficiency and increasing their all-electric range. Alternatively, the increased use of lightweight materials could allow for the use of a smaller and lower cost battery while keeping the all-electric range of plug-in vehicles constant.²³ There is a critical need to develop improved methods to manufacture and use tooling for lightweight materials with reliable performance and durability in both low and high volume vehicle part production. Associated research to advance shaping and forming processes represents a significant opportunity space with wide-ranging energy efficiency and economic benefits.

Topic 1b Technology Focus: This subtopic will accelerate the development of innovative machine tooling solutions for the production of lightweight parts for use in automotive applications to address the evolving market. The development and use of tooling is an important process step in the production of automobiles. A range of technologies, alone or combined with other processes, have the potential to deliver efficiency improvements, including: advancements in mold and die materials and their manufacture; tooling and related equipment for novel forming and shaping approaches, hybridization utilizing existing and novel approaches, or advanced configurations; and advanced sensing and control system solutions.

The technical objectives of this subtopic are to develop innovative machine tooling solutions for the production of lightweight components for use in automotive applications. Approaches must be focused on use in automotive applications, though knowledge gained could be subsequently utilized in other applications.

²² Davis, S. and Boundy, R., "Transportation Energy Data Book: Edition 39," Table 2.8. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. February 2021. Available at: https://tedb.ornl.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/TEDB_Ed_39.pdf#page=63.

²³ Adapted from Lightweight and Propulsion Materials. U.S. Department of Energy, Vehicle Technology Office. Available at: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/vehicles/lightweight-and-propulsion-materials>.

In addition, the technology advancement should deliver equivalent or improved characteristics such as increased productivity or throughput, reduced cost, reduced lead time, improved part quality, reduced scrap or waste, and more reliable operation. All benefits should be detailed in the application and quantified, if possible.

Topic 1b Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applicants must identify and justify appropriate target metrics for their technology, process and/or application, and clearly indicate how the proposed innovation will satisfy the metrics, including a comparison of the current, commercially available state-of-the-art technology with the proposed advancement. Applications should also address the energy savings possible with the new technology in their proposed end use as well as possible cost savings. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the TRLs assigned. Successful applicants will be required to have a periodic assessment of their metrics during the award to evaluate potential impacts. Examples of metrics include the following:

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Reduce energy consumption	Btu/product (production and/or lifecycle)	20%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce carbon intensity	% carbon intensity change as measured by ton CO ₂ e/kg product (production and/or lifecycle)	25%	50%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Increase throughput	Time or rate	10%	20%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Decrease operating cost	\$/product	10%	20%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Improve material performance (e.g., mechanical, physical)	Performance property	15%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce lead time	Weeks	10%	20%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

Topic Area 1c: Sustainable Chemistry Practices in Manufacturing

Topic 1c Background: The chemical industry is an important part of the U.S. economy and was the largest goods-producing export sector for the United States in 2020.²⁴ The United States is the second-largest chemical-producing nation accounting for over 14% of the world's total chemical production.²⁵ More than 96% of the world's manufactured goods are enabled by chemistry including production of food, clean drinking water, medicines, cleaners, personal care products, and other products that contribute to virtually every aspect of modern life.²⁶

The industry is undergoing significant changes as it seeks to address issues related to the lifecycle energy and resource impacts of manufactured goods. The market demand for more sustainable manufacturing practices in the chemical industry for both consumer and commercial products is a new opportunity to create significant value for U.S. manufacturing and maintain U.S. global competitiveness while fostering decarbonization.

Topic 1c Opportunity: Sustainability in the chemical industry plays a critical role in facilitating the continued cycling of resources to transition from linear to circular product and materials use.²⁷ The challenges associated with sustainability in the chemical industry have been the focus of multiple studies including reports by the Government Accountability Office and the National Academies.^{28,29} Common themes in these reports include: reducing energy intensity and related emissions of chemical processes; reducing use of raw materials including water, minerals, or feedstocks; minimizing use of non-renewable resources; reducing hazardous substances used in chemical processes or produced as a byproduct of chemical processes; designing chemical products for recycling or reuse; and accounting for the sustainability of the products' full lifecycle.

²⁴ Exhibit 1, Monthly U.S. International Trade in Goods and Services, December 2020, Supplement; U.S. Census Bureau. Available at: https://www.census.gov/foreign-trade/Press-Release/2020pr/ft900_2012.pdf.

²⁵ The Business of Chemistry by the Numbers, American Chemistry Council, June 2020; <https://www.americanchemistry.com/Business-of-Chemistry-by-the-Numbers/>.

²⁶ Ibid

²⁷ The GC3 Blueprint of Green Chemistry Opportunities for a Circular Economy, Green Chemistry and Commerce Council, February, 2021. Available at: <https://greenchemistryandcommerce.org/documents/gc3-circular-economy-report.pdf>.

²⁸ Technologies to Make Processes and Products More Sustainable, Government Accountability Office, 2018. Available at: <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-18-307>.

²⁹ Sustainability in the Chemical Industry: Grand Challenges and Research Needs, National Research Council, Washington, DC, 2006: The National Academies Press. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.17226/11437>.

While organizations have been established to promote the principles of sustainable or green chemistry^{30,31} and awards programs have been established to promote cleaner and economical chemical design, manufacture, and use,³² opportunities remain to drive commercial adoption of sustainable and green chemistry. At a recent AMO hosted Sustainable Chemistry Roundtable,³³ stakeholders throughout the chemical supply chain identified the R&D needed to advance the incorporation of sustainable chemistry into material manufacturing and industrial practices. The needs were broad across the supply chain due to the diversity of industries dependent on chemical processes. To fully incorporate sustainable chemistry into the manufacturing of chemicals, products, and materials, collaboration across the entire supply chain is needed. R&D efforts should involve suppliers, formulators/fabricators, packagers/fillers, retailers, consumers, and waste management specialists.

Relevant R&D needs to accelerate sustainable chemistry are primarily focused on platform molecules/materials or processes including:

Molecules/Materials:

- Material and feedstock substitution via sustainable raw materials such as recycled, biobased, renewable or industrial waste materials
- Innovation in platform molecules/materials whose chemical pathways and functionalities can be applied across industrial sectors enabled by chemical manufacturing

Processes:

- Development of platform molecule libraries and the derivative chemistries that can be applied across industrial sectors enabled by chemical manufacturing
- Process technologies that allow greater molecular fine tuning or advance fermentation, purification, and extraction processes for selective product formation
- Process technologies including advancing electrochemical manufacturing, increasing process intensification, integrating combined heat and power and carbon capture and utilization into chemical manufacturing

Topic 1c Technology Focus: This subtopic focuses on R&D of platform molecules/materials or processes that can be leveraged across industrial sectors

³⁰ ACS Green Chemistry Institute, <https://www.acs.org/content/acs/en/greenchemistry/about.html>.

³¹ Green Chemistry and Commerce Council, <https://greenchemistryandcommerce.org/>.

³² United States Environmental Protection Agency: Green Chemistry, <https://www.epa.gov/greenchemistry/information-about-green-chemistry-challenge>.

³³ Advanced Manufacturing Office Sustainable Chemistry in Manufacturing Processes Roundtable Summary Report, November 2020. Available at: https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2021/02/f82/2020%20AMO%20Sustainable%20Chemistry%20Roundtable%20Report_FINAL.pdf

that rely on chemical manufacturing processes. Platform molecules/materials are chemical intermediates or materials capable of yielding a range of derivatives that have diverse final applications.^{34,35} Similarly, platform processes can be applied to multiple industrial sectors dependent on chemical manufacturing processes to achieve the goals of sustainable chemistry.

Research under this subtopic will advance molecules/materials or processes that can contribute to the principles of sustainable chemistry and foster decarbonization of the chemical industry. Within this subtopic, AMO is seeking applicants that will advance platform molecules/materials or processes as described below:

- **Molecules/Materials:** Innovation in platform molecules and materials that can be applied broadly across industrial sectors in key chemical functionalities and pathways. These platform materials include, but are not limited to, catalysts, biocatalysts, colorants, recycled carbon, solvents, surfactants, reactants, preservatives, and emulsifiers.
- **Processes:** Innovation in platform processes that increase molecular fine tuning; increase the number of platform molecules and associated chemistries available for sustainable chemistry; and advanced fermentation, purification, and extraction processes that can be used across industrial sectors that rely on chemical manufacturing.

Applications that encourage collaboration and information sharing by creating teams that include multiple stages of the supply chain such as suppliers, formulators/fabricators, packagers/fillers, retailers, consumers, and waste management specialists are preferred. Applicants are encouraged to include technoeconomic and lifecycle analysis to assess the end product's sustainability through the entire supply chain, thereby validating reduced total impact in manufacturing and consumer use phase.

Topic 1c Candidate Metrics and Targets: Applicants should identify the benefits that could be attained from advancing proposed platform molecules/materials or processes with respect to the principles of sustainable chemistry identified above. The platform molecules/materials or processes that utilize sustainable chemistry principles need to demonstrate the ability to be comparable in cost and

³⁴ Developing new platform chemicals: What is required for a new bio-based molecule to become a platform chemical in the bioeconomy?, Faraday Discussions, 202, pg. 213 – 225, 2017. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/314972119_Developing_new_platform_chemicals_what_is_required_to_a_new_biobased_molecule_to_become_a_platform_in_the_bioeconomy.

³⁵ An Overview of Biorefinery Derived Platform Chemicals from a Cellulose and Hemicellulose Biorefinery, Clean Technol Environ Policy, 20, pg. 1615 – 1630, 2018. Available at: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6178844/#:~:text=A%20platform%20chemical%20is%20defined,patform%20chemicals%20\(Werpy%20et%20al](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6178844/#:~:text=A%20platform%20chemical%20is%20defined,patform%20chemicals%20(Werpy%20et%20al).

performance to standard molecules/materials or processes as well as scalable in terms of material availability and scaling operations to reach production capacity. Applicants should also describe how the proposed molecules/materials or processes can be integrated in the supply chain.

Additionally, applicants should identify how the platform molecules/materials or processes will deliver direct lifecycle energy and carbon reduction for industries dependent on chemical manufacturing processes, including a comparison of the current, commercially available state-of-the-art technology.

Applicants must identify and justify appropriate target metrics for their technology and applications, and clearly indicate how the proposed innovation will satisfy them. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. Successful applicants will be required to have a periodic assessment of their metrics during the award to evaluate potential impacts. Example metrics include the following:

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Reduce energy consumption (production or lifecycle)	energy/unit (product mass or functional unit)	20%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce carbon intensity	% carbon intensity change as measured by ton CO ₂ e/unit (product mass or part basis)	25%	50%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce cost	Unit (product mass or part basis) cost vs. state of the art	Cost parity	10%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Increase product performance	Product properties vs. state of the art	Performance parity	10%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

Topic Area 2: Advanced Materials Manufacturing

Advanced materials manufacturing is focused on the development of novel materials with improved properties and improved production processes. The objective is to advance technologies that accelerate the development of new processes to make next generation materials for cost-effective advanced energy technologies. Opportunities include manufacturing processes for advanced industrial materials and advanced materials for reduced life cycle energy impacts.

Topic Area 2a: Materials for Harsh Service Conditions

Topic 2a Background: Harsh service environments (and the associated materials durability challenges) are common across multiple industrial applications and sectors, including the chemical, primary metals, and pulp and paper industries. In manufacturing, high performance materials are subjected to high temperatures and/or mechanical loads often in combination with aggressive chemical environments, along with dust and particulates. For example, heat recovery and low carbon process heating technologies are facing challenging performance requirements related to harsh service conditions. Depending on the application, many different types of materials can be used in harsh environments, including metal alloys, polymers, ceramics and glasses, and composites.

Topic 2a Opportunity: Engineers have long been limited in their ability to innovate by the physical limitations of materials they use. New materials and new materials processing solutions are needed to meet stringent application demands for future products that will provide energy savings, emissions reductions, and other benefits.³⁶

AMO convened a workshop in 2015 to identify research needs in High Temperature and Corrosive Conditions, Mechanical Wear in Rotating and Non-Rotating Machinery, and Radiation & Hydrogen Embrittlement Environments.³⁷ Building on the 2015 workshop, the Department of Energy recently held a virtual workshop on Materials for Harsh Service Conditions on October 27-30, 2020, to gather inputs from stakeholders on: 1) the general vision of future opportunities, technical challenges and barriers for development and scale-up of materials and manufacturing processes, and the R&D needs for step-change improvements of system performance with energy production and energy-intensive technologies where harsh service conditions exist; and, 2) pathways to advance materials and component manufacturing research. The intent was to define critical crosscutting barriers whose solutions represent mid-term (~5 years) commercially viable paths to obtaining materials/manufacturing implementations capable of producing step-change improvements in energy performance beyond state-of-the-art under harsh service conditions. Results from the 2020 workshop³⁸ build upon needs indicating the following areas of materials interest:

- Materials for Thermal Management, Extreme Temperatures, and Energy Conversion

³⁶ See the “Materials for Harsh Service Conditions” Technology Assessment, available at:

<https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/02/f29/QTR2015-6H-Materials-for-Harsh-Service-Conditions.pdf>.

³⁷ Workshop materials and presentations available at: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/amo/downloads/workshop-materials-harsh-service-conditions-november-19-20-2015>.

³⁸ Lalena, J. Nick et al. “Material For Harsh Environments: 2020 Virtual Workshop Summary Report.” Available at: https://inldigitallibrary.inl.gov/sites/sti/sti/Sort_35271.pdf.

-
- Wear, Oxidation, and Corrosion-Resistant Alloys, Components, and Coatings for Static and Rotary Applications
 - Ceramics, Composites, and Functionally Graded Materials for Harsh Environments
 - Enabling Materials through Advanced Manufacturing Technologies
 - Accelerating Qualification of Advanced Materials & Experimental Validation of ModSim Methodology for Materials, Manufacturing, and Performance During Service.

Many of the current barriers and areas of materials interest are being explored in various EERE Office programs as well as other DOE Offices. Currently there is a wide array of advanced techniques to pursue materials that can handle harsh service conditions. Technology and application solutions include bulk material engineering (e.g., alloy development, engineered residual stress, phase change materials, and optimized micro/macrostructures); coatings and lubricants; and sensors for in situ monitoring. The material innovations are being discovered using high performance computing or other advanced techniques. Advanced materials are being manufactured using additive manufacturing, among other techniques.

Topic 2a Technology Focus: This subtopic focuses on new materials and new materials processing solutions to meet the demands of future manufacturing processes to provide energy savings, carbon reduction, and other benefits such as improved durability and reduced costs of materials and components operating in harsh environments, including nuclear environments. Materials that can perform better under harsh service conditions can have improved resistance to corrosion, oxidation, severe mechanical loading, and other factors that can lead to improved energy efficiency and life cycle energy benefits while reducing carbon emissions. The approach is to enable improved and new manufacturing approaches for the production of these materials, by incorporating state-of-the-art as well as new and emerging techniques for integrated computational materials engineering (ICME). New approaches are encouraged, such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) that can leverage the improved fundamental understanding of extreme and complex conditions to improve design of materials manufacturing processes.

Within this subtopic, AMO is seeking applicants that will advance materials for use in harsh environments that also will help enable the decarbonization of materials production. Representative opportunities of interest include :

- Materials that enable the use of low-carbon, alternative electric-based heating methods or production routes for thermal process loads in reduction, melting, and heating process applications.

- Materials that enable significant energy efficiency improvement through the development and use of high temperature heat exchangers. (Waste heat to power and combined heat and power applications are excluded.)
- Materials that enable use of hydrogen or low net carbon fuels in industrial thermal processes. (Carbon capture is excluded.)
- New manufacturing processes for producing aforementioned enabling materials at kilogram scale.
- New approaches, such as AI and ML that can leverage the improved fundamental understanding of extreme and complex conditions to improve design of materials manufacturing processes.

Topic 2a Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applicants must identify and justify appropriate target metrics for their technology, process and/or application, and clearly indicate how the proposed innovation will satisfy the metrics, including a comparison of the current, commercially available state-of-the-art technology with the proposed advancement. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the TRLs assigned. Successful applicants will be required to have a periodic evaluation of their metrics during the award to assess potential impacts. Examples of metrics include the following:

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Reduce energy consumption (production or lifecycle)	energy/unit (product mass or component basis)	15%	25%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce carbon Intensity (production or lifecycle)	% carbon intensity change as measured by ton CO ₂ e/kg product	20%	25%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Improve material performance (e.g., mechanical, physical, corrosion)	Performance property	20%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Increase component lifetime	Time before replacement/failure	25%	40%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce production cost	\$/product mass or component basis	10%	25%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

Topic Area 2b: Development of Aluminum-Cerium (Al-Ce) Alloys and Processing to Enable Increased Energy Efficiency in Aerospace Applications

Topic 2b Background: Aluminum (Al) alloys are widely used in applications that require a lightweight, affordable material that exhibits reliable mechanical performance and good corrosion resistance. These material attributes have resulted in Al alloys being extensively used in aerospace applications. However, the currently available Al alloys are not suitable for some aerospace applications that could benefit from their use. There are microstructure stability issues, material performance limitations, and processing constraints that prevent Al alloys from being utilized in some aerospace applications. Expanding the design space and/or operational envelope of Al alloys to be utilized in additional aerospace applications would increase energy efficiency and reduce associated carbon emissions.

Topic 2b Opportunity: Al alloys are important to the United States (U.S.) transportation industry. In 2020, the U.S. produced over 4,000 thousand metric tons of Al that was used primarily in transportation applications,³⁹ including aerospace applications. Cerium (Ce) is an abundant rare earth element (REE) and underutilized coproduct from the extraction of other REE. Although Al alloys are widely used across multiple industries, and Ce is both readily available and a viable alloy element, the potential benefits of the Al-Ce alloy system have not been fully realized.

Significant advances have been made on the Al-Ce alloy system in recent years.⁴⁰ Al-Ce alloys have exhibited excellent castability and improved strength retention after high temperature exposure, relative to traditional Al alloy systems. Although, there remains a significant portion of alloying compositions and processing routes that have not been fully explored. Many aerospace applications require properties beyond static strength such as acceptable fracture, fatigue, and creep performance.

Topic 2b Technology Focus: This subtopic is focused on developing Al-Ce alloys and processing routes for aerospace applications to facilitate market entry. Applicants should demonstrate energy savings and associated carbon reductions resulting from the project. The energy savings and carbon reductions may result from an increase in processing efficiency, lightweighting, or improved material

³⁹ U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) online publications; <https://pubs.usgs.gov/periodicals/mcs2021/mcs2021-aluminum.pdf>.

⁴⁰ Critical Materials Institute (CMI), Science-enabling diverse value chain product from aluminum-cerium alloys; <https://www.ameslab.gov/cmi/cmi-project-1312-science-enabling-diverse-value-chain-product-from-aluminum-cerium-alloys>.

performance. Relevant processes include operations used in the production of Al-Ce alloys, feedstocks, and product forms.⁴¹ Applicants must clearly identify the targeted process or application in the proposal. The identification of a specific aerospace component is desirable, when applicable to the proposed project. The baseline for the energy savings, carbon reductions, and improved material performance parameter(s) must be clearly identified in the proposal.

Aerospace applications are not limited to commercial passenger aircraft. Applicants should not propose work that is duplicative of existing AMO or other EERE funded efforts; although proposals may expand upon previously funded projects that produced successful/impactful results. Mining, extraction, and reduction are not within the scope of this subtopic.

Subtopic 2b Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applicants must identify and justify appropriate target metrics for their technology, process and/or application, and clearly indicate how the proposed innovation will satisfy the metrics, including a comparison of the current, commercially available state-of-the-art technology with the proposed advancement. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the TRLs assigned. Successful applicants will be required to have a periodic assessment of their metrics during the award to evaluate potential impacts. Examples of metrics include the following:

⁴¹ Feedstock (e.g., powder, consumable wire) is a material used as an input to a production/fabrication process. A product form (e.g., plate, sheet, extrusion, bar, forging, casting) is a material with characterized mechanical or physical properties which is used to make an item/component.

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Reduce energy consumption (production or lifecycle)	energy/unit (product mass or part basis)	10%	20%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce carbon intensity	% carbon intensity change as measured by ton CO ₂ e/kg product	20%	25%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Improve material performance (e.g., mechanical, physical, corrosion)	Performance property	25%	40%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Increase component lifetime	Time before replacement/failure	25%	40%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Increase process throughput	Time or rate	15%	30%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce production cost	\$/component	10%	25%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

Topic Area 3: Energy Systems

The manufacturing sector is becoming more engaged in supporting the energy framework of the nation and making a significant impact. Manufacturing is moving from its traditional role as a major economic engine for the country to one where it additionally actively supports important national energy initiatives such as enhancing the electricity grid’s resilience. In general, Energy Systems is focused on advancing both 1) systems related to energy conversion, utilization, storage, and management within industrial facilities, and 2) production processes of these energy systems to be used in manufacturing and other sectors.

Topic Area 3a: Structured Electrode Manufacturing for Lithium-ion Batteries

Topic 3a Background: Lithium-ion (Li-ion) batteries are critical to the decarbonization of the nation’s transportation infrastructure, as the functioning energy source for electric vehicles (EVs). Li-ion batteries are also a technology solution for grid-supporting energy storage, and help enable decarbonization of the U.S. electric grid infrastructure. Significant advances in battery energy storage technologies have occurred over the past decade, leading to energy density increases and manufacturing cost decreases, enabling battery pack prices to

decrease over 90% since 2010 with the lowest reported price under \$100/kWh in 2020.⁴² The advances in Li-ion battery performance and cost have occurred in parallel with increasing demands for energy storage, with demands for Li-ion batteries for EVs in particular standing out. Annual Li-ion battery deployment is projected to increase roughly eightfold over the next 10 years, reaching nearly 2 TWh of capacity globally.⁴³ However, demand for increased performance and system safety, as well as lower cost and lower environmental footprint, continues to be strong. For example, transportation applications will require additional advances including cost reductions below \$60/kWh of usable energy at the pack level, fast charging capabilities (<15 minutes), and energy densities that will allow increased EV range between recharges, along with other size and safety requirements.⁴⁴

Topic 3a Opportunity: There is an opportunity to enable improvements in Li-ion battery performance, reliability, sustainability, and safety by scaling up and integrating structured electrode manufacturing processes. A major enabler of lowering Li-ion battery manufacturing costs has been developing and optimizing roll-to-roll processes for manufacturing electrodes. With all of the improvements that have occurred in recent years, widely adopted roll-to-roll manufacturing processes have achieved significant volume capacity and process optimization, but these processes impose inherent limitations and barriers to further improving the in-use performance⁴⁵ of Li-ion battery electrodes. The typical roll-to-roll process involves coating and drying steps that produce uniform electrode films of limited thickness. These processes are energy and time intensive and a major source of variability in electrode quality. Furthermore, attempts to use them to increase electrode thickness or geometric complexity – both of which can significantly increase energy density⁴⁶ – have had extremely limited success. Additionally, roll-to-roll manufacturing requires electrode slurries that are compatible with film coating and drying techniques. These slurries often use significant quantities of environmentally toxic solvents to manage the thin film drying process.⁴⁷

⁴² “Battery Pack Prices Cited Below \$100/KWh for the First Time in 2020, While Market Average Sits at \$137/KWh.” BloombergNEF, 14 Dec. 2020. <https://about.bnef.com/blog/battery-pack-prices-cited-below-100-kwh-for-the-first-time-in-2020-while-market-average-sits-at-137-kwh/>.

⁴³ BNEF projection cited in “Energy Storage Grand Challenge: Energy Storage Market Report.” U.S. Department of Energy, December 2020. Available online at: https://www.energy.gov/sites/default/files/2020/12/f81/Energy%20Storage%20Market%20Report%202020_0.pdf

⁴⁴ For additional information and resources regarding vehicle electric charging, see: <https://www.energy.gov/eere/vehicles/batteries-charging-and-electric-vehicles>.

⁴⁵ Performance being determined by characteristics such as energy density, cycle lifetime, and safety.

⁴⁶ D. L. Wood et al., Perspectives on the relationship between materials chemistry and roll-to-roll electrode manufacturing for high-energy lithium-ion batteries, *Energy Storage Materials*, vol 29, 2020, 254-265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2020.04.036>.

⁴⁷ A. Kraytsberg, Y. Ein-Eli, Conveying Advanced Li-ion Battery Materials into Practice: The Impact of Electrode Slurry Preparation Skills, *Adv. Energy Mater.* 6 (2016) 1600655.

Already, other⁴⁸ manufacturing processes are being used in lab settings to produce electrodes with complex physical structures and/or compositions that have superior performance and safety characteristics.⁴⁹ Some of these advancements can be integrated into existing roll-to-roll processes, such as field-assisted deposition or direct ink writing. Other manufacturing processes are replacement steps or involve entirely different manufacturing flows, such as some additive manufacturing processes and dry electrode formation processes using pressure and low-temperature sintering, which lower or eliminate the need for harmful solvents and a drying step. Additive manufacturing methods have been applied not only to electrode manufacturing, but also the production of full cells with complex geometries that achieve higher volumetric capacities and robust performance at higher charging rates.⁵⁰

Innovations relying on these structured electrode manufacturing processes can face a challenging road to market deployment due to the need to scale up and integrate the manufacturing processes into existing battery manufacturing infrastructure. R&D focused on demonstrating increased scale-up, manufacturing reliability, and integration into full cell-manufacturing flows can accelerate the adoption of these structured electrode manufacturing processes and result in faster time-to-market for safer, better performing, lower cost Li-ion batteries.

Topic 3a Technology Focus: This subtopic seeks proposals to improve structured electrode manufacturing processes. Improvements can focus on process reliability, scale-up, or integration with manufacturing processes currently used in industry. Examples of processes that are of interest include relevant additive manufacturing processes such as polymer extrusion or laser powder bed fusion, solvent-free or dry film deposition, UV- or electro-beam-assisted drying/curing, and novel composite deposition technologies such as field-assisted deposition, electrospinning, electrophoretic deposition, cold plasma spraying, photochemical rapid curing, and atomic and molecular layer deposition.

These processes should be appropriately suited for the proposed application. Key application areas for Li-ion batteries include multiple markets within the transportation sector – personal EVs, commercial EVs (including trains), and small-scale aerial vehicles – as well as grid-scale energy storage, but there is opportunity for other applications. Applications should target their project goals to achieve manufacturing performance sufficient to produce battery cells of relevant sizes for the proposed applications. For example: proposals focusing on producing EV batteries should develop processes that will produce cells appropriate for systems

⁴⁸ “Other” in this instance refers to manufacturing processes other than those widely used in industry today.

⁴⁹ W. B. Hawley et al., Electrode manufacturing for lithium-ion batteries—Analysis of current and next generation processing, *Journal of Energy Storage*, vol 25, 2019, 100862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2019.100862>.

⁵⁰ Y. Pang et al, Additive Manufacturing of Batteries, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* (2019), 1906244. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201906244>.

with >50kWh and appropriate size and weight metrics, whereas proposals aimed at producing batteries for grid storage applications should propose work to develop processes to produce cells appropriate for systems with megawatt hour capacities.

Topic 3a Candidate Metrics & Targets: Applicants must identify and justify appropriate target metrics for their technology, process and/or application, and clearly indicate how the proposed innovation will satisfy the metrics, including a comparison of the current, commercially available state-of-the-art technology with the proposed advancement. Metrics should be specific to the proposed technology and must define appropriate benchmarks or baselines, minimum targets, and stretch targets. Applications must include activities that will validate or verify that their improvement to the proposed process or component will translate into quantifiable improved performance at the cell and/or pack level. Verification and validation activities can be analytical or demonstrative. Applications must clearly identify the starting and ending TRL for the project and justify the TRLs assigned. Successful applicants will be required to have a periodic evaluation of their metrics during the award to assess potential impacts. Examples of metrics include the following:

Objective/ Goal	Metric	Minimum	Stretch Target	Baseline Performance
Improve useable energy density at the cell level	Performance property at specified charging rates (e.g., Wh/kg @ 2C, Wh/L @ C/3, etc.)	5%	20%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce production cost	\$ per product mass or component basis	10%	50%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce manufacturing energy consumption	Energy per unit (product mass or component basis)	5%	40%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Reduce material consumption during manufacturing	Mass of material(s) required per unit produced	5%	50%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>
Increase component/cell lifetime	Cycle lifetime (# of deep charge-discharge cycles before failure) and/or Calendar lifetime (# of years before failure)	15%	100%	<i>Applicant Defined</i>

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii. and Appendix C.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D. of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I.A. and I.B. of the FOA.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
- Submissions that describe a technology, but do not propose an adequate R&D plan in the Technical Volume that allows EERE to evaluate the submission under the applicable merit review criteria provided in Section V. of the FOA.
- For Topic 2a: Materials for Harsh Service Conditions, applications that propose materials technology for waste heat to power, combined heat and power, and carbon capture applications will be considered unresponsive.

D. Authorizing Statutes

The activities to be supported under this FOA are authorized under § 911 (a)(2)(C) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005, codified at 42 U.S.C. § 16191(a)(2)(C), and § 3201(b)(2) of the Energy Act of 2020, codified at 42 U.S.C. 17232(b)(2).

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make a total of approximately \$42,300,000 of federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 17 to 30 awards under this FOA. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards. Individual awards may vary between \$500,000 and \$4,000,000 depending on topic area, as outlined in the table below.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following topic areas:

Topic Area Number	Topic Area Title	Anticipated Number of Awards	Anticipated Minimum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Anticipated Maximum Award Size for Any One Individual Award (Fed Share)	Approximate Total Federal Funding Available for All Awards	Anticipated Period of Performance (months)
1a	Efficiency Improvements to Drying Processes	3-5	\$500,000	\$4,000,000	\$9,300,000	12-36
1b	Advanced Tooling for Lightweight Automotive Components	3-5	\$500,000	\$4,000,000	\$10,000,000	12-36
1c	Sustainable Chemistry Practices in Manufacturing	2-3	\$500,000	\$2,500,000	\$5,000,000	12-36
2a	Materials for Harsh Service Conditions	5-8	\$500,000	\$2,000,000	\$10,000,000	12-36
2b	Development of Aluminum-Cerium (Al-Ce) Alloys and Processing to Enable Increased Energy Efficiency in Aerospace Applications	2-5	\$500,000	\$2,500,000	\$5,000,000	12-36
3a	Structured Electrode Manufacturing for Lithium-ion Batteries	2-4	\$500,000	\$1,500,000	\$3,000,000	12-36

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed. Before the expiration of the initial budget period(s), EERE may perform a down-select among different recipients and provide additional funding only to a subset of recipients.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run from 12 months up to 36 months in length, comprised of one or more budget periods. Project continuation will be contingent upon several elements, including satisfactory performance and Go/No-Go decision review. For a complete list, see Section VI.B.xiii. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, the extent milestone objectives are met, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE may, at its discretion, authorize

the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through cooperative agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses cooperative agreements to provide financial and other support to prime recipients.

Through cooperative agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by federal statute. Under cooperative agreements, the government and prime recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via cooperative agreement. See Section VI.B.ix of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDCs)

In most cases, FFRDCs are funded independently of the remainder of the project team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC project team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the prime recipient for the project will remain the prime recipient for the project.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these eligibility requirements, it will be considered ineligible and removed from further evaluation.

A. Eligible Applicants

i. Eligible Applicants for Topic 1a

Consistent with the congressional direction for the fiscal year 2021 appropriations,⁵¹ Topic 1a Efficiency Improvements to Drying Processes is limited to university or industry-led teams. Specifically, only institutions of higher education and industry entities are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient. An industry entity includes non-profit and for-profit entities engaged in processing of raw materials or manufacturing of goods. An industry entity does not include national laboratories, institutions of higher education, or government entities.

The following types of domestic entities are eligible to participate as a subrecipient under Topic 1a of this FOA:

1. Institutions of higher education;
2. For-profit entities;
3. Non-profit entities;
4. DOE National Laboratories;
5. Non-DOE National Laboratories;
6. State, local and tribal governments; and
7. Federal agencies and instrumentalities other than DOE.

To qualify as a domestic entity, the applicant must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular State or territory of the United States with majority domestic ownership or control and have a physical place of business in the United States. For entities seeking waiver to allow foreign entity to participate as a prime recipient or subrecipients, see Section III.A.ii.c. and Appendix C.

Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible for funding under this FOA.

⁵¹ The specific language in the associated Explanatory Statement is as follows: "The agreement provides up to \$10,000,000 for the issuance of a competitive solicitation for university or industry-led teams to improve the efficiency of industrial drying processes and foster new and innovative drying technologies." Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, COMMITTEE PRINT OF THE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS, U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES ON H.R. 133/PUBLIC LAW 116-260, available at <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CREC-2020-12-21/pdf/CREC-2020-12-21-house-bk4.pdf> (page 57 of PDF).

Entities banned from doing business with the U.S. government such as entities debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participating in Federal programs are not eligible.

Entities identified on a Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Binding Operational Directives (BOD) as an entity publicly banned from doing business with the United States government are not eligible. See <https://cyber.dhs.gov/directives/>.

ii. **Eligible Applicants for All Other Topics**

a. **Individuals**

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

b. **Domestic Entities**

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA FFRDCs and National Laboratories are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs and National Laboratories are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a prime recipient.

Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995 are not eligible to apply for funding.

Entities banned from doing business with the U.S. government such as entities debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participating in Federal programs are not eligible.

Entities identified on a Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Binding Operational Directives (BOD) as an entity publicly banned from doing business

with the United States government are not eligible. See <https://cyber.dhs.gov/directives/>.

c. Foreign Entities (applicable to all Topics/Sub-Topics)

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the “Individuals” or “Domestic Entities” sections above, all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a prime recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States to be the prime recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the prime recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the prime recipient on an award). To do so, the applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the prime recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

d. Incorporated Consortia

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a prime recipient or subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States, please refer to “Domestic Entities” above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in “Foreign Entities” above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

e. Unincorporated Consortia

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the prime recipient/consortium representative. The prime recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the prime recipient/consortium representative under Section III.A. of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

B. Cost Sharing

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices A and B to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the prime recipient, the prime recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the prime recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The prime recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the project team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by project team members in subawards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each project team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual project team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i. of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cost share may be provided by the prime recipient, subrecipients, or third parties (entities that do not have a role in performing the scope of work). Vendors/contractors may not provide cost share. Any partial donation of goods or services is considered a discount and is not allowable.

Cash contributions include, but are not limited to: personnel costs, fringe costs, supply and equipment costs, indirect costs and other direct costs.

In-kind contributions are those where a value of the contribution can be readily determined, verified and justified but where no actual cash is transacted in securing the good or service comprising the contribution. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: the donation of volunteer time or the donation of space or use of equipment.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the federal government.

The prime recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., federal grants, equipment owned by the federal government); or

- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate federal program.

Project teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the prime recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same federal regulations as federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 for additional cost sharing requirements.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the federal government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires prime recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the prime recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated). As FFRDC funding will be provided directly to the FFRDC(s) by DOE, prime recipients will be required to provide project cost share at a percentage commensurate with the FFRDC costs, on a budget period basis, resulting in a higher interim invoicing cost share ratio than the total award ratio.

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government’s interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the prime recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the prime recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the prime recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must meet all compliance criteria listed below or they will be considered

noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions, including Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information by the applicable deadline due to server/connection congestion.

i. Compliance Criteria

1. *Concept Papers*

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the “Submit” button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in this FOA.

2. *Full Applications*

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Concept Paper;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D. of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the “Submit” button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

3. *Replies to Reviewer Comments*

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

-
- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.E. of the FOA; and
 - The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All “Applications Specifically Not of Interest,” as described in Section I.C. of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

i. Requirements for DOE/National Nuclear Security Agency (NNSA) Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) Listed as the applicant

A DOE/NNSA FFRDC is eligible to apply for funding under this FOA if its cognizant Contracting Officer provides written authorization and this authorization is submitted with the application.

The following wording is acceptable for the authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.
(end of acceptable authorization)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is selected for award negotiation, the proposed work will be authorized under the DOE work authorization process and performed under the laboratory’s Management and Operating (M&O) contract.

ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity’s application subject to the following guidelines:

1. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

2. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

3. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor through the DOE field work proposal (WP) system and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

4. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's, the subrecipient's, and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

5. Responsibility

The prime recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the prime recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

6. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The scope of work to be performed by the FFRDC may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

An entity may only submit one Concept Paper and one Full Application for each of the six subtopic Areas (i.e. Topic Areas 1a, 1b, 1c, 2a, 2b, 3a) of this FOA. If an entity submits more than one Concept Paper and one Full Application to the same subtopic area, EERE will request a determination from the applicant's authorizing representative as to which application should be reviewed. Any other submissions received listing the same entity as the applicant for the same subtopic area will

not be eligible for further consideration. This limitation does not prohibit an applicant from collaborating on other applications (e.g., as a potential subrecipient or partner) so long as the entity is only listed as the applicant on one Concept Paper and one Full Application for each subtopic area of this FOA.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include two phases: a Concept Paper phase and a Full Application phase. **Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application.**

At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov>, unless specifically stated otherwise. **EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, or incomplete submissions.** EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion.

A **Control Number** will be issued when an applicant begins the EERE Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all application documents, as described below.

The Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise;
- Each must be written in English;
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Calibri typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters,

but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement;

- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page; and
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline.** Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made to any of these documents, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.ii. of the FOA.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The “Apply” and “Submit” buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with EERE Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission PRIOR to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the applicant should contact the EERE Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The EERE Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist applicants in resolving issues.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov> and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

TechnicalVolume_Part_1

TechnicalVolume_Part_2

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the specified due date and time.

i. Concept Paper Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Concept Papers (see Section III of the FOA).

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

The Concept Paper must conform to the following content requirements:

Section	Page Limit	Description
Cover Page Section	1 page maximum	The cover page should include the project title, the specific announcement Topic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Technology Description	3 pages maximum	Applicants are required to describe succinctly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; • The proposed technology's target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met);

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; • How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application; • The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; • The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and • The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project.
Addendum	1 page maximum	<p>Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed Project Team, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; • Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; • Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and • Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities. <p>Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.</p>

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.i. of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. An applicant who receives a “discouraged” notification may still submit a Full Application. EERE will review all eligible Full Applications. However, by discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project in an effort to save the applicant the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant’s Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notification posted on EERE Exchange at the close of that phase.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification on EERE Exchange to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant. Applicants will receive a control number upon clicking the “Create Concept Paper” button in EERE Exchange, and should include that control number in the file name of their Full Application submission (i.e., *Control number_Applicant Name_Full Application*).

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III. of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application. Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Component	File Format	Page Limit
Technical Volume	PDF	25
Resumes	PDF	1 page each
Letters of Commitment	PDF	1 page each
Statement of Project Objectives	MS Word	20
SF-424	PDF	
Budget Justification Workbook	MS Excel	
Summary/Abstract for Public Release	PDF	1
Summary Slide	MS Powerpoint	1
Subrecipient Budget Justification	MS Excel	
DOE Work Proposal for FFRDC, if applicable (see DOE O 412.1A, Attachment 3)	PDF	
Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC	PDF	
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	PDF	
Foreign Entities and Foreign Work	PDF	
U.S. Manufacturing Plan	PDF	
Data Management Plan	MS Word	
Diversity Equity and Inclusion Plan	PDF	5

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

TechnicalVolume_Part_1
TechnicalVolume_Part_2

EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.ii. of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 25 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.ii of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

The Technical Volume should clearly describe and expand upon information provided in the Concept Paper. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content requirements:

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
--------------------	-------------

<p>Cover Page</p>	<p>The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area being addressed, both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.</p>
<p>Project Overview (Approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Project Overview should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. • Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. • DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.
<p>Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (Approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Technical Description should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. • Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results. • Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state-of-the-art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state-of-the-art/technical baseline if the project is successful.
<p>Workplan and Market Transformation Plan (Approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Milestones, Go/No-Go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed SOPO is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on Go/No-Go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period. • WBS and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard WBS for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as “we will then complete a proprietary process” is unacceptable). It is the applicant’s responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks. • Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO. • Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide Go/No-Go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. A Go/No-Go decision point is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to actually beginning the execution of future phases. At a minimum, each project must have at least one project-wide Go/No-Go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of
--	---

	<p>the project. See Section VI.B.xiv. The applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to evaluate the project at the Go/No-Go decision point. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/No-Go decision points are considered “SMART” and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). At a minimum, each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. • Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and Go/No-Go decision points. • Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team’s proposed management plan, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The overall approach to and organization for managing the work ○ The roles of each project team member ○ Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among project team members ○ The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices ○ The approach to project risk management ○ A description of how project changes will be handled ○ If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control ○ How communications will be maintained among project team members • Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan ○ Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, U.S. Manufacturing Plan, and product distribution.
<p>Technical Qualifications and Resources</p>	<p>The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information:</p>

<p>(Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the project team’s unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key subrecipients. • Describe the project team’s existing equipment and facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project. • This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives. • Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project. • Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable. • For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant; ○ Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and Key Participant; ○ How the various efforts will be integrated and managed; ○ Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction; ○ Publication arrangements; ○ Intellectual Property issues; and ○ Communication plans
--	--

iii. Resumes

Applicants are required to submit one-page resumes for key participating team members. Multi-page resumes are not allowed. Save the resumes in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Resumes”.

iv. Letters of Commitment

Submit letters of commitment from all subrecipient and third party cost share providers. If applicable, also include any letters of commitment from partners/end users (one-page maximum per letter). Save the letters of commitment in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_LOCs”.

v. Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO)

Applicants are required to complete a SOPO. A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed 20 pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO".

vi. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms>, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_424".

vii. Budget Justification Workbook

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>. Prime recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the prime recipient and its subrecipients and contractors. Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification".

viii. Summary/Abstract for Public Release

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are

made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary".

ix. Summary Slide

Applicants are required to provide a single MS Powerpoint slide summarizing the proposed project. This slide is used during the evaluation process.

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A technology summary;
- A description of the technology's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, prime recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

Save the Summary Slide in a single Microsoft Powerpoint file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

x. Subrecipient Budget Justification (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification for each subrecipient that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above. Save each subrecipient budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification".

xi. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE WP in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1A, Work Authorization System, Attachment 3, available at: <https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives-documents/400-series/0412.1-BOrder-a-chg1-AdmChg> Save the WP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_WP".

xii. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth".

xiii. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (required)

Prime recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xiv. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Foreign Work (if applicable)**1. Foreign Entity Participation:**

As set forth in Section III.A.i. and Section III.A.ii.c., all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement.

2. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign

purchases of these items. However, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a foreign work waiver request.

Save the Waivers in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver".

xv. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

Pursuant to the DOE Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC) dated September 9, 2013, each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

Each U.S. Manufacturing Plan must include a commitment that any products embodying any subject invention or produced through the use of any subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States, unless the applicant can show to the satisfaction of DOE that it is not commercially feasible to do so (referred to hereinafter as "the U.S. Competitiveness Provision"). The applicant further agrees to make the U.S. Competitiveness Provision binding on any subawardee and any assignee or licensee or any entity otherwise acquiring rights to any subject invention, including subsequent assignees or licensees. A subject invention is any invention conceived of or first actually reduced to practice under an award.

Due to the lower technology readiness levels of this FOA, DOE does not expect the U.S. Manufacturing Plans to be tied to a specific product or technology. However, in lieu of the U.S. Competitiveness Provision, an applicant may propose a U.S. Manufacturing Plan with more specific commitments that would be beneficial to the U.S. economy and competitiveness. For example, an applicant may commit specific products to be manufactured in the U.S., commit to a specific investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology. An applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a specific licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

If DOE determines, at its sole discretion, that the more specific commitments would provide a sufficient benefit to the U.S. economy and industrial competitiveness, the specific commitments will be part of the terms and

conditions of the award. For all other awards, the U.S. Competitiveness Provision shall be incorporated as part of the terms and conditions of the award as the U.S. Manufacturing Plan for that award.

The U.S. Competitiveness Provision is also a requirement for the Class Patent Waiver that applies to domestic large business under this FOA (see Section VIII.J. Title to Subject Inventions).

Save the U.S. Manufacturing Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP".

xvi. Data Management Plan (DMP)

Applicants are required to submit a DMP with their Full Application.

An applicant may select one of the template Data Management Plans (DMP) listed below. Alternatively, instead of selecting one of the template DMPs below, an applicant may submit another DMP provided that the DMP, at a minimum, (1) describes how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, how the results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved and (2) has a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publications. DOE Public Access Plan dated July 24, 2014 provides additional guidance and information on DMPs.

Option 1: For the deliverables under the award, the recipient does not plan on making the underlying research data supporting the findings in the deliverables publicly-available for up to five (5) years after the data were first produced because such data will be considered protected under the award. The results from the DOE deliverables can be validated by DOE who will have access, upon request, to the research data. Other than providing deliverables as specified in the award, the recipient does not intend to publish the results from the project. However, in an instance where a publication includes results of the project, the underlying research data will be made available according to the policies of the publishing media. Where no such policy exists, the recipient must indicate on the publication a means for requesting and digitally obtaining the underlying research data. This includes the research data necessary to validate any results, conclusions, charts, figures, images in the publications.

Option 2: For any publication that includes results of the project, the underlying research data will be made available according to the policies of the publishing media. Where no such policy exists, the recipient must indicate on the publication a means for requesting and digitally obtaining the underlying

research data. This includes the research data necessary to validate any results, conclusions, charts, figures, images in the publications.

Save the DMP in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP".

xvii. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan

As part of the application, applicants are required to describe how diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project. Specifically, applicants are required to submit a Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan that describes the actions the applicant will take to foster a welcoming and inclusive environment, support people from groups underrepresented in STEM, advance equity, and encourage the inclusion of individuals from these groups in the project; and the extent the project activities will be located in or benefit underserved communities (also see Section I.A.iii). The plan should include SMART milestones supported by metrics to measure the success of the proposed actions. The Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Plan should contain the following information:

- Equity Impacts: the impacts of the proposed project on underserved communities, including social and environmental impacts.
- Benefits: The overall benefits of the proposed project, if funded, to underserved communities; and
- How diversity, equity, and inclusion objectives will be incorporated in the project.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of actions that can serve as examples of ways the proposed project could incorporate diversity, equity, and inclusion elements. These examples should not be considered either comprehensive or prescriptive. Applicants may include appropriate actions not covered by these examples.

- a. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as PI, co-PI, and/or other senior personnel;
- b. Include persons from groups underrepresented in STEM as student researchers or post-doctoral researchers;
- c. Include faculty or students from Minority Serving Institutions as PI/co-PI, senior personnel, and/or student researchers, as applicable;
- d. Enhance or collaborate with existing diversity programs at your home organization and/or nearby organizations;
- e. Collaborate with students, researchers, and staff in Minority Serving Institutions;

- f. Disseminate results of research and development in Minority Serving Institutions or other appropriate institutions serving underserved communities;
- g. Implement evidence-based, diversity-focused education programs (such as implicit bias training for staff) in your organization;
- h. Identify Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses and Veteran Owned Businesses to solicit as vendors and sub-contractors for bids on supplies, services and equipment.

Save the Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Plan in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DEIP”.

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

If replies to reviewer comments are applicable, EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following the evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to the comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will post the Reviewer Comments in EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant’s responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check EERE Exchange or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three (3) pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.

Optional	1 page max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.
----------	------------	--

F. Post Selection Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information regarding the following (non-exhaustive list):

- Indirect cost information;
- Other budget information;
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable;
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5);
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable; and
- Environmental Questionnaire.

G. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR §25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the federal awarding agency under 2 CFR §25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid DUNS number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a federal award, the DOE will determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a federal award to another applicant.

H. Submission Dates and Times

All required submissions must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Time on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable federal cost principles for more information:

- Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the federal award and **only** with the written approval of the federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis.

Pre-award expenditures are made at the selectee's risk. EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the selectee anticipated.

1. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements Related to Pre-Award Costs

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse pre-award costs incurred prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that DOE determines may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding for their project and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives. Likewise, if an application is selected for negotiation of award, and the prime recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the prime recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The prime recipient must flow down this requirement to its subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the prime recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The prime recipient is responsible should any work under this award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of whether the work is performed by the prime recipient, subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a foreign work waiver, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. [Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request for a foreign work waiver.](#)

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American-made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. For-profit entity disposition requirements are set forth at 2 CFR 910.360. Property disposition requirements for other non-federal entities are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316.

vii. Domestic Preference – Infrastructure Projects

As appropriate and to the extent consistent with law, Applicants shall ensure that, to the greatest extent practicable, iron and aluminum as well as steel, cement, and other manufactured products (items and construction materials composed in whole or in part of non-ferrous metals such as aluminum; plastics and polymer-based products such as polyvinyl chloride pipe; aggregates such as concrete; glass, including optical fiber; and lumber) used in the proposed project shall be produced in the United States. This requirement shall flow down to all sub-awards including all contracts, subcontracts and purchase orders for work performed under the proposed project.

viii. Lobbying

Recipients and subrecipients may not use any federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) to ensure that non-federal funds have not been paid and will not be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with the application:

- An officer or employee of any federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

ix. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any Office of Management and Budget (OMB)-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and "Do Not Pay."

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-federal entities.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in federal programs or activities.

x. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be

required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories;
- Timesheets or personnel hours report;
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs;
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients;
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period;
- Analogous information for some subrecipients; and
- Other items as required by DOE.

xi. Prohibition related to Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Programs

a. Definitions

1. Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program. An effort directly or indirectly organized, managed, or funded by a foreign government to recruit science and technology professionals or students (regardless of citizenship or national origin, and whether having a full-time or part-time position). Some foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs operate with the intent to import or otherwise acquire from abroad, sometimes through illicit means, proprietary technology or software, unpublished data and methods, and intellectual property to further the military modernization goals and/or economic goals of a foreign government. Many, but not all, programs aim to incentivize the targeted individual to physically relocate to the foreign state for the above purpose. Some programs allow for or encourage continued employment at U.S. research facilities or receipt of Federal research funds while concurrently working at and/or receiving compensation from a foreign institution, and some direct participants not to disclose their participation to U.S. entities. Compensation could take many forms including cash, research funding, complimentary foreign travel, honorific titles, career advancement opportunities, promised future compensation, or other types of remuneration or consideration, including in-kind compensation.
2. Foreign Country of Risk. DOE has designated the following countries as foreign countries of risk: Iran, North Korea, Russia, and China. This list is subject to change.
3. Scientific and Technical Information. Information products deemed by the originator to be useful beyond the originating site (e.g., intended to be published or disseminated), in any format or medium, which contain

findings and technological innovations resulting from R&D efforts and scientific and technological work of scientists, researchers, and engineers. Scientific findings are communicated through various media – e.g., textual, multimedia, audiovisual, and digital – and are produced in a range of products such as technical reports, scientific/technical conference papers, journal articles, workshop reports, program documents, invention reports, patent applications, patents, publicly available scientific research datasets, or other forms of scientific and technical information.

b. Policy

Persons participating in a Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk are prohibited from participating in projects selected for federal funding under this FOA. The purpose of this prohibition is to ensure the protection of U.S. competitive and national security interests and DOE program objectives; prevent potential conflicts of interest; and limit unauthorized transfers of scientific and technical information.

c. Procedures

Prior to award, the selectee must certify to DOE, based on its knowledge and due diligence, that no individuals participating on the DOE-funded project, including individuals at the recipient and subrecipient level, are participating in a Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk. The applicable certification template will be provided to the selectees. In addition, the individuals on the project team must also complete similar certifications.

If an award results from an application submitted under this FOA, the recipient must exercise continuing due diligence to reasonably ensure that no individuals participating on the DOE-funded project are participating in a Foreign Government-Sponsored Talent Recruitment Program of a Foreign Country of Risk, and the recipient must provide an updated certification on a regular basis that will be defined in the award.

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Concept Paper Criterion: Overall FOA Responsiveness and Viability of the Project (Weight: 100%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, describes how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art;
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges, including possible mitigation strategies, and has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application;
- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project; and
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (45%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state-of-the-art to the proposed advancement; and
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in the literature with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (30%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and

- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

- Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including but not limited to product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Data Management Plan, U.S. Manufacturing Plan, and product distribution.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (15%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

Criterion 4: Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (10%)

This criterion involves consideration of the following factors:

- The quality and manner in which the measures incorporate diversity, equity and inclusion goals in the project; and

-
- Extent to which the project benefits underserved communities.

iii. **Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments**

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "DOE Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," effective April 14, 2017, which is available at:

<https://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance-and-unsolicited-proposals-current>.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. **Program Policy Factors**

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project is likely to lead to increased employment and manufacturing in the United States;
- The degree to which the proposed project, or group of projects, represent a desired geographic distribution (considering past awards and current applications); and
- The degree to which the proposed project incorporates diversity, equity, and inclusion elements, including but not limited to team members from Minority Serving Institutions (e.g. Historically Black Colleges and Universities (HBCUs)/Other Minority Institutions), Minority Business Enterprises, Minority Owned Businesses, Woman Owned Businesses, Veteran Owned Businesses, or members within underserved communities.

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

ii. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a federal award with a total amount of federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.205.

iii. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Negotiation Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award and negotiating awards by the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will post these notifications to EERE Exchange.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are

complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the prime recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii. of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov>. This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single

entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. **This step is required to apply to this FOA.** The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, **the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.**

2. DUNS Number

Obtain a DUNS number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at <http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform>.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the SAM at <https://www.sam.gov>. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called a Marketing Partner ID Number (MPIN) are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at <https://www.fedconnect.net>. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov>) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the DOE, including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Access

All applicants selected for an award under this FOA may be required to provide information to DOE in order to satisfy requirements for foreign nationals' access to DOE sites, information, technologies, equipment, programs or personnel. A foreign national is defined as any person who is not a U.S. citizen by birth or naturalization. If a selected applicant (including any of its subrecipients, contractors or vendors) anticipates involving foreign nationals in the performance of its award, the selected applicant may be required to provide DOE with specific information about each foreign national to ensure compliance with the requirements for access approval. National laboratory personnel already cleared for site access may be excluded.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier subrecipients. Prime recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at <https://www.energy.gov/nepa>.

While NEPA compliance is a federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the recipient may be required to prepare the records and the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications**1. Lobbying Restrictions**

By accepting funds under this award, the prime recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. §1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:

- a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law within the preceding 24 months; and
- b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

3. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:

- a. It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

-
- b. It **does not and will not** use any federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
- (1) *“These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”*
 - (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 Classified Information Nondisclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/sf312.pdf>), Form 4414 Sensitive Compartmented Information Disclosure Agreement (<https://fas.org/sgp/othergov/intel/sf4414.pdf>), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
 - (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports; providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in unusual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with

terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the project.
2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the project at the Go/No-Go decision point(s).
4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.

x. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that prime recipients and subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each prime recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for ten (10) years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by prime recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the prime recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xi. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at <http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

xii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement. This helpful EERE checklist can be accessed at <https://www.energy.gov/eere/funding/eere-funding-application-and-management-forms>. See Attachment 2 Federal Assistance Reporting

Checklist, after clicking on "Model Cooperative Agreement" under the Award Package section.

xiii. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. At the Go/No-Go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the EERE program goals and objectives. Federal funding beyond the Go/No-Go decision point (continuation funding) is contingent upon (1) availability of federal funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program; (2) the availability of future-year budget authority; (3) recipient's technical progress compared to the Milestone Summary Table stated in Attachment 1 of the award; (4) recipient's submittal of required reports; (5) recipient's compliance with the terms and conditions of the award; (6) EERE's Go/No-Go decision; (7) the recipient's submission of a continuation application; and (8) written approval of the continuation application by the Contracting Officer.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

xiv. Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend any funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for

any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

xv. Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with federal funds, and when the federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the Contracting Officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the Contracting Officer may direct.

xvi. Implementation of Executive Order 13798, Promoting Free Speech and Religious Liberty

States, local governments, or other public entities may not condition sub-awards in a manner that would discriminate, or disadvantage sub-recipients based on their religious character.

xvii. Table of Personnel

If selected for award negotiations, the selected applicant must submit a list of personnel who are proposed to work on the project, both at the recipient and subrecipient level. The table should include the individuals' names, job titles, role in the project and their organization. Recipients will have an ongoing responsibility to notify DOE of changes to the personnel and submit an updated list during the life of the award as there are changes to the personnel working on the project.

xviii. Pending and Current Sources of Support

Current and pending support is intended to allow the identification of potential duplication, overcommitment, potential conflicts of interest or commitment, and all other sources of support. If selected for award negotiations, the principal

investigator and each senior/key person at the recipient and subrecipient level must provide a list of all sponsored activities, awards, and appointments, whether paid or unpaid; provided as a gift with terms or conditions or provided as a gift without terms or conditions; full-time, part-time, or voluntary; faculty, visiting, adjunct, or honorary; cash or in-kind; foreign or domestic; governmental or private-sector; directly supporting the individual's research or indirectly supporting the individual by supporting students, research staff, space, equipment, or other research expenses. All foreign government-sponsored talent recruitment programs must be identified in current and pending support. The information may be provided in the format approved by the National Science Foundation (NSF), which may be generated by the Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita (SciENCv), a cooperative venture maintained at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sciencv/>, and is also available at <https://www.nsf.gov/bfa/dias/policy/nsfapprovedformats/cps.pdf>. The use of a format required by another agency is intended to reduce the administrative burden to researchers by promoting the use of common formats.

For every activity, list the following items:

- The sponsor of the activity or the source of funding
- The award or other identifying number
- The title of the award or activity. If the title of the award or activity is not descriptive, add a brief description of the research being performed that would identify any overlaps or synergies with the proposed research.
- The total cost or value of the award or activity, including direct and indirect costs and cost share. For pending proposals, provide the total amount of requested funding.
- The award period (start date – end date).
- The person-months of effort per year being dedicated to the award or activity
- If required to identify overlap, duplication of effort, or synergistic efforts, append a description of the other award or activity to the current and pending support.
- Details of any obligations, contractual or otherwise, to any program, entity, or organization sponsored by a foreign government must be provided on request to either the applicant institution or DOE.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to:

AMOMultitopicFOA@ee.doe.gov. Questions must be submitted not later than 3

business days prior to the application due date and time. Please note, feedback on individual concepts will not be provided through Q&A.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov>. **Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA.** EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

C. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

D. Treatment of Application Information

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA. Applicants are advised to not include any critically sensitive proprietary detail.

If an application includes trade secrets or information that is commercial or financial, or information that is confidential or privileged, it is furnished to the Government in confidence with the understanding that the information shall be

used or disclosed only for evaluation of the application. Such information will be withheld from public disclosure to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act. Without assuming any liability for inadvertent disclosure, EERE will seek to limit disclosure of such information to its employees and to outside reviewers when necessary for merit review of the application or as otherwise authorized by law. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use the information if it is obtained from another source.

Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions containing confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as described below. Failure to comply with these marking requirements may result in the disclosure of the unmarked information under the Freedom of Information Act or otherwise. The U.S. Government is not liable for the disclosure or use of unmarked information, and may use or disclose such information for any purpose.

The cover sheet of the Concept Paper, Full Application, Reply to Reviewer Comments, and other submission must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets, confidential, proprietary, or privileged information:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets, confidential, proprietary, or privileged information that is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains confidential, proprietary, or privileged information must be marked as follows: "Contains Trade Secrets, Confidential, Proprietary, or Privileged Information Exempt from Public Disclosure." In addition, each line or paragraph containing proprietary, privileged, or trade secret information must be clearly marked with double brackets or highlighting.

E. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Reviews and Peer Reviews, the government may seek the advice of qualified non-federal personnel as reviewers. The government may also use non-federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities, including EERE contractors. The

applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-federal reviewers/administrators. Non-federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest (COI) and non-disclosure acknowledgements (NDA) prior to reviewing an application. Non-federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign an NDA.

F. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

G. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

H. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

I. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Full Applications and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

J. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below:

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses,

educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions;

- All other parties: The federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below);
- Class Patent Waiver for Domestic Large Businesses: DOE has issued a class patent waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class patent waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class patent waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient; and
- Advance and Identified Waivers: For applicants that do not fall under the class patent waiver or the Bayh-Dole Act, those applicants may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.
- Each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. If selected, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated into the award terms and conditions for domestic small businesses and nonprofit organizations. DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrants the modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. Any Bayh-Dole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this DEC has the right to appeal it.

K. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where prime recipients and subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. government retains certain rights.

1. Government Use License

The U.S. government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the government.

2. March-In Rights

The U.S. government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through “march-in rights,” the government may require a prime recipient or subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a prime recipient, subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

L. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

“Limited Rights Data”: The U.S. government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government Rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated (“Protected Data”). For awards permitting

Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

M. Copyright

The prime recipient and subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the government.

N. Export Control

The U.S. government regulates the transfer of information, commodities, technology, and software considered to be strategically important to the U.S. to protect national security, foreign policy, and economic interests without imposing undue regulatory burdens on legitimate international trade. There is a network of federal agencies and regulations that govern exports that are collectively referred to as “Export Controls”. To ensure compliance with Export Controls, it is the prime recipient’s responsibility to determine when its project activities trigger Export Controls and to ensure compliance.

Export Controls may apply to individual projects, depending on the nature of the tasks. When Export Controls apply, the recipient must take the appropriate steps to obtain any required governmental licenses, monitor and control access to restricted information, and safeguard all controlled materials. Under no circumstances may foreign entities (organizations, companies or persons) receive access to export controlled information unless proper export procedures have been satisfied and such access is authorized pursuant to law or regulation.

Applicants are advised that some of the results of the research conducted under this FOA may be restricted for proprietary reasons and not published or shared broadly within the scientific community.

O. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude PII. The term “PII” refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and

place of birth, mother's maiden name. (See OMB Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf>

By way of example, applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, personal landline/cell phone numbers, and personal emails. **Under no circumstances should Social Security Numbers (SSNs) be included in the application.** Federal agencies are prohibited from the collecting, using, and displaying unnecessary SSNs. (See, the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283, Dec 18, 2014; 44 U.S.C. §3551).

P. Annual Independent Audits

If a for-profit entity is a prime recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE awards during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a prime recipient or subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of federal awards during the non-federal entity's fiscal year, then a Single or Program-Specific Audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and subrecipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

APPENDIX A – COST SHARE INFORMATION

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms “cost sharing” and “cost matching” are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term “cost sharing,” as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here “cost matching” for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. The following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Total Project Cost
Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)
Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%)
Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

-
- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
 - 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

General Cost Sharing Rules on a DOE Award

1. **Cash Cost Share** – encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s), for costs incurred and paid for during the project. This includes when an organization pays for personnel, supplies, equipment for their own company with organizational resources. If the item or service is reimbursed for, it is cash cost share. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project.
2. **In-Kind Cost Share** – encompasses all contributions to the project made by the recipient or subrecipient(s) that do not involve a payment or reimbursement and represent donated items or services. In-Kind cost share items include volunteer personnel hours, donated existing equipment, donated existing supplies. The cash value and calculations thereof for all In-Kind cost share items must be justified and explained in the Cost Share section of the project Budget Justification. All cost share items must be necessary to the performance of the project. If questions exist, consult your DOE contact before filling out the In-Kind cost share section of the Budget Justification.
3. Funds from other federal sources **MAY NOT** be counted as cost share. This prohibition includes FFRDC subrecipients. Non-federal sources include any source not originally derived from federal funds. Cost sharing commitment letters from subrecipients must be provided with the original application.
4. Fee or profit, including foregone fee or profit, are not allowable as project costs (including cost share) under any resulting award. The project may only incur those costs that are allowable and allocable to the project (including cost share) as determined in accordance with the applicable cost principles prescribed in FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities and 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

(A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the prime recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:

- (1)** They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
- (2)** They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
- (3)** They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
- (4)** They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a.** For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A-122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the FAR, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations; and
 - b.** Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
- (5)** They are not paid by the federal government under another award unless authorized by federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- (6)** They are provided for in the approved budget.

(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

- (1)** Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:

-
- a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.

 - (2)** Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.

 - (3)** Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.

 - (4)** Valuing property donated by third parties.
 - a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.

 - b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:
 - i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
 - ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.

- (5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:
- a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
 - b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

APPENDIX B – SAMPLE COST SHARE CALCULATION FOR BLENDED COST SHARE PERCENTAGE

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2,000,000 in federal funds with four tasks requiring different non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal Share	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost)

Task 1 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (non-federal share)

Task 2

\$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost)

Task 2 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (non-federal share)

Task 3

\$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost)

Task 3 Cost minus federal share = non-federal share

\$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (non-federal share)

Task 4

Federal share = \$100,000

Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (non-federal share)

The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal Share	% Federal Share	\$ Non-Federal Share	% Non-Federal Share	Total Project Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (non-federal)

Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (federal)

APPENDIX C – WAIVER REQUESTS AND APPROVAL PROCESSES: 1. FOREIGN ENTITY PARTICIPATION AS THE PRIME RECIPIENT; AND 2. PERFORMANCE OF WORK IN THE UNITED STATES (FOREIGN WORK WAIVER)

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient

As set forth in Section III.A.i. and Section III.A.ii.c., all prime recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a state or territory of the United States and have a physical location for business operations in the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the prime recipient. A request to waive the *Foreign Entity Participation as the prime recipient* requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the prime recipient;
- Country of incorporation and the extent, if any, the entity is state owned or controlled;
- A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the US economy;
- How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
- How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity's participation as the prime recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP; and
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States (Foreign Work Waiver)

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii., all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the prime recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. (“foreign work”);
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the US economy;
- The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
- How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
- How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request.

APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY

Applicant – The lead organization submitting an application under the FOA.

Continuation application – A non-competitive application for an additional budget period within a previously approved project period. At least ninety (90) days before the end of each budget period, the Recipient must submit to EERE its continuation application, which includes the following information:

- i. A report on the Recipient’s progress towards meeting the objectives of the project, including any significant findings, conclusions, or developments, and an estimate of any unobligated balances remaining at the end of the budget period. If the remaining unobligated balance is estimated to exceed 20 percent of the funds available for the budget period, explain why the excess funds have not been obligated and how they will be used in the next budget period.
- ii. A detailed budget and supporting justification if there are changes to the negotiated budget, or a budget for the upcoming budget period was not approved at the time of award.
- iii. A description of any planned changes from the negotiated Statement of Project Objectives and/or Milestone Summary Table.

Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) – a contractual agreement between a national laboratory contractor and a private company or university to work together on research and development. For more information, see <https://www.energy.gov/gc/downloads/doe-cooperative-research-and-development-agreements>

Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) - FFRDCs are public-private partnerships which conduct research for the United States government. A listing of FFRDCs can be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/statistics/ffrdclist/>.

Go/No-Go Decision Points: – A decision point at the end of a budget period that defines the overall objectives, milestones and deliverables to be achieved by the recipient in that budget period. As of a result of EERE’s review, EERE may take one of the following actions: 1) authorize federal funding for the next budget period; 2) recommend redirection of work; 3) discontinue providing federal funding beyond the current budget period; or 4) place a hold on federal funding pending further supporting data.

Project – The entire scope of the cooperative agreement which is contained in the recipient’s Statement of Project Objectives.

Recipient or “Prime Recipient” – A non-federal entity that receives a federal award directly from a federal awarding agency to carry out an activity under a federal program. The term recipient does not include subrecipients.

Subrecipient – A non-federal entity that receives a subaward from a pass-through entity to carry out part of a federal program; but does not include an individual that is a beneficiary of such program. A subrecipient may also be a recipient of other federal awards directly from a federal awarding agency. Also, a DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC may be proposed as a subrecipient on another entity’s application. See section III.E.ii.

APPENDIX E – DEFINITION OF TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS

TRL 1:	Basic principles observed and reported
TRL 2:	Technology concept and/or application formulated
TRL 3:	Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept
TRL 4:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a laboratory environment
TRL 5:	Component and/or breadboard validation in a relevant environment
TRL 6:	System/subsystem model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment
TRL 7:	System prototype demonstration in an operational environment
TRL 8:	Actual system completed and qualified through test and demonstrated
TRL 9:	Actual system proven through successful mission operations

APPENDIX F – LIST OF ACRONYMS

AI	Artificial Intelligence
Al	Aluminum
Al-Ce	Aluminum-Cerium
AMO	Advanced Manufacturing Office
BOD	Binding Operational Directives
Btu	British Thermal Unit
Ce	Cerium
CFR	Code of Federal Regulation
CO ₂	Carbon Dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
COI	Conflict of Interest
CRADA	Cooperative Research and Development Agreement
DEC	Determination of Exceptional Circumstances
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
DMP	Data Management Plan
DOE	Department of Energy
DOI	Digital Object Identifier
DUNS	Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System
EERE	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
E.O.	Executive Order
EVs	Electric Vehicles
FAPIS	Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulation
FFATA	Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006
FOA	Funding Opportunity Announcement
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FFRDC	Federally Funded Research and Development Center
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
HBCUs	Historically Black Colleges and Universities
ICME	Integrated Computational Materials Engineering
IP	Intellectual Property
kg	kilogram
kWh	Kilowatt-Hour
LEV	Low Emission Vehicle
Li-ion	Lithium-ion
LGBTQ+	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer
M&O	Management and Operating
ML	Machine Learning
MPIN	Marketing Partner ID Number
MSIs	Minority Serving Institutions
MYPP	Multi-Year Program Plan

NAICS	North American Industry Classification System
NDA	Non-Disclosure Acknowledgement
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NNSA	National Nuclear Security Agency
NSF	National Science Foundation
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OSTI	Office of Scientific and Technical Information
PI	Principal Investigator
PII	Personal Identifiable Information
Q&A	Questions and Answers
Quad	Quadrillion British Thermal Units
R&D	Research and Development
RAPID	Rapid Advancement of Process Intensification Development (Institute)
RDD&D	Research, Development, Demonstration and Deployment
REE	Rare Earth Element
RFI	Request for Information
RFP	Request for Proposal
SAM	System for Award Management
SciENCv	Science Experts Network Curriculum Vita
SMART	Specific, Measurable, Assignable, Realistic and Time-Related
SOPO	Statement of Project Objectives
SSNs	Social Security Numbers
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering and Math
TRL	Technology Readiness Level
UCC	Uniform Commercial Code
U.S.	United States
U.S.C.	United States Code
WBS	Work Breakdown Structure
WP	Work Proposal
ZEV	Zero Emission Vehicle