

Department of Energy (DOE)
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Process Development for Advanced Biofuels and Biopower

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0001926

FOA Type: Mod 000002

CFDA Number: 81.087

FOA Issue Date:	May 3, 2018
Submission Deadline for Letters of Intent:	May 30, 2018 5:00pm ET
Informational Webinar:	N/A
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	June 27, 2018 5:00pm ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	August 3, 2018 5:00pm ET
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	August 24, 2018
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations	September, 2018

- Applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by 5:00pm ET on the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov>, EERE's online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the Selection.

Applicants must be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting an application and must provide a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in the application. Failure to comply may result in a determination that the applicant is not qualified to

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

receive a Federal award and that determination could be used as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.

MODIFICATIONS

All modifications to the Funding Opportunity Announcement are highlighted in yellow in the body of the FOA.

Mod. No.	Date	Description of Modifications
000002	06/06/2018	<p>Section IV.D.iii – Application and Submission Information, Content and Form of the Full Application, Statement of Project Objectives:</p> <p><i>Edited:</i> Applicants are required to complete a Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) for Phase 1 of the entire scope of the project. A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed five (5) pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1” margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title</p> <p>“ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO”.</p> <p>The first task in Phase 1 of the project will be a pre-verification of application data. Applicants should include the following language in their SOPO to represent this Sub Task in Budget Period 1:</p>
000001	05/14/2018	<p>Section III.A.ii – Eligibility Information, Eligible Entities, Domestic Entities:</p> <p><i>Inserted:</i> For purposes of this FOA, all U.S. Territories are considered state government entities and are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.</p> <p>Section IV.C.i – Application and Submission Information, Content and Form of the Letter of Intent, Letter of Intent Content Requirements:</p>

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

		<p><i>Edited:</i> To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by the specified due date and time. Letters of Intent will be used by EERE to plan for the merit review process. Applicants will be neither encouraged nor discouraged from submitting a Full Application based on the information provided in the letter of intent. EERE will not provide feedback on the letters of intent. The letters should not contain any proprietary or sensitive business information.</p> <p>EERE will not review or consider ineligible Letters of Intent (see Section III of the FOA).</p> <p>Each applicant must provide the following information as part of the Letter of Intent:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Title; • Lead Organization; • Organization Type (Business < 500 Employees; Business > 1000 Employees; Business 500-1000 Employees; Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC); Government-Owned, Government Operated; Non-Profit; University); • Whether the application has been previously submitted to EERE; • % of effort contributed by the Lead Organization; • The Project Team, including: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The Principal Investigator for the Prime Recipient; ○ Team Members (i.e., Subrecipients); and ○ Key Participants (i.e., individuals who contribute in a substantive, measureable way to the execution of the proposed project); • Technical Topic or Area; and • Abstract – The abstract provided should be not more than 200 words 4000 characters in length, and should provide a truncated explanation of the proposed project.
--	--	---

Table of Contents

I. Funding Opportunity Description	8
A. Description/Background.....	8
B. Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest.....	9
C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest for All Topic Areas	19
D. Verification Requirements	21
E. Authorizing Statutes.....	25
II. Award Information.....	25
A. Award Overview	25
i. Estimated Funding	25
ii. Period of Performance	26
iii. New Applications Only	26
B. EERE Funding Agreements	26
i. Cooperative Agreements.....	26
ii. Funding Agreements with FFRDCs.....	27
III. Eligibility Information.....	27
A. Eligible Applicants	27
i. Individuals.....	27
ii. Domestic Entities.....	27
iii. Foreign Entities.....	28
iv. Incorporated Consortia	29
v. Unincorporated Consortia.....	29
B. Cost Sharing.....	30
Cost Share 20%.....	30
C. Compliance Criteria	32
i. Letters of Intent.....	33
ii. Full Applications	33
iii. Replies to Reviewer Comments.....	33
D. Responsiveness Criteria.....	33
E. Other Eligibility Requirements	33
i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient.....	34
F. Limitation on Number of Letters of Intent and Full Applications Eligible for Review	35
G. Questions Regarding Eligibility.....	35
IV. Application and Submission Information	35
A. Application Process	35
i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange	37
B. Application Forms	37
C. Content and Form of the Letter of Intent	38
i. Letter of Intent Content Requirements.....	38
D. Content and Form of the Full Application	38
i. Full Application Content Requirements.....	39
ii. Technical Volume	40
iii. Statement of Project Objectives.....	45
iv. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	46
v. Budget Justification Workbook (EERE 335)	46
vi. Summary/Abstract for Public Release	47
vii. Summary Slide	47
viii. Subaward Budget Justification (EERE 335) (if applicable)	47

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

ix.	Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)	48
x.	Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)	48
xi.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	48
xii.	Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Performance of Work in the United States (if applicable).....	49
xiii.	U.S. Manufacturing Commitments	49
xiv.	Data Management Plan	50
xv.	Block Flow Data	51
E.	Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	51
F.	Post-Award Information Requests	52
G.	Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management.....	52
H.	Submission Dates and Times	52
I.	Intergovernmental Review	53
J.	Funding Restrictions	53
i.	Allowable Costs	53
ii.	Pre-Award Costs	53
iii.	Performance of Work in the United States	54
iv.	Construction	55
v.	Foreign Travel	55
vi.	Equipment and Supplies	55
vii.	Lobbying	56
viii.	Risk Assessment.....	56
ix.	Invoice Review and Approval	57
V.	Application Review Information	57
A.	Technical Review Criteria	57
i.	Full Applications	57
ii.	Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments	59
B.	Standards for Application Evaluation	59
C.	Other Selection Factors	59
i.	Program Policy Factors	59
D.	Evaluation and Selection Process	61
ii.	Overview.....	61
iii.	Pre-Selection Interviews.....	61
iv.	Pre-Selection Clarification	61
v.	Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters.....	62
vi.	Selection	62
E.	Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates	63
VI.	Award Administration Information.....	63
A.	Award Notices	63
i.	Ineligible Submissions.....	63
ii.	Letters of Intent Notifications	63
iii.	Full Application Notifications.....	63
iv.	Successful Applicants.....	63
v.	Alternate Selection Determinations.....	64
vi.	Unsuccessful Applicants	64
B.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements.....	64
	Registration Requirements	64
	Award Administrative Requirements	66
	Foreign National Access to DOE Sites	66
	Subaward and Executive Reporting	66

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
 Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
 subject line.

National Policy Requirements.....	66
Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).....	66
Applicant Representations and Certifications.....	67
Statement of Federal Stewardship.....	69
Statement of Substantial Involvement	69
Subject Invention Utilization Reporting	70
Intellectual Property Provisions	70
Reporting.....	70
Go/No-Go Review	71
Conference Spending	71
UUC Financing Statements.....	72
VII. Questions/Agency Contacts.....	72
VIII. Other Information.....	73
A. FOA Modifications.....	73
H. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate.....	73
I. Commitment of Public Funds	73
J. Treatment of Application Information	73
K. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel	74
L. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities.....	75
M. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability	75
N. Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).....	75
O. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure	75
P. Retention of Submissions.....	76
Q. Title to Subject Inventions.....	76
R. Government Rights in Subject Inventions.....	77
i. Government Use License	77
ii. March-In Rights	77
S. Rights in Technical Data	78
T. Copyright.....	78
U. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	79
V. Annual Compliance Audits	79
Appendix A – Cost Share Information	81
Appendix B – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance of Work in the United States	85
Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient.....	85
Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States.....	86
Appendix C - Data Management Plan	87
Appendix D – Allowable Feedstock Definitions	91
Appendix F – Block Flow Data	95
Appendix G – Technology Readiness Level Descriptions.....	101

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
 Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
 subject line.

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Description/Background

The Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), announces a notice of availability of funding for financial assistance from the Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO). DOE has funded research and development (R&D) seeking to advance technologies able to contribute to the production of price-competitive biofuels and bioproducts. These technologies will aid in the reduction of dependence on foreign oil, provide price-competitive fuels, spur domestic job growth, and lead to a thriving bioeconomy.

BETO's mission is to research and develop the optimal transformation of biomass resources into high performance biofuels, bioproducts, and biopower supported through public and private partnerships. Under the statutory authority of the Energy Policy Act (EPA) 2005, § 932(c), DOE is encouraged to partner with industry and institutions of higher education to conduct R&D in advanced biotechnological processes capable of increasing energy production from domestic lignocellulosic feedstocks, with emphasis on reducing the dependence of industry on imported fossil fuels in U.S. manufacturing facilities, and other advanced processes that will enable the development of cost-effective advanced biofuels and coproducts.

The purpose of this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) is to identify, evaluate, and select applications proposing research, development and execution plans to test engineering principles and unit operations for the production and testing of Drop-in Renewable Jet Fuel Blendstocks and Drop-In Renewable Diesel Fuel Blendstocks from eligible feedstocks. In Topic Area 3, the FOA also seeks proposals to convert wet waste feedstocks, including municipal solid wastes and biosolids, into biopower or intermediates used to produce biopower.

Scale-up and verification of these process technologies is essential to enable the industry to design, construct, and operate pilot-scale facilities. This FOA seeks applications for projects to bridge technologies from scientific research to engineering, to integrate unit operations, and to engage in the R&D of integrated processes designed to produce drop-in renewable jet fuel blendstocks, drop-in renewable diesel fuel blendstocks, and biopower. Applicants proposing to produce drop-in renewable jet fuel blendstocks and drop-in renewable diesel fuel blendstocks will be required to verify that resulting fuels meet or exceed industry/market specifications and complete basic engineering packages to enable preliminary cost estimation and techno-economic analysis.

This funding opportunity contains three topic areas as follows:

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- Topic Area 1: Drop-in Renewable Jet Fuel Blendstocks
- Topic Area 2: Drop-in Renewable Diesel Fuel Blendstocks
- Topic Area 3: Biomass, Biosolids, and Municipal Solid Waste to Energy

Applicants addressing more than one topic area in an application must submit a separate application for each topic. Applicants are required to detail their strategy for project development, project management, technology verification, funding sources, and risk mitigation for projects proposed under all topic areas.

Recognizing the significant investments that have been made through prior BETO FOAs, BETO encourages innovative reuse and repurposing of process equipment purchased under prior DOE programs or other agency's awards. In 2016, BETO reached out to industry, academia, national laboratories, and other biofuels and bioproducts stakeholders via a request for information (RFI) to identify existing pilot- or process development-scale facilities. The goal of this RFI was to identify entities with the capability to perform process verifications for biomass conversion pathways to biofuels, bioproducts, or intermediates that integrate multiple unit operations on a scale of approximately 0.5 or greater tons of dry biomass input per day. From the RFI responses BETO developed a list of facilities within the United States that act as (or are willing to act as) pilot- or process development-scale multi-user facilities for biofuels and biobased products, chemicals, and intermediates, including synthesis gas, cellulosic sugars, bio-oil, hydrogen, biogas, and methane. The responsive submissions are summarized in the document linked here https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2017/12/f46/pilot_rfi_responses.pdf. In addition, BETO encourages collaboration with U.S. DOE National Laboratory user facilities to prove technology pathways at engineering scales.

Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are highly encouraged to participate by partnering with industry and academia, but are not eligible as applicants or Prime Recipients under this FOA. See Section III for more information regarding eligibility requirements and FFRDC participation.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.iii. and Appendix B.

National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Considerations

All project activities will be subject to NEPA review. Applicants should account for NEPA related efforts in the project scope, schedule, and budget. Limited modifications to existing facilities to complete project objectives may be allowed; construction of new facilities will not be allowed.

B. Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

This FOA has three topics areas. Applications are sought that address one or more of the following topics. Applicants addressing more than one topic area in an application must submit separate applications for each topic area.

Guidance Applicable to All Topic Areas:

All applicants must clearly establish that sufficient work at prior scale has been completed to justify that the proposed technology meets the intent of the FOA. Examples of such previous work can include, but are not limited to:

1. The extent to which the process development mimicked the intended commercial application (the mode and type of operation of new process steps and the scalability of equipment used in new process steps);
2. What unit operations of the process were tested;
3. The largest scale that each process section/step was tested;
4. Historical data sets and reproducibility; and
5. How closely the test feedstock mimicked the feedstock intended for commercial operations.

Topic Area 1 and 2 applications must propose a Drop-In Renewable Jet Fuel Blendstock or Drop-In Renewable Diesel Fuel Blendstock Primary Product(s). The Primary Product stream(s) must contain at least 50% of the biogenic carbon.

Applicants to Topic Area 3 must show how their proposed approach will meet the FOA's objective to produce biopower or biopower intermediates as the primary product.

Applicants proposing drop-in renewable diesel fuel and drop-in renewable jet fuel process research (under Topic Areas 1 and 2) must illustrate compatibility of the fuel with current infrastructure. These fuels must be “drop-in” and compatible with existing fueling infrastructure of the selected transportation mode or market. Applicants that propose the production of renewable jet fuel or renewable diesel fuel must incorporate post-processing steps required to produce a marketable product for insertion into the supply chain. Drop-in renewable jet fuel pathways that are already approved by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) or are in the approval process are eligible to apply for this FOA, provided that applicants clearly indicate and substantiate that their approach results in a price competitive (cheaper) fuel and efficient process compared to the pathway approved process. Renewable jet fuel pathways that have not yet submitted a research report to ASTM and thus have not initiated an approval process are also eligible to apply to this FOA as long as they can

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

demonstrate during the project that the fuel has a reasonable chance of receiving ASTM approval.

For Topic Areas 1 and 2, applications that propose only to produce alcohols or other intermediates without conversion to finished renewable jet fuel or renewable diesel fuel will be considered non-responsive to this FOA and will not be reviewed. Applications that propose innovative conversion of alcohols or other intermediates into the allowable products for the selected topic area are responsive to this FOA, however, and will be considered.

Under Topic Areas 1 and 2, a limited amount of Award funding will be allowed within the scope of the project to purchase process equipment that is required to integrate unit operations and prepare renewable jet or renewable diesel fuels. Typically, up to 10% of the total project budget (total allowable budget) may be proposed for equipment, but the amount is subject to negotiation after notification of selection for negotiation of an award. All project costs, including any preparatory research and development (R&D) work, will be subject to the 20% cost share requirement, as described in Section III.B.i (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements).

Commercial technologies are not eligible under this FOA. For purposes of this FOA, “commercial” technology is defined as more than three installations of the core technology in a comparable or larger scale operation in North America for at least one year.

Applicants must also present a rationale supporting how the drop-in jet or diesel fuel product(s) will qualify as Advanced or Cellulosic Biofuels by meeting the required greenhouse gas (GHG) reductions as defined in 40 CFR part 80 subpart M. Life-cycle assessment (LCA), such as output from Argonne National Laboratory’s GREET Model (The Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Transportation Model), or similar, may be used to provide this justification. Applicants may also include tasks related to advanced feedstock supply and logistics, such as feedstock pre-processing or planning for a centralized feedstock depot.

Applications may also address strategies using biogas, algal biomass, or cellulosic biomass to produce an intermediate that would further be upgraded to fuels and products in an existing petroleum refinery. Applications must address how at least 50% of the biogenic carbon would be converted to a biofuel and how this would be measured. The biofuel intermediate must proceed through a process to a hydrocarbon biofuel and possibly co-product(s), volumes of which must correspond to the intermediate production requirements. Applicants are required to show how the renewable fraction would be distributed across the refinery’s product suite and continue to meet all applicable regulatory requirements both during production and also through distribution and use.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The techno-economic performance of these processes will be verified at scale in support of the BETO goal of a modeled mature price of \leq \$3/Gallon of Gasoline Equivalent (GGE) of Advanced Biofuel (as defined in EISA 2007, § 201). Applicants will also be asked to perform a sensitivity analysis on their Techno-Economic Analysis (TEA) considering recent fossil energy prices over the estimated term of any debt servicing that may be required for their envisioned facility.

All applications must utilize allowable feedstocks for their topic area as outlined in Table 1. Definitions of each feedstock for the purposes of this FOA can be found in Appendix D.

	Biomass Feedstocks or Biomass Intermediates	Biogas	Algal Biomass	Carbon Dioxide/Flue Gas	Post-sorted MSW	Wet Waste
Topic Area 1:	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed
Topic Area 2:	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed
Topic Area 3:	Allowed	Allowed			Allowed	Allowed

Table 1. Allowable Feedstocks

In order to have a robust and commercially relevant process, applications to all topic areas must include previous work and future plans to develop a technology that is capable of converting diverse samples of feedstock which represent the potential variability of the selected feedstock. Applicants must identify which feedstock sources have been used, and will be used, to illustrate the capability of the technology. Examples of representative sources could be: feedstock from different harvest or production years, different harvest and storage methods, or feedstock produced from different regions of the country. The applicant must provide estimates of feedstock amount availability, geographical distribution, conversion, and the maximum potential of product formation.

Applicants proposing to transition from the feedstock used at laboratory scale to the feedstock that will be used in the proposed project must discuss the transition strategy in the application. **Projects proposing the use of mock or model feedstocks will be considered non-responsive to all topic areas and will not be reviewed.**

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Topic Area 1: Drop-In Renewable Jet Fuel Blendstocks

Under Topic Area 1, DOE seeks applications that advance innovative pathways for producing drop-in renewable jet fuel blendstocks suitable for use by the aviation sector, with a focus on comparable or higher specific energy and price competitiveness with conventional jet fuel. The engineering R&D objectives are to bridge technologies from science to engineering and begin development of integrated processes designed to produce drop-in renewable jet fuels. Applicants will be challenged to demonstrate their technologies and conduct industry standard tests to verify fuel acceptance and performance.

More specifically, DOE seeks applications that advance the state of knowledge of innovative pathways that can produce comparable or higher performance fuels suitable for use by the aviation sector. The objective is to develop drop-in renewable jet blendstocks with high specific energy (for example, >4% relative to comparable jet fuels in terms of MJ/kg), price competitiveness, and acceptability by certification organizations such as ASTM. Biochemical, thermochemical and hybrid conversion routes are allowed. Innovative routes with advantages such as high yield and selectivity for target molecules, robust and low cost catalysts, overall process energy efficiency, and improved separations / recovery technologies are encouraged. Applicants must address advancements through applied R&D to successfully overcome systems and process integration with the goal of meeting or exceeding a modeled, mature price goal of \$3 / GGE at commercial production volume.

The applicant must produce and test at least 100 gallons (maximum 1,000 gallons) of renewable jet fuel in Tier 1 and 2 tests of the ASTM D4054, "Standard Practice for Qualification and Approval of New Aviation Turbine Fuels and Fuel Additives." It is expected that renewable jet fuels will be tested for jet combustion operability behavior developed under the National Jet Fuels Combustion Program (NJFCP). Further information on the initial jet-fuel screening guidance and NJFCP is available at <http://sites.udayton.edu/alternative-jet-fuel/>.

Drop-in renewable jet fuels must be capable of direct blending with existing petroleum fuels, and be utilized in the current infrastructure of pumps and pipelines. These renewable jet fuels must meet or exceed the D4054 tests and the D7566 "Standard Specification for Aviation Turbine Fuel Containing Synthesized Hydrocarbons" approval process. If a different volume is proposed, the applicant must provide a clear justification. The applicant must also consider infrastructure requirements and provide a plan to address them, including, but not limited to, the production, storage, and supply of the renewable jet fuel. The applicant is encouraged to work with stakeholders from the whole supply chain. Use of co-products to off-set renewable jet fuel production cost is an allowable option. Applicants must address the economic feasibility of the co-product market if applicable.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Successful projects will investigate scale-up and process integration challenges associated with transitioning technology from the lab to larger scales. Applicants must quantify engineering principles and develop unit operations for the production and testing of drop-in fuels. Process R&D at this scale generates substantial amounts of data required by industry to subsequently scale biorefinery processes. Applications must:

- Consider the transition from batch to continuous processes;
- Identify critical engineering process parameters and limits;
- Utilize commercial versus laboratory grade materials;
- Address systems / process integration research challenges;
- Identify process hazards and safety requirements;
- Include process design calculations (e.g., heat and mass transfer, reaction kinetics);
- Test and evaluate process R&D to improve yields, conversion efficiency and selectivity;
- Development of primary process and accompanying supply chain requirements; and
- Improve techno economic analysis (TEA) and life-cycle assessment (LCA).

In addition to fuel production and evaluation, Topic Area 1 applicants are required to complete a non-location specific basic engineering package (BEP) for the renewable jet fuel engineering-scale process including, but not limited to, the following:

- Preliminary Design & Process Description
- Process Flow Diagram
- Material and Energy Balance calculations
- Preliminary Cost Estimate and Techno-Economic Analysis

The design basis for the BEP is a front-end throughput minimum of 1 dry metric tonne per day (DTPD) for biomass feedstocks, at least 25,000 gallons of intermediate product per year for an algal process, or utilization of at least 16,000 MBtu/day of biogas/industrial flue gas.

Successful approaches must establish both the economic (including market potential) as well as the environmental benefits for the envisioned process.

Applicants must also present a rationale supporting how the biofuel product(s) will qualify as Advanced or Cellulosic Biofuels by meeting the required GHG reductions as defined in 40 CFR part 80 subpart M. Life-cycle assessment (LCA), such as output from Argonne National Laboratory's GREET Model (The Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Transportation Model), or similar, may be used to provide this justification.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

To be selected, applications must provide a preliminary techno-economic analysis (TEA) (including the sale of the envisioned co-product(s)) that meets a modeled mature price of \leq \$3/GGE target and 50% GHG reduction compared to the baseline petroleum jet fuel. Updating the TEA at completion of the project will be included as a task.

Applications are required to be at a minimum starting Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of 3 or 4, and will end at TRL 4 or 5 respectively; that is, each application must advance its technology by at least one TRL level.

For TRL definitions see Appendix G.

Projects under this topic area require cost share be at least 20% of the total allowable costs.

Topic Area 2: Drop-In Renewable Diesel Fuel Blendstocks

DOE seeks applications that advance the state of knowledge of innovative pathways that can produce renewable diesel for selected applications with focus on performance, price competitiveness and acceptability by certification organizations such as ASTM. The focus of this topic is on the experimental development of technologies to produce “drop-in” renewable diesel fuels that are compatible with existing fueling infrastructure and vehicles across a range of transportation modes supplied with diesel fuels. Transportation modes and markets of relevance to this topic include on- and off-highway trucks, construction equipment, rail, marine, and home heating oil.

Applicants must consider infrastructure requirements and provide a plan to address them, including, but not limited to, the production, storage, and supply of the renewable diesel fuel. The applicant is encouraged to work with stakeholders from the whole supply chain. Use of co-products to off-set renewable diesel fuel production cost is an allowable option. Applicants must address the economic feasibility of the co-product market if applicable.

Biochemical, thermochemical and hybrid conversion routes are allowed. Innovative routes with advantages such as high yield and selectivity for target molecules, robust and low cost catalysts, overall process energy efficiency, and improved separations / recovery technologies are encouraged. Projects should address advancements through applied R&D to successfully overcome systems and process integration issues necessary for industry to scale the technology with the goal of meeting a modeled, mature fuel price goal of \leq \$3 / GGE (high volume).

The applicant must produce and characterize fuels in accordance with standards for the relevant market or application. For example, marine fuels must meet or exceed requirements specified in ISO 8217. Home heating fuels are defined by ASTM D396 and must meet

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

performance requirements such as chemical properties, storage stability, and material compatibility. It is incumbent on applicants to indicate in their applications that the appropriate performance attributes will be tested and the relevant applicable standards. Applicants must produce a minimum of 100 gallons (maximum of 1,000 gal) of fuel for testing and evaluation purposes. If a different volume is proposed, the application must provide a clear justification.

Successful projects will investigate scale-up and process integration challenges associated with transitioning technology from the lab to larger scales. Applicants must quantify engineering principles and develop unit operations for the production and testing of drop-in fuels. Process R&D at this scale generates substantial amounts of data required by industry to subsequently scale biorefinery processes. Applications must:

- Consider the transition from batch to continuous processes;
- Identify critical engineering process parameters and limits;
- Utilize commercial versus laboratory grade materials;
- Address systems / process integration research challenges;
- Identify process hazards and safety requirements;
- Include process design calculations (e.g., heat and mass transfer, reaction kinetics);
- Test and evaluate process R&D to improve yields, conversion efficiency and selectivity;
- Development of primary process and accompanying supply chain requirements; and
- Improve techno economic analysis (TEA) and life-cycle assessment (LCA).

In addition to fuel production and evaluation, Topic Area 2 applicants are required to complete a (non-location specific) basic engineering package (BEP) for the renewable diesel fuel engineering-scale process, including, but not limited to, the following:

- Preliminary Process Design and Process Description
- Process Flow Diagram
- Material and Energy Balance calculations
- Preliminary Cost Estimate and Techno-Economic Analysis

The design basis for the BEP is a front-end throughput minimum of 1 dry metric tonne per day (DTPD) for biomass feedstocks, at least 25,000 gallons of intermediate product per year for an algal process, or utilization of at least 16,000 MBtu/day of biogas/industrial flue gas.

Successful approaches must establish both the financial (including market potential) as well as the environmental benefits for the envisioned process.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Applicants must also present a rationale supporting how the biofuel product(s) will qualify as Advanced or Cellulosic Biofuels by meeting the required GHG reductions as defined in 40 CFR part 80 subpart M. Life-cycle assessment (LCA), such as output from Argonne National Laboratory's GREET Model (The Greenhouse Gases, Regulated Emissions, and Energy Use in Transportation Model), or similar, may be used to provide this justification.

To be selected, projects must provide a preliminary techno-economic analysis (TEA) (including the sale of the envisioned co-product(s)) that meets or exceeds the \$3/GGE target and 50% GHG reduction compared to the baseline petroleum fuel replaced. Updating the TEA at completion of the project will be included as a task.

Applications are required to be at a minimum starting TRL of 3 or 4, and will end at TRL 4 or 5 respectively; that is, each application must advance its technology by at least one TRL level.

For TRL definitions see Appendix G.

Projects under this topic area require cost share be at least 20% of the total allowable costs.

Topic Area 3: Biomass, Biosolids, and Municipal Solid Waste to Energy

BETO seeks to encourage the development of solutions which make full and innovative use of biomass, municipally-derived biosolids, and sorted-municipal solid waste (MSW) feedstocks to advance the state of the art in waste-to-energy. Municipally-derived biosolids and MSW often present a clear disposal problem for municipalities and similar responsible parties. Further, the municipally-derived feedstocks are generally already being collected as part of existing waste management practices. These feedstocks include, but are not limited to, forest residues (notably, trees that have succumbed to environmental stresses), municipal sludges and biosolids; industrial, commercial, and residential organic wastes; fats, oils, and greases; yard trimmings; construction and demolition wastes; non-recyclable paper; and other organic components of MSW.

Biosolids from municipal wastewater treatment processes (including primary, secondary, tertiary, and post-anaerobic digestion sludges) have traditionally been applied as fertilizer, utilized as daily cover in landfills, or incinerated. All three of these options are increasingly constrained by regulation, landfill consolidation, and public acceptance. Alternative solutions that simultaneously minimize the amount of biosolids requiring disposal and energy consumption are needed. Similar logic applies to the organic fraction of municipal solid wastes. Some of these efforts could potentially produce electricity or valuable intermediates (such as renewable natural gas), offering the opportunity to generate revenue from what is currently considered a waste treatment expense. Other possibilities include technologies that

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

simultaneously enhance the energy production from anaerobic digestion and deliver Class A biosolids, which are suitable for a broader array of land applications. However, given their distributed nature, these feedstocks are not produced in the quantities and concentrations required for traditional power plants. Further, given their generally high moisture content, long-distance transportation is rarely economically viable. While forest residues have lower moisture content, they also have geographical challenges. This requires the need for conversion strategies that are techno-economically feasible at scales that match the available feedstock volumes, and comply with relevant emissions standards.

To that end, this FOA seeks proposals to convert these valuable resources into biopower and/or intermediates used to produce biopower. Successful proposals will need to develop technologies that reduce the levelized cost of energy (LCOE) by at least 25%.

$$LCOE = \frac{\text{Total Life Cycle Costs}}{\sum_{t=1}^N \frac{\text{System Energy Output}}{(1+i)^t}} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^N \frac{\text{After Tax Cash Flow}}{(1+i)^t}}{\sum_{t=1}^N \frac{\text{System Energy Output}}{(1+i)^t}} = \frac{\$}{kWh \text{ or MMBtu}}$$

In addition, technologies must, by the end of the project, show a technology that is capable of exceeding an Energy Return on Investment (EROI) of 5 by the end of the project.

$$EROI = \frac{\text{Energy Output}}{\text{Energy Input}} = \frac{Q}{S_1 + S_2}$$

Where:

- Q is the rate of energy output (kWh/analysis period) for the entire energy production system
- S₁ is the conversion energy input into the process (kWh/analysis period)
- S₂ is the embodied energy in the various items used by the energy production system (kWh/analysis period)
- i is the discount rate
- t is the year
- N is the system lifetime in years

The EROI can be evaluated for the whole energy system in a given year, or it can be assessed over the useful life of the system.

Commercial technologies including, but not limited to, incineration or traditional anaerobic digestion, are not eligible under Topic Area 3, and applications proposing such technologies will be considered non-responsive. For purposes of this FOA, “commercial” technology is defined as more than three installations of the core technology in a comparable or larger scale

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

operation in North America for at least one year. So, although traditional anaerobic digestion is not of interest, alternative reactor designs such as anaerobic membrane bioreactors or alternative forms of anaerobic digestion that otherwise meet the requirements of Topic Area 3 are responsive to the FOA and will be reviewed.

Topic Area 3 Specific Areas of Interest:

- Improved strategies for cost-effective torrefaction of eligible feedstocks, including methods to improve uniformity of torrefied biomass and investigation of the effects of biomass and waste blends on the composition of torrefied biomass;
- Lower-cost biogas cleanup approaches (relative to incumbent technologies such as pressure swing adsorption) or enhancement to produce pipeline-quality renewable natural gas;
- Novel anaerobic digestion processes or alternatives which offer substantial reductions in capital and/or operating costs, including both pre-treatments that substantially enhance the performance of anaerobic digestion, and post-treatments that further reduce solids volume and/or recover additional energy and other valuable resources, as well as processes that operate independently of anaerobic digestion; and
- Innovations in gasification of eligible feedstocks, including more cost-effective syngas cleanup.

The feedstocks below are specifically excluded from Topic Area 3. Any applications to Topic Area 3 that rely on these excluded feedstocks will be deemed non-responsive and will not be reviewed or considered:

- Used cooking oil low in free fatty acids (yellow grease).
- Biodiesel.
- Edible foodstuffs.
- Aquatic plants, including, but not limited to, water hyacinth, duckweed, and eelgrass.
- Commonly recycled paper, cardboard and recycled plastics.
- Algal biomass, or any intermediate derived from algal biomass, even if nourished by municipal wastewater.

Projects under this topic area require cost share be at least 20% of the total allowable costs.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest for All Topic Areas

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D of the FOA):

- Technical:
 - Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
 - Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Sections I.A and I.B of the FOA.
 - Applications that fail to include the appropriate data as outlined in the Block Flow Diagram (Appendix F).
 - Commercial technologies.
- Feedstock:
 - Applications that do not use an acceptable feedstock as defined in Appendix D.
 - Applications that propose the use food or feed carbohydrates, lipids, or proteins (e.g. maize or wheat dextrose, beet sucrose, sugar cane or grain sorghum syrup, soybean oil or meal), and/or derivatives (e.g. amino acids from maize dextrose, glycerol from the transesterification of soybean oil).
 - Applications that propose the production of biodiesel produced from transesterification or hydrotreating or hydrocracking of agronomic, natural plant oils (e.g., soybeans, palm, coconut, safflower, and castor) excluded when the biodiesel is specifically used as the final product. Biodiesel produced this way and used as an intermediate to produce drop-in renewable jet or diesel blendstock is allowed.
 - Applications that propose the use of pure sugar feeds and/or 'model' intermediate feeds such as avicel, cane and starch sugar or model lignin compounds and mixtures for their final process. Note that using model compounds in portions of the project is acceptable as long as acceptable feedstocks (as defined by Appendix D) are used to achieve project metrics and goals.
- Products:
 - Applications that propose hydrogen (except as a component of syngas under topic 3), ethanol, biogas, dimethyl ether, and methanol as end products. Note, that while these are not acceptable as end products they are acceptable as intermediates, if the proposal is clear how the intermediates will be incorporated into processes to produce biofuels or bioproduct precursors by project completion.
- Algae:

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- Applications that propose mixotrophic algae cultivation strategies that utilize food-based sugars (i.e., derived from food-based crops including, but not limited to, corn, beets, sorghum, and sugar cane).
- Applications that propose to develop technology for the artificial lighting-based cultivation of algae for energy products (other than as an enabling tool for high throughput laboratory-based screening).

D. Verification Requirements

Applications submitted under all of the topic areas for this FOA are required to participate in a verification process led by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and/or external third-party non-conflicted personnel (BETO’s verification team). This verification process provides technical assistance to both the funding agency and the project by providing an in-depth analysis of key technical and economic metrics to ensure transparency and increase the likelihood of project success.

The objectives of the verification effort are to:

- Verify the applicant’s technical data/performance metrics/targets as described in the original application.
- Establish a framework to evaluate and track progress over time.
- Update the data in the Block Flow Diagram (described below) to specifically match the project scope.
- Establish benchmark/baseline and associated target values.
- Identify potential major showstoppers.
- Align project goals with BETO’s expectations.

There are typically three verification periods throughout the lifetime of the project; the “Initial Verification” conducted at the beginning of the project (months 0-3), the “Intermediate Verification,” conducted in the middle of the project (~18 months), and the “Final Verification,” conducted at the end of the project (within 3 months of closeout). The verification team will perform some or all of these verifications at the recipient’s facility to verify the data included in the Block Flow Diagram attached to this FOA (Appendix F, described in more detail below). The specific objectives of these verifications are:

- The initial verification is to confirm the benchmark data and assumptions provided in the application, which will establish the baseline against which future performance and cost improvements will be evaluated. A go/no-go decision is made after this verification based on the data.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- The intermediate verification will be conducted prior to a go/no-go or stage gate review of the project to assess progress relative to the intermediate performance and cost targets. A go/no-go decision is made after this verification based on the data is verified.
- A third (final) verification visit will be scheduled if a successful go or stage gate result was achieved after the intermediate verification. The objective of this final verification is to assess whether the final targets were achieved and document the challenges overcome as well as the technical or economic challenges that remain.

Block Flow Diagram:

A block flow diagram template is included with the FOA as Appendix F. Applicants are required to submit the information requested in the block flow diagram at the time of application, as it will be reviewed during the technical merit review. For selected projects, the data provided will be used as the basis for review and discussion during the initial verification and will be refined to establish a baseline. Additional technical data may be requested during the verification period. **Applications submitted without the appropriate technical data as defined in the block flow diagram will be excluded from review under this FOA.** In addition, the data provided will be used as the basis for review and discussion during the initial verification and will be considered the project's baseline. As such, it is expected that the project will be able to reproduce this data when/if the verification team travels to the site to perform the verification. It is expected that the data will have been experimentally produced by the applicant in the applicant's facilities. However, if literature data needs to be used for parts of the process, those metrics that are based on literature data should be marked appropriately.

Verification Timeline:

The initial verification period, including on-site observation of experiments (if applicable) and report creation, can take up to three months. Applicants must include this time in their schedule. Selected projects that receive a 'go' decision at the conclusion of the initial verification effort will be subject to both an intermediate and a final verification. The time required for the intermediate and final verifications will be considerably less than the initial verification. However, the applicant must also consider that time should be allocated to collect data for these verifications.

Verification Task:

All applicants must include the initial verification task within their scope as Task 1. It must be separated from the rest of the scope of work by a go/no-go decision point, and applicants should estimate a three-month duration for the verification effort. This task, Task 1, will also be

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

within a separate budget period, Budget Period 1 (BP1), from the remainder of the project. By way of example, the inclusion of the initial verification in the scope could include something like the following:

‘Task 1. Initial Verification. At the beginning of the project, the baseline data and project targets provided in the Block Flow Diagram will be experimentally verified. Process information and data will be provided to DOE (when applicable) to support the process claims within the original application. Technical metrics for project progress will be tailored to the project as needed. These metrics may include additional go/no-go metrics that will be incorporated into the overall project and Statement of Project Objectives. Experiments will be conducted at the on-site verification visit to replicate the benchmark data provided in the application as described in the Block Flow Diagram.

There will be a go/no-go associated with Task 1.1 as follows: Process information and data support the technology readiness level of the overall process, the unit operations within the process, and the original application. Technical metrics are based on preliminary data and represent a meaningful baseline and set of targets.

Upon successful completion of the initial verification effort and go/no-go decision point, the project will commence with work on the Priority Areas as discussed.”

Something similar can be included for the Intermediate Verification as a task that will occur mid-way through the project (~18 months) and the final Verification that will occur at the end of the projects (within 90 days of completion).

Verification Conflict of Interest/Proprietary Information:

All of the technical and economic information requested in the Block Flow Diagram (Appendix F) will be disclosed to non-conflicted DOE National Laboratory (National Renewable Energy Laboratory - NREL) personnel and/or external third-party non-conflicted validators performing the verifications (BETO’s verification team) as well as non-conflicted third-party reviewers potentially participating in the go/no-go review process and/or interim review meetings. It is anticipated that the initial verification may, if necessary, involve pre-existing intellectual property of which DOE will not require publication. Data access, deliverables and dissemination requirements will be negotiated and set forth in the Statement of Project Objectives and will be consistent with Section VIII.M. of this FOA. DOE and those working on DOE’s behalf, such as support service contractors, National Laboratory personnel, validators, and reviewers, must be able to have sufficient access to these data, including, but not limited to, raw technical and financial data, to assess the baseline performance of the technology – subject to appropriate non-disclosure agreements or other protections.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Verification Process:

The verification generally includes three steps: pre-verification, on-site verification (when applicable), and post-verification. The verification will be adapted to be appropriate for the technology readiness level and funding available to the project. However, the details provided below establish the framework for the process.

All steps are performed in concert with BETO's verification team and the project management team. During the pre-verification step, the verification team will work closely with the project team to discuss the effort in detail, initiate the review of the data from the Block Flow Diagram and metrics as provided in the original application, and set the date for the on-site meeting. This is an iterative process between the two teams and establishes the agenda for the on-site meeting. During the on-site verification meeting, the verification team will observe key experiments performed by the project team in order to replicate benchmark/baseline data provided in the application. In addition, the two teams will work together to discuss the goals and performance metrics, ideas for tracking project progress, and alignment with BETO's goals. At the conclusion of the on-site meeting, both teams will have the information needed to proceed forward – the project team will complete revisions to the Block Flow Diagram data previously submitted and resubmit it to DOE, and the verification team will prepare a verification summary report. The post-verification step includes the verification team reporting to DOE and the DOE personnel working through the go/no-go decision point.

At the conclusion of the verification and after a go/no-go decision has been made, the DOE Technology Manager and Contracting Officer will send a formal document to the recipient regarding the go/no-go decision and activities will proceed from there (based on the decision). If a 'go' decision is reached, the project team and DOE Technology Manager will proceed with the necessary steps to release the remaining scope and associated funding for the project. A 'no-go' decision may result in termination of the project or re-direction of scope.

Key Verification Requirements:

- During the initial verification effort, no additional experimental or project work, beyond that associated with the verification, may commence within the proposed scope. Only work associated with the verification – typically project management and data gathering activities – are allowed during the verification. The budget associated with the verification effort should correspond only to these types of activities and is typically minimal compared to the remaining project scope and budget.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- It is anticipated that the intermediate and final verifications will include the applicant (now recipient) presenting the project progress toward the targets established during the initial verification. Both the intermediate and final verifications must be noted and accounted for within the scope, schedule, and budget, so that if a project is selected and receives a ‘go’ decision at the conclusion of the initial verification effort, the schedule and budget will already account for the intermediate and final verifications.

All selected projects that receive awards will also be required to participate in DOE’s Peer Review Process. Currently this is a bi-annual process that includes preparation of a presentation and participation/presentation at the Peer Review Meeting. This activity must be accounted for within each applicant’s scope, schedule, and budget.

E. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statutes are:

- EAct 2005, Title IX, Subtitle C Section 932
- Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, §201, §207

Awards made under FOA are subject to 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make approximately \$20,000,000 of Federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 5-11 awards under this FOA; however, EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards from this FOA. Individual awards may vary between \$1,000,000 and \$3,000,000.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following topic areas:

Topic Area 1: Drop-In Renewable Jet Fuels and Blendstocks

EERE may issue approximately 1-4 awards in this topic area, with an average award amount of \$2,500,000.

Topic Area 2: Drop-In Renewable Diesel Fuel Blendstocks

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

EERE may issue approximately 1-2 awards in this topic area, with an average award amount of \$2,500,000.

Topic Area 3: Biomass, Biosolids, and Municipal Solid Waste to Energy
EERE may issue approximately 1-5 awards in this topic area, with an average award amount of \$1,000,000.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run up to 36 months in length. The initial task in all awards will be a verification of application data. Project continuation after the verification of application data will be contingent upon satisfactory performance and a go/no-go decision review to allow the projects to proceed with the remaining tasks. At the go/no-go decision point(s), EERE will evaluate project readiness compared to the baseline presented in the original full application. As a result of this evaluation, EERE will make a determination to continue the project, re-direct the project, or discontinue funding the project.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through Cooperative Agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients.

Through Cooperative Agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via Cooperative Agreement. See Section VI.B.ix of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with FFRDCs

In most cases, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are funded independently of the remainder of the Project Team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC Project Team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the Prime Recipient for the project will remain the Prime Recipient for the project.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these initial requirements, it will be considered non-responsive, removed from further evaluation, and ineligible for any award.

A. Eligible Applicants

i. Individuals

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

ii. Domestic Entities

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular State or territory of the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995, are not eligible to apply for funding.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

For purposes of this FOA, all U.S. Territories are considered state government entities and are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

iii. Foreign Entities

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the “Individuals” or “Domestic Entities” sections above, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a Prime Recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States to be the Prime Recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the Prime Recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the Prime Recipient on an award). To do so, the Applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. [Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement.](#) The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the

Prime Recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a Subrecipient.

iv. Incorporated Consortia

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States, please refer to “Domestic Entities” above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in “Foreign Entities” above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

v. Unincorporated Consortia

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the Prime Recipient/consortium representative. The Prime Recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the Prime Recipient/consortium representative under Section III.A of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortiums:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members’ efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members’ cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

B. Cost Sharing

Cost Share 20%

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for R&D projects (i.e., the sum of the Government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-Federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. The 20% cost share requirement applies to all project costs, including R&D, or other activities, for all topic areas under this FOA. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation in Appendix A to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the Prime Recipient, the Prime Recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient's cost share obligation is expressed in the Assistance Agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the project period, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by Project Team members in sub awards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each Project Team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.i of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash contributions may be provided by the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: rental value of buildings or equipment, the value of a donated service or resource, or third party in-kind contribution.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal Program.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same Federal regulations as Federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 & 10 CFR 603.525-555 for additional guidance on cost sharing.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-Federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the Prime Recipient's cumulative cost share must always meet or exceed the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated).

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the Prime Recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the Prime Recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the Prime Recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

Letters of Intent and Full Applications must meet all Compliance criteria listed below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider noncompliant submissions, including Letters of Intent, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion.

i. Letters of Intent

Letters of Intent are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the “Submit” button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in this FOA.

ii. Full Applications

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Letter of Intent ;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the “Submit” button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

iii. Replies to Reviewer Comments

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.E of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of this FOA; however, it is the applicant’s responsibility to monitor EERE Exchange in the event that the expected date changes.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All “Applications Specifically Not of Interest,” as described in Section I.C of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a Subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

1. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

2. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the [Enter Laboratory Name] Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

3. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor through the DOE field work proposal system and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

4. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

5. Responsibility

The Prime Recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

including, but not limited to, disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the Prime Recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

6. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The scope of work to be performed by an FFRDC as a subrecipient may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant.

F. Limitation on Number of Letters of Intent and Full Applications Eligible for Review

Applicants may submit more than one Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include two phases: a Letter of Intent phase and a Full Application phase. **Only applicants who have submitted a compliant Letter of Intent will be eligible to submit a Full Application.** At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/>, unless specifically stated otherwise. **EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete submissions.** EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion. A control number will be issued when an applicant begins the Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all Application documents, as described below.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The Letter of Intent, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise.
- Each must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement.
- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. **Applicants are strongly encouraged to submit their Letters of Intent and Full Applications at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline.** Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Letter of Intent, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Letter of Intent, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made, the applicant must resubmit the Letter of Intent, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Letters of Intent, and Full Applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.i of the FOA.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The “Apply” and “Submit” buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Applicants that experience issues with submission PRIOR to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the applicant should contact the Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist Applicants in resolving issues.

Applicants that experience issue with submissions that result in late submissions: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties so severe that they are unable to submit their application by the deadline, the applicant should contact the Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist the applicant in resolving all issues (including finalizing submission on behalf of and with the applicant’s concurrence). PLEASE NOTE, however, those applicants who are unable to submit their application on time due to their waiting until the last minute when network traffic is at its heaviest to submit their materials will not be able to use this process.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov> and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect.

For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_1
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_2, etc.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

C. Content and Form of the Letter of Intent

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by the specified due date and time.

i. Letter of Intent Content Requirements

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by the specified due date and time. Letters of Intent will be used by EERE to plan for the merit review process. Applicants will be neither encouraged nor discouraged from submitting a Full Application based on the information provided in the letter of intent. EERE will not provide feedback on the letters of intent. The letters should not contain any proprietary or sensitive business information.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Letters of Intent (see Section III of the FOA).

Each applicant must provide the following information as part of the Letter of Intent:

- Project Title;
- Lead Organization;
- Organization Type (Business < 500 Employees; Business > 1000 Employees; Business 500-1000 Employees; Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC); Government-Owned, Government Operated; Non-Profit; University);
- % of effort contributed by the Lead Organization;
- The Project Team, including:
 - The Principal Investigator for the Prime Recipient;
 - Team Members (i.e., Subrecipients); and
 - Key Participants (i.e., individuals who contribute in a substantive, measureable way to the execution of the proposed project);
- Technical Topic or Area; and
- Abstract – The abstract provided should be not more than 4000 characters in length, and should provide a truncated explanation of the proposed project.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Applicants must submit a Letter of Intent by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Letter of Intent acknowledgement to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the LOI acknowledgement notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant. Applicants will receive a control number upon submission of their Letter of Intent, and should include that control number in the file name of their Full Application submission (i.e., Control number_Applicant Name_Full Application)."

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Submission	Components	File Name
Full Application (PDF, unless stated otherwise)	Technical Volume (See Chart in Section IV.D.ii)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume
	Statement of Project Objectives (Microsoft Word format) (5 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO
	SF-424	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424
	Budget Justification (EERE 335) (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification
	Summary for Public Release (1 page limit)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary
	Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft PowerPoint format)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	Subrecipient Budget Justification, if applicable (EERE 335) (Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must use the template available in EERE Exchange)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subrecipient_Budget_Justification
	Budget for FFRDC, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FWP
	Authorization from cognizant Contracting Officer for FFRDC, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth
	SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
	Foreign Entity and Performance of Work in the United States waiver requests, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
	U.S. Manufacturing Plans	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP
	Data Management Plan	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP
	Block Flow Diagram	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_BFD

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_1
ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_2, etc.

EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.i. of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume".

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
 Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than **30** pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.i. of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Cover Page	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA topic area being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, and any statements regarding confidentiality.
Project Overview (This section should constitute approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	<p>The Project Overview should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. • Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. • DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

<p>Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (This section should constitute approximately 30% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Technical Description should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. • Feasibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results. • Innovation and Impacts: The applicant should describe the current state of the art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and the overall impact on advancing the state of the art/technical baseline if the project is successful.
<p>Workplan and Market Transformation Plan (This section should constitute approximately 40% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives, Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure, Milestones, go/no-go Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) is separately requested. The Workplan should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the project as well as the expected outcomes. • Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a summary description of the overall work scope and approach to achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided by performance periods that are separated by discrete, approximately annual decision points (see below for more information on go/no-go decision points). The applicant should describe the specific expected end result of each performance period. • Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) and Task Description Summary: The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical of a standard work breakdown structure (WBS) for any project. The Workplan shall contain a concise description of the specific activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project being proposed (i.e., a statement such as “we will then complete a

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	<p>proprietary process” is unacceptable). It is the applicant’s responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed description of the WBS and tasks.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Timely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending on the project, more milestones may be necessary to comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should also provide the means by which the milestone will be verified. The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone Summary Table in the SOPO. • Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a summary of project-wide go/no-go decision points at appropriate points in the Workplan. A go/no-go decision point is a risk management tool and a project management best practice to ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance, technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to actually beginning the execution of future phases. Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at least one project-wide go/no-go decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of the project. The Applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to make the go/no-go decision. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/no-go decision points are considered “SMART” and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone. • End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. • Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and go/no-go decision points.
--	--

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team’s proposed management plan, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The overall approach to and organization for managing the work ○ The roles of each Project Team member ○ Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among Project Team members ○ The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project management practices ○ The approach to project risk management ○ A description of how project changes will be handled ○ If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control ○ How communications will be maintained among Project Team members • Market Transformation Plan: The applicant should provide a market transformation plan, including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including a mitigation plan ○ Identification of a product development and/or service plan, commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, data dissemination, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., and product distribution.
<p>Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)</p>	<p>The Technical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the Project Team’s unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key Subrecipients. • Describe the Project Team’s existing equipment and facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project. • This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives. • Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project. • Attach one-page resumes for key participating team members as an appendix. Resumes do not count towards the page limit. Multi-page resumes are not allowed.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
 Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable. • Attach letters of commitment from all Subrecipient/third party cost share providers as an appendix. Letters of commitment do not count towards the page limit. • Attach any letters of commitment from partners/end users as an appendix (1 page maximum per letter). Letters of commitment do not count towards the page limit. • For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant; ○ Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and Key Participant; ○ How the various efforts will be integrated and managed; ○ Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction; ○ Publication arrangements; ○ Intellectual Property issues; and ○ Communication plans
FOA-Specific Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Block Flow Diagram

iii. Statement of Project Objectives

Applicants are required to complete a Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO) for the entire scope of the project. A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed five (5) pages when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1” margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title

“ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO”.

The first task of the project will be a pre-verification of application data. Applicants should include the following language in their SOPO to represent this Sub Task in Budget Period 1:

Budget Period 1: Sub Task 1.1 – Process and Data Pre-Verification

At the beginning of the project, we will work with DOE to further define the technology readiness level of the overall process, including unit operations within the process. Process information and data will be provided and

demonstrated to DOE to support the process claims within the original application. Technical metrics for project progress will be developed including go/no-go metrics that will be incorporated into the overall project. There will be a go/no-go associated with Task 1.1 as follows: Process information and data support the technology readiness level of the overall process, the unit operations within the process, and the original application. Technical metrics are based on preliminary data and represent meaningful project progress toward the final project goals. Upon successful completion of the data verification effort and go/no-go decision point, the project will move into BP2 with work commencing on Task 2.

iv. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at <http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms>, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424”.

v. Budget Justification Workbook (EERE 335)

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/>. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors, and provide all requested documentation (e.g., a Federally-approved rate agreement, vendor quotes). Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The “Instructions and Summary” included with the Budget Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the “Instructions and Summary” tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification”.

vi. Summary/Abstract for Public Release

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies: the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary".

vii. Summary Slide

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide is used during the evaluation process. Save the Summary Slide in a single file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A technology Summary;
- A description of the technology's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, Prime Recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

viii. Subaward Budget Justification (EERE 335) (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification, EERE 335 (i.e., budget justification for each budget year and a cumulative budget) for each subawardee that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information

described in the “Budget Justification” section above. Save each subaward budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

“ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subawardee_Budget_Justification”.

ix. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1, Work Authorization System. DOE Order 412.1 and DOE O 412.1 (Field Work Proposal form) area available at the following link, under “DOE Budget Forms”:

<https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0412.1-BOrder-a/view>. Save the FWP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FWP”.

x. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor’s authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth”.

xi. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities”

(<https://www.grants.gov/web/grants/forms/sf-424-individual-family.html>) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL”.

xii. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Performance of Work in the United States (if applicable)

1. Foreign Entity Participation:

As set forth in Section III.A.iii, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement.

2. Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States requirement.

xiii. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

As part of the application, applicants are required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant’s measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

The weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans during the review and selection process varies based on the particular FOA. Applicants should review Section V.A.ii of this FOA to determine the weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans under this FOA.

A U.S. Manufacturing Plan should contain the following or similar preamble: “If selected for funding, the applicant agrees to the following commitments as a condition of that funding:” and, after the preamble, the plan should include one or more specific and measureable commitments. For example,

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

an applicant may commit particular types of products to be manufactured in the U.S. In addition to or instead of making a commitment tied to a particular product, the applicant may make other types of commitments still beneficial to U.S. manufacturing. An applicant may commit to a particular investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. (i.e., final assembly) or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology and manufacturing. For an applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. manufacturing plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

When an applicant that is a domestic small business, domestic educational institution, or nonprofit organization is selected for an award, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan submitted by the applicant becomes part of the terms and conditions of the award. The applicant/awardee may request a waiver or modification of the U.S. Manufacturing Plan from DOE upon a showing that the original U.S. Manufacturing Plan is no longer economically feasible.

When an applicant that is a domestic large business is selected for an award, a class patent waiver applies as set forth in Section VIII.K. Under this class patent waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class patent waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of an invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under the award will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

For other entity types that are selected for award, please see Section VIII.K regarding U.S. manufacturing commitments.

xiv. Data Management Plan

Applicants are required to submit a Data Management Plan with their Full Application. The Data Management Plan is a document that outlines the proposed plan for data sharing or preservation. Submission of a Data Management Plan with the Full Application is required; failure to submit a complete Data Management Plan may result in a determination of non-compliance for your Full Application. Guidance for preparing a Data

Management Plan is included in Appendix C of the FOA.

xv. Block Flow Data

A Block Flow Diagram and Supporting Data must be included in the Technical Volume. Applicants must describe the proposed process by providing the requested information and following the instructions in the Block Flow Data Guidance appendix attachment to the FOA. [See Appendix F] The Block Flow Data attachment cannot exceed 40 pages.

Save the Block Flow Diagram in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title “ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_BFD”.

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will notify applicants via email when the Reviewer Comments are available for reply. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant’s responsibility to monitor email in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check email or only relying on the expected date posted on the cover page of the FOA. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Optional	1 pages max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.
----------	-------------	--

F. Post-Award Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including, but not limited to:

- Indirect cost information
- Other budget information
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5)
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable
- Environmental Questionnaire

G. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or Federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR §25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the Federal awarding agency under 2 CFR §25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) at <https://www.sam.gov> before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a Federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a Federal award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements and, if an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a Federal award, the DOE may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.

H. Submission Dates and Times

Letters of Intent, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA. It is the applicant's responsibility to monitor email in the event that the expected dates change.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable Federal cost principles for more information:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the Federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and **only** with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis. Pre-award costs can only be incurred if such costs would be reimbursable under the agreement if incurred after award.

Pre-Award expenditures are made at the Selectee's risk; EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the Selectee anticipated.

1. Pre-Award Costs Related to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute Federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse costs where the Prime Recipient incurred the costs prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Likewise, if a project is selected for negotiation of award, and the Prime Recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the Prime Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal Funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE Awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The Prime Recipient must flow down this requirement to its Subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the Prime Recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The Prime Recipient is responsible should any work under this Award be performed outside the

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

United States, absent a waiver, regardless of if the work is performed by the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. Appendix B lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States requirement.

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file titled "ControlNumber_PerformanceofWork_Waiver". The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American-made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. The rules for property disposition are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316 as amended by 2 CFR 910.360.

vii. Lobbying

Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities”

(<http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf>) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

viii. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a Federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and “Do Not Pay.”

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive Federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-Federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict Federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that

are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal programs or activities.

ix. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories
- Timesheets or personnel hours report
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period
- Analogous information for some subrecipients
- Other items as required by DOE

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative and has the potential to advance the state of the art;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state of the art to the proposed advancement; and

- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in the literature with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Market Transformation Plan (30%)

Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

- Discussion and illustrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Market Transformation Plan

- Identification of target market, competitors, and distribution channels for proposed technology along with known or perceived barriers to market penetration, including mitigation plan; and
- Comprehensiveness of market transformation plan including, but not limited to, product development and/or service plan,

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

commercialization timeline, financing, product marketing, legal/regulatory considerations including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Data Management Plan, U.S. Manufacturing Plan etc., and product distribution.

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (20%)

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team shows the ability to facilitate and expedite further development of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

ii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "Department of Energy Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," which is available at:

<http://energy.gov/management/downloads/merit-review-guide-financial-assistance>.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. Program Policy Factors

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing BETO project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and proven ability to overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project is likely to lead to increased employment and manufacturing in the United States and;
- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@EE.DOE.GOV.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

ii. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

iii. Pre-Selection Interviews

As part of the evaluation and selection process, EERE may invite one or more applicants to participate in Pre-Selection Interviews. Pre-Selection Interviews are distinct from and more formal than pre-selection clarifications (See Section V.D.3 of the FOA). The invited applicant(s) will meet with EERE representatives to provide clarification on the contents of the Full Applications and to provide EERE an opportunity to ask questions regarding the proposed project. The information provided by applicants to EERE through Pre-Selection Interviews contributes to EERE's selection decisions.

EERE will arrange to meet with the invited applicants in person at EERE's offices or a mutually agreed upon location. EERE may also arrange site visits at certain applicants' facilities. In the alternative, EERE may invite certain applicants to participate in a one-on-one conference with EERE via webinar, videoconference, or conference call.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for travel and other expenses relating to the Pre-Selection Interviews, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

EERE may obtain additional information through Pre-Selection Interviews that will be used to make a final selection determination. EERE may select applications for funding and make awards without Pre-Selection Interviews. Participation in Pre-Selection Interviews with EERE does not signify that applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

iv. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less

formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application, and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The pre-selection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

v. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.205.

vi. Selection

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award by September, 2018 and making awards by Fall 2018.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Letters of Intent and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Letter of Intent or Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Letters of Intent Notifications

EERE will not notify applicants of the receipt and eligibility of Letters of Intent.

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award

until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the Prime Recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.ii of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for Federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at <https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov>.

This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. **This step is required to apply to this FOA.**

The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, **the remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award under this FOA.**

2. DUNS Number

Obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at <http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform>.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at <https://www.sam.gov>. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called an MPIN are important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at <https://www.fedconnect.net>. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at https://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect_Ready_Set_Go.pdf.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (<http://www.grants.gov>) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Concept Papers, and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the Department of Energy, including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

Foreign National Access to DOE Sites

All applicants that ultimately enter into an award resulting from this FOA will be subject to the following requirement concerning foreign national involvement. Upon DOE's request, Prime Recipients must provide information to facilitate DOE's responsibilities associated with foreign national access to DOE sites, information, technologies, and equipment. A foreign national is defined as any person who was born outside the jurisdiction of the United States, is a citizen of a foreign government, and has not been naturalized under U.S. law. If the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients, contractors or vendors under the award, anticipate utilizing a foreign national person in the performance of an award, the Prime Recipient is responsible for providing to the Contracting Officer specific information of the foreign national(s) to satisfy compliance with all of the requirements for access approval.

Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime Recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier Subrecipients. Prime Recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: <http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp>.

Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires Federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at <http://nepa.energy.gov/>.

While NEPA compliance is a Federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the Federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the Prime Recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. §1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations

In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:

- a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months, and
- b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both for-profit and non-profit organizations.

3. Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations

In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents that:

- a. It **does not and will not** require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.
- b. It **does not and will not** use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
 - (1) *“These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to: (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling.”*
 - (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
 - (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal Federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE Awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports, providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in usual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under Awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the Award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the Project.
- EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this Award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
- EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the Project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the Project at that the go/no-go decision point(s).
- EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- DOE will be actively involved with the Recipient in verifying the current technology readiness level of the project (and specific unit operations) as well as establishing the project technology baseline and interim and concluding performance metrics. This includes working with the Recipient to generate a baseline technical and financial data sheet that will then be updated periodically throughout the project.

Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that Prime Recipients and Subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each Prime Recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for 10 years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by Prime Recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the Prime Recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at <http://energy.gov/gc/standard-intellectual-property-ip-provisions-financial-assistance-awards>.

Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, DOE F 4600.2, attached to the award agreement. Other reports that will be required from projects awarded under this FOA will include monthly technical and financial updates, annual updates to the Project Management Plan, and a technical and financial data sheet which will be updated at the go/no-go and down-select decision points. The checklist can be accessed at <https://www.energy.gov/eere/funding/eere-funding-application-and-management-forms>

Understanding that investments in bioenergy applied R&D often do not immediately translate into impactful commercial products and processes, BETO is interested in evaluating both the short-term progress and the long-

term impact of its investments. Thus, a letter of commitment from proposers agreeing to report beyond the end of the period of performance will be required as part of the application. Similar language will be incorporated into the substantial involvement clause of the resulting awards. Recipients will be required to furnish brief commercialization status updates to BETO for a period of 5 years after the end of the period of performance, no more frequently than annually and consisting of no more than 600 words per report. This information could include, but is not limited to whether the company has sales from new bioenergy products or technologies, whether new jobs were created in the prior year, whether new manufacturing facilities have been financed or established, and/or whether it has been acquired by another company.

Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. Federal funding beyond the go/no-go decision point (continuation funding), is contingent on: (1) the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) meeting the objectives, milestones, deliverables, and decision point criteria of recipient's approved project and obtaining approval from EERE to continue work on the project; and (3) the submittal of required reports in accordance with the Statement of Project Objectives.

As a result of the Go/No-Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The go/no-go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including, but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

Conference Spending

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

The recipient shall not expend **any** funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States Government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

UUC Financing Statements

Per 2 CFR 910.360 (Real Property and Equipment) when a piece of equipment is purchased by a for-profit recipient or subrecipient with Federal Funds, and when the Federal share of the financial assistance agreement is more than \$1,000,000, the recipient or subrecipient must:

Properly record, and consent to the Department's ability to properly record if the recipient fails to do so, UCC financing statement(s) for all equipment in excess of \$5,000 purchased with project funds. These financing statement(s) must be approved in writing by the contracting officer prior to the recording, and they shall provide notice that the Recipient's title to all equipment (not real property) purchased with Federal funds under the financial assistance agreement is conditional pursuant to the terms of this section, and that the Government retains an undivided reversionary interest in the equipment. The UCC financing statement(s) must be filed before the Contracting Officer may reimburse the recipient for the Federal share of the equipment unless otherwise provided for in the relevant financial assistance agreement. The recipient shall further make any amendments to the financing statements or additional recordings, including appropriate continuation statements, as necessary or as the contracting officer may direct.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov. Questions

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

must be submitted not later than 3 business days prior to the application due date and time.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: <https://eere-exchange.energy.gov>. **Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA.** EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

H. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

I. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

J. Treatment of Application Information

In general, EERE will only use data and other information contained in applications for evaluation purposes, unless such information is generally available to the public or is already the property of the Government.

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA.

The use of protective markings such as “Do Not Publicly Release – Trade Secret” or “Do Not Publicly Release – Confidential Business Information” is encouraged. However, applicants should be aware that the use of protective markings is not dispositive as to whether information will be publicly released pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175. (See Section VIII.H of this document, “Notice of Potential Disclosure Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)” for additional information regarding the public release of information under the Freedom of Information Act.

Applicants are encouraged to employ protective markings in the following manner:

The cover sheet of the application must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data:

Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential, and is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source.
[End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged must be marked as follows: “May contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential and exempt from public disclosure.”

In addition, each line or paragraph containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential must be enclosed in brackets.

K. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the go/no-go Review and Peer Review, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non Federal personnel as

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest and non-disclosure agreements prior to reviewing an application. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

L. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

M. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

N. Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Under the Freedom of Information Act, (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, any information received from the Applicant is considered to be an agency record, and as such, subject to public release under FOIA. The purpose of the FOIA is to afford the public the right to request and receive agency records unless those agency records are protected from disclosure under one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions. Decisions to disclose or withhold information received from the Applicant are based upon the applicability of one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions, not on the existence or nonexistence of protective markings or designations. Only the agency's designated FOIA Officer may determine if information received from the Applicant may be withheld pursuant to one of the nine FOIA exemptions. All FOIA requests received by DOE are processed in accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 1004.

O. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.*

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

P. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

Q. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below.

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions.
- All other parties: The Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C. 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below).
 - Class Patent Waiver:

DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.
- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.

- **Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC):** Each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. If selected, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated into the award terms and conditions for domestic small businesses and nonprofit organizations. DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrants the modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. For example, the commitments and enforcement of a U.S. Manufacturing Plan may be tied to subject inventions. Any Bayh-Dole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this DEC has the right to appeal it.

R. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

i. Government Use License

The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

ii. March-In Rights

The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a Prime Recipient, Subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a fact-finding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

S. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

“Limited Rights Data”: The U.S. Government may require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics to further the objectives of this FOA

Government rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. Government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated (“Protected Data”). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

T. Copyright

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The Prime Recipient and Subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the Government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the Government.

U. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the Applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The term “personally identifiable information” refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records, etc. alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother’s maiden name, etc. (See OMB Memorandum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

<https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/omb/memoranda/2007/m07-16.pdf>

By way of example, Applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, phone/cell numbers, personal emails and/or SSNs. In short, if the PII is not essential to the application, it should not be in the application.

V. Annual Compliance Audits

If a for-profit entity is a Prime Recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE funds during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of Federal funds during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year, then a single or program-specific audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and sub-recipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.

Appendix A – Cost Share Information

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms “cost sharing” and “cost matching” are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term “cost sharing,” as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here “cost matching” for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. Following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 50% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Total Project Cost
Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 50% = \$2,000,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus Federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$)
Example: \$2,000,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$1,000,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%)
Example: \$1,000,000 divided by \$2,000,000 = 50%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E - Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

- (A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the Prime Recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:
- (1) They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
 - (2) They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
 - (3) They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
 - (4) They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:
 - a. For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A-122

is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations

- b. Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
- (5) They are not paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- (6) They are provided for in the approved budget.

(B) Valuing and documenting contributions

- (1) Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:
- a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
- (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.
- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of

an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.

(4) Valuing property donated by third parties.

- a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.
- b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:
 - i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
 - ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.

(5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:

- a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
- b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

Appendix B – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance of Work in the United States

Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient

As set forth in Section III.A.iii, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. A request to waive the *Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient* requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the Prime Recipient;
- Country of incorporation;
- A description of the project’s anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity’s participation as the Prime Recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP;
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request.

Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. (“foreign work”);
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
 - How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and Recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE’s decision concerning a waiver request.

Appendix C - Data Management Plan

A data management plan (“DMP”) explains how data generated in the course of the work performed under an EERE award will be shared and preserved or, when justified, explains why data sharing or preservation is not possible or scientifically appropriate.

DMP Requirements

In order for a DMP to be considered acceptable, the DMP must address the following:

At a minimum, the DMP must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.

The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data should be made as accessible as possible in accordance with the principles stated above. This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed.

The DMP should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed work. In particular, a DMP that explicitly or implicitly commits data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at DOE User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other DOE facilities can be found in the additional guidance from the sponsoring program.

The DMP must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be consistent with all laws (i.e., export control laws), and DOE regulations, orders, and policies.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Data Determination for a DMP

The Principal Investigator should determine which data should be the subject of the DMP and, in the DMP, propose which data should be shared and/or preserved in accordance with the DMP Requirements noted above.

For data that will be generated through the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate what types of data should be protected from immediate public disclosure by DOE (referred to as “protected data”) and what types of data that DOE should be able to release immediately. Similarly, for data developed outside of the proposed work at private expense that will be used in the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate whether that type of data will be subject to public release or kept confidential (referred to as “limited rights data”). Any use of limited rights data or labeling of data as “protected data” must be consistent with the DMP Requirements noted above.

Suggested Elements for a DMP

The following list of elements for a DMP provides suggestions regarding the data management planning process and the structure of the DMP:

Data Types and Sources: A brief, high-level description of the data to be generated or used through the course of the proposed work and which of these are considered digital research data necessary to validate the research findings or results.

Content and Format: A statement of plans for data and metadata content and format including, where applicable, a description of documentation plans, annotation of relevant software, and the rationale for the selection of appropriate standards. Existing, accepted community standards should be used where possible. Where community standards are missing or inadequate, the DMP could propose alternate strategies for facilitating sharing, and should advise the sponsoring program of any need to develop or generalize standards.

Sharing and Preservation: A description of the plans for data sharing and preservation. This should include, when appropriate: the anticipated means for sharing and the rationale for any restrictions on who may access the data and under what conditions; a timeline for sharing and preservation that addresses both the minimum length of time the data will be available and any anticipated delay to data access after research findings are published; any special requirements for data sharing, for example, proprietary software needed to access or interpret data, applicable policies, provisions, and licenses for re-use and re-distribution, and for the production of derivatives, including guidance for how data and data products should be cited; any resources and capabilities (equipment, connections,

systems, software, expertise, etc.) requested in the research proposal that are needed to meet the stated goals for sharing and preservation (this could reference the relevant section of the associated research proposal and budget request); and whether/where the data will be preserved after direct project funding ends and any plans for the transfer of responsibilities for sharing and preservation.

Protection: A statement of plans, where appropriate and necessary, to protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; and avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness.

Rationale: A discussion of the rationale or justification for the proposed data management plan including, for example, the potential impact of the data within the immediate field and in other fields, and any broader societal impact.

Additional Guidance

In determining which data should be shared and preserved, researchers must consider the data needed to validate research findings as described in the Requirements, and are encouraged to consider the potential benefits of their data to their own fields of research, fields other than their own, and society at large.

DMPs should reflect relevant standards and community best practices and make use of community accepted repositories whenever practicable.

Costs associated with the scope of work and resources articulated in a DMP may be included in the proposed research budget as permitted by the applicable cost principles.

To improve the discoverability of and attribution for datasets created and used in the course of research, EERE encourages the citation of publicly available datasets within the reference section of publications, and the identification of datasets with persistent identifiers such as Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs). In most cases, EERE can provide DOIs free of charge for data resulting from DOE-funded research through its Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) DataID Service.

EERE's Digital Data Management principles can be found at: [EERE Digital Data Management | Department of Energy](#)

Definitions

Data Preservation: Data preservation means providing for the usability of data beyond the lifetime of the research activity that generated them.

Data Sharing: Data sharing means making data available to people other than those who have generated them. Examples of data sharing range from bilateral communications with colleagues, to providing free, unrestricted access to anyone through, for example, a web-based platform.

Digital Research Data: The term digital data encompasses a wide variety of information stored in digital form including: experimental, observational, and simulation data; codes, software and algorithms; text; numeric information; images; video; audio; and associated metadata. It also encompasses information in a variety of different forms including raw, processed, and analyzed data, published and archived data.

Research Data: The recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as necessary to validate research findings, but not any of the following: preliminary analyses, drafts of scientific papers, plans for future research, peer reviews, or communications with colleagues. This 'recorded' material excludes physical objects (e.g., laboratory samples). Research data also do not include:

(A) Trade secrets, commercial information, materials necessary to be held confidential by a researcher until they are published, or similar information which is protected under law; and

(B) Personnel and medical information and similar information the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, such as information that could be used to identify a particular person in a research study.”

Validate: In the context of DMPs, validate means to support, corroborate, verify, or otherwise determine the legitimacy of the research findings. Validation of research findings could be accomplished by reproducing the original experiment or analyses; comparing and contrasting the results against those of a new experiment or analyses; or by some other means.

Appendix D – Allowable Feedstock Definitions

Table 2 outlines which feedstocks may be used for each FOA topic area. Definitions of each feedstock for the purposes of this FOA are below.

	Biomass Feedstocks or Biomass Intermediates	Biogas	Algal Biomass	Carbon Dioxide/Flue Gas	Post-sorted MSW	Wet Waste
Topic Area 1:	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed
Topic Area 2:	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed	Allowed
Topic Area 3:	Allowed	Allowed			Allowed	Allowed

Table 2. Acceptable Feedstocks

“Advanced biofuels” for purposes of this FOA, the term 'advanced biofuels' means renewable fuel, other than sugar, starch and lignocellulosic based ethanol, that has lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions that are at least 50 percent less than baseline lifecycle greenhouse gas emissions. The targeted fuels must be either currently approved or likely to be approved in the future as JP-5, JP-8, JP-10 or F-76 equivalents. “Biodiesel” or other diesel-equivalent fuel derived from trans-esterification of renewable biomass, including vegetable oil and animal fat, is specifically excluded when the biodiesel is specifically used as the final product. Biodiesel produced this way and used as an intermediate to produce drop-in renewable jet or diesel blendstock is allowed.

“Biomass intermediates” for the purposes of this FOA, ‘biomass intermediates’ are biologically derived materials such as mixed, dilute sugars, oligomeric sugars, acids, alcohols, biogases, biosolids, and lignin (additional information provided below). Further conversion of these intermediates leads to liquid transportation fuels or other bioproducts.

“Biomass feedstocks”

Both lignocellulosic and algal feedstocks are of interest. Applicants must identify their target, high-impact feedstock, which is defined as a feedstock that could be sustainably produced at a rate of at least 50 million dry tons of biomass per year. Alternatively, the proposed technology must be shown to have the ability to convert a variety of biomass feedstocks that together represent a total sustainable potential of at least 50 million dry tons of biomass per year. The lignocellulosic biomass sources include agricultural residues such as corn stover, other grain straws, bagasse, soybean matter and wood residues as defined in EPA Act 2005 Section 932(a)(1)&(2) and cited below. No plant based material that is generally intended for use as food may be used as a feedstock under this FOA. Hence, sugars derived from sugarcane, sweet sorghum, or beets and oils derived from soy, canola, sunflower, peanut, and other such food

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

sources normally recovered using conventional food processing methods are not eligible as feedstocks under this FOA. Model compounds may not be used to meet technical milestones; real biomass-derived feedstocks are required. To be clear, applications proposing to process fiber from wet and dry-grind corn refineries, distillers dried grains and solubles, or other food related biomass will be considered non-responsive and will NOT be considered for funding under this FOA.

Algal biomass includes micro- and macro-algae, as well as cyanobacteria. Algal biofuel and bioproduct intermediates include extracted lipids, products derived from sugars or proteins (alcohol or hydrocarbon fuels), secreted metabolites (alcohols or others), or bio-crude resulting from hydrothermal liquefaction. If experimental plans rely on genetically modified organism (GMO) technology, a discussion of the U.S. regulatory landscape – e.g., Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA), the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) – and the impacts of regulations on the project objectives, scope, and schedule are required. Biology and cultivation experimental plans must consider scaling explicitly in experimental design and objectives as shown by, but not limited to: primary use of robust production organisms (instead of model organisms, e.g. *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*); diurnal cycles, solar-strength irradiance, and fluctuating temperatures for growth experiments; and outdoor culture performance verification.

“Biogas” (including landfill gas and sewage waste treatment gas) for the purposes of this FOA, is produced through the conversion of organic matter from renewable biomass. This includes syngas from the gasification of biomass. Pure methane and mixtures of gases resembling biogas are specifically excluded as feedstocks, although renewable natural gas is allowed as a product.

“Carbon dioxide/flue gas” for the purpose of this FOA, refers to any waste carbon dioxide (CO₂) produced as a byproduct from fermentation or the combustion of biomass or other biopower processes. Applicants can propose to use synthetic gas mixtures that reasonably mimic actual waste CO₂ streams during their work.

“Lignin” for the purpose of this FOA, refers to a lignin-containing stream resulting from the deconstruction of lignocellulosic biomass. Model compounds may not be used to meet milestones or metrics. To meet the metric of >50% conversion of lignin, only carbon actually derived from the lignin polymer will be accepted. Therefore, appropriate methods must be in place to determine the extent of lignin conversion and to characterize lignin deconstruction products.

“Post-sorted MSW” for the purposes of this FOA, biomass as defined in EPA Act 2005 (Public Law 109-58) Section 932(a)(1-2) that is segregated from the MSW as a separate stream, could be employed as a feedstock with appropriate considerations for the costs of such segregation, collection, processing, and transportation. Hence, post-sorted MSW, where all recyclables and

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

non-biomass components have been removed, would qualify, but only the remaining organic material that meets the above requirements would qualify as a feedstock for purposes of this FOA. Unsorted MSW is specifically excluded.

“Wet waste” for the purpose of this FOA, “wet waste” refers to the following: Primary, secondary, tertiary, and post-anaerobic digestion sludge (i.e., biosolids) from municipal wastewater treatment systems; food wastes from industrial, commercial, and residential sources; Organic-rich wastewaters from industrial and commercial operations; Manure slurries from animal husbandry operations

Sec. 932. BIOENERGY PROGRAM.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) BIOMASS.—The term “biomass” means—

(A) any organic material grown for the purpose of being converted to energy;

(B) any organic byproduct of agriculture (including wastes from food production and processing) that can be converted into energy; or

(C) any waste material that can be converted to energy, is segregated from other waste materials, and is derived from—

(i) any of the following forest-related resources: mill residues, precommercial thinnings, slash, brush, or otherwise non-merchantable material; or

(ii) wood waste materials, including waste pallets, crates, dunnage, manufacturing and construction wood wastes (other than pressure-treated, chemically-treated, or painted wood wastes), and landscape or right-of-way tree trimmings, but not including municipal solid waste, gas derived from the biodegradation of municipal solid waste or paper that is commonly recycled.

(2) LIGNOCELLULOSIC FEEDSTOCK.—The term “lignocellulosic feedstock” means any portion of a plant or coproduct from conversion, including crops, trees, forest residues, and agricultural residues *not specifically grown for food*, [emphasis added] including from barley grain, grape seed, rice bran, rice hulls, rice straw, soybean matter, and sugarcane bagasse.

(b) PROGRAM.—The Secretary shall conduct a program of research, development, demonstration, and commercial application for bioenergy, including—

(1) biopower energy systems;

(2) biofuels;

(3) bioproducts;

(4) integrated biorefineries that may produce biopower, biofuels, and bioproducts;

(5) cross-cutting research and development in feedstocks; and

(6) economic analysis

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

(c) BIOFUELS AND BIOPRODUCTS.—The goals of the biofuels and bioproducts programs shall be to develop, in partnership with industry and institutions of higher education—

(1) advanced biochemical and thermochemical conversion technologies capable of making fuels from lignocellulosic feedstocks that are price-competitive with gasoline or diesel in either internal combustion engines or fuel cell-powered vehicles;

(2) advanced biotechnology processes capable of making biofuels and bioproducts with emphasis on development of biorefinery technologies using enzyme-based processing systems;

(3) advanced biotechnology processes capable of increasing energy production from lignocellulosic feedstocks, with emphasis on reducing the dependence of industry on fossil fuels in manufacturing facilities; and

(4) other advanced processes that will enable the development of cost-effective bioproducts, including biofuels.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Appendix F – Block Flow Data

NOTE: This Block Flow Data template is provided as a convenient method of documenting the information required to assess and verify the projects proposed in response to this FOA. The use of the Block Flow Data template is not required, but the data elements within the Block Flow Data template are required.

Instructions and Overview:

The purpose of this section is to assess the merits of the selected technology and the status of the process technology in order to gain an understanding of project risks and the potential viability of the proposed project. Please answer all questions as thoroughly as possible based on current knowledge.

It is expected that applicants have collected data from some: **(a) existing facility or laboratory** that is used to scale to the **(b) proposed process**. The proposal should include block flow diagrams (BFD) and data for both the existing and proposed facility and the supporting data should clearly show how the proposed project will advance the technology readiness from TRL 3 or 4 to TRL 5 or 6 (i.e. by at one TRL level). If the proposal is selected for award, the BFD and data for the existing capability will be used to establish a project baseline and will be verified during the initial verification and the BFD and data for the proposed facility will be used to establish key technical and cost performance targets for the project.

Unit Operation Step: Unit operation steps are defined as the areas in the plant where a change occurs, such as reactions, physical changes to materials including materials handling, or chemical conversions. (A physical step physically alters material, and a chemical conversion step involves changes in the molecular form of a material.) Some examples of items to be included as unit operation steps appear below.

Examples of block steps:

Reactors	Shredder
Distillation	Mixers
Drying	Aerators
Separations	Filters
Ion exchange	Gas absorption

Use a unique number for each unit operation in the BFD. Show recycle loops and waste streams as well. The characteristics of each output should directly tie to input of the respective unit operation in the process. If additional processing is required before the output of one unit can be used as the input to another, an additional unit operation should be included to describe how the stream is altered. It is particularly important to focus on the heat and material balance

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

of each block step. The description of the process should begin with the first manipulation of the feedstock in its as-received condition, such as de-stringing of baled corn stover or any initial sizing/moisture reduction of wood chips. Applicants are encouraged to summarize the process using ten blocks or fewer for an estimated level of detail.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

Provide the following information for the existing and proposed process BFD's

1. How and why was the proposed process chosen? Discuss technical and business risks, benefits and opportunities associated with the process.
2. Describe the history of research and development performed by the applicant for the proposed process including scale, duration of runs, type of data collected, etc.
3. Provide overall heat and mass balances (i.e. known for the existing capability and projected for the proposed facility).
4. Provide an overall heat and mass balance for a proposed commercial embodiment of the technology. In addition provide the following, commenting on both the values observed to date as well as targets for the envisioned commercial-scale facility:
 - 1) GHGs (g CO₂-e/MJ fuel or kWh) – (emissions)
 - 2) Fossil Energy Consumption (MJ fossil energy/MJ fuel product or kWh power)
 - 3) Total Fuel Yield (gal/dry ton feedstock; GGE/dry ton feedstock)
 - 4) Carbon-to-Fuel Efficiency (C in fuel/C in biomass)
 - 5) Water Consumption (m³/day; gal/GGE; gal/kWh)
 - 6) Wastewater Generation (m³/day; gal/GGE; gal/kWh)
5. Include a discussion of any remaining regulatory approval requirements and how the proposed facility will contribute to advancing the market acceptance of the products.

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Answer the following questions for each Unit Operation shown in the BFD

Unit Operation # – {title}

1. Name or title (as shown in the BFD).

2. Description of the existing and proposed unit operation.
 - 1) Capacity and throughput.

 - 2) Provide the heat and material balance (H&MB). Specifically including, but not limited to, energy and carbon balance information.

 - 3) Provide the processing conditions for the unit operation, including temperature, pressure, and residence time.

 - 4) Provide designed and actual yield, conversion and efficiency data for each unit operation detailing the products, byproducts, and waste streams.

 - 5) Describe any known causes and the effects and impacts thereof for system upset and contaminants (including the source(s) of the contaminants).

 - 6) Provide a description, including physical and chemical composition, phase, temperature and pressure of all input and output streams.

 - 7) Describe the mode of operation, i.e. batch, plug or continuous flow.

*Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.*

8) For all waste streams leaving the process describe the physical and chemical composition, phase, temperature and pressure, and the proposed method of treatment, storage and/or disposal.

3. Describe the state of technology for the unit operation.

1) If the technology is commercially available, is the proposed design and use within the manufacturer's normal operating parameters?

2) At what scale(s) has the technology been previously designed and tested? What is the scale-up factor for the proposed unit operation or integration step? (Scale up = proposed facility unit capacity divided by previous scale capacity.) That is, provide specific explanation and justification for the basis of assumed success in achieving the designed scale up.

3) How many runs were made at the existing scale, and for how many continuous hours/days? When (approximately) was the most recent test run?

4) If R&D is the basis for the state of technology, describe the original goals and objectives of the R&D. If not discussed in #3, above, summarize the results of the R&D and discuss how the original goals and objectives were met or not met. Describe the quality and replicability of the results. (If data quality objectives were used to set minimum data quality standards, briefly describe them.)

What further R&D is needed? Describe the goal and summarize the work required to obtain the needed information. In lieu of including the information here, if the work is planned to be conducted as part of the project within the scope of this proposal, reference the activity (preferably by task or WBS number(s)).

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.
Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in
subject line.

Appendix G – Technology Readiness Level Descriptions

Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs): Identify the readiness level of the technology associated with the project as well as the planned progression during the course of project execution. A detailed explanation of the rationale for the estimated technology readiness level should be provided. Specific entry criteria for the next higher technology readiness level should be identified. The following definitions apply:

1. **TRL-1.** Basic principles observed and reported: Scientific problem or phenomenon identified. Essential characteristics and behaviors of systems and architectures are identified using mathematical formulations or algorithms. The observation of basic scientific principles or phenomena has been validated through peer-reviewed research. Technology is ready to transition from scientific research to applied research.
2. **TRL-2.** Technology concept and/or application formulated: Applied research activity. Theory and scientific principles are focused on specific application areas to define the concept. Characteristics of the application are described. Analytical tools are developed for simulation or analysis of the application.
3. **TRL-3.** Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof of concept: Proof of concept validation has been achieved at this level. Experimental research and development is initiated with analytical and laboratory studies. System/integrated process requirements for the overall system application are well known. Demonstration of technical feasibility using immature prototype implementations are exercised with representative interface inputs to include electrical, mechanical, or controlling elements to validate predictions.
4. **TRL-4.** Component and/or process validation in laboratory environment- Alpha prototype (component): Standalone prototyping implementation and testing in laboratory environment demonstrates the concept. Integration and testing of component technology elements are sufficient to validate feasibility.
5. **TRL-5.** Component and/or process validation in relevant environment- Beta prototype (component): Thorough prototype testing of the component/process in relevant environment to the end user is performed. Basic technology elements are integrated with reasonably realistic supporting elements based on available technologies. Prototyping implementations conform to the target environment and interfaces.
6. **TRL-6.** System/process model or prototype demonstration in a relevant environment- Beta

Questions about this FOA? Email BETOPDABBFOA@ee.doe.gov.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov Include FOA name and number in subject line.

prototype (system): Prototyping implementations are partially integrated with existing systems. Engineering feasibility fully demonstrated in actual or high fidelity system applications in an environment relevant to the end user.

7. **TRL-7.** System/process prototype demonstration in an operational environment- Integrated pilot (system): System prototyping demonstration in operational environment. System is at or near full scale (pilot or engineering scale) of the operational system, with most functions available for demonstration and test. The system, component, or process is integrated with collateral and ancillary systems in a near production quality prototype.
8. **TRL-8.** Actual system/process completed and qualified through test and demonstration- Pre-commercial demonstration: End of system development. Full-scale system is fully integrated into operational environment with fully operational hardware and software systems. All functionality is tested in simulated and operational scenarios with demonstrated achievement of end-user specifications. Technology is ready to move from development to commercialization.