Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE)

Buildings Energy Efficiency Frontiers & Innovation Technologies (BENEFIT) – 2017

Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) Number: DE-FOA-0001632 FOA Type: Initial CFDA Number: 81.086

FOA Issue Date:	Nov 30 th , 2016
Informational Webinar:	December 6 th ,
https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/5505829044318937859	2016 3:00 PM ET
Submission Deadline for Concept Papers:	January 4 th ,2017
	5:00pm ET
Submission Deadline for Full Applications:	March 8 th , 2017
	5:00pm ET
Expected Submission Deadline for Replies to Reviewer Comments:	April 11 th , 5:00pm
	ET
Expected Date for EERE Selection Notifications:	Summer 2017
Expected Timeframe for Award Negotiations	Fall 2017

- Applicants must submit a Concept Paper by 5:00pm ET the due date listed above to be eligible to submit a Full Application.
- To apply to this FOA, applicants must register with and submit application materials through EERE Exchange at https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov, EERE's online application portal.
- Applicants must designate primary and backup points-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment to issue an award. It is imperative that the applicant/selectee be responsive during award negotiations and meet negotiation deadlines. Failure to do so may result in cancelation of further award negotiations and rescission of the Selection.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Table of Contents

I.	Funding Opportunity Description	6
А	. Description/Background	6
В	. Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest	9
С	. Applications Specifically Not of Interest	25
D	Authorizing Statutes	25
н.	Award Information	25
А	Award Overview	
	i Estimated Funding	25
	ii Period of Performance	26
	iii New Applications Only	26
В	FFRE Funding Agreements	26
5	i Cooperative Agreements	27
	ii Eunding Agreements with FERDCs	27
	iii Grants	27
	iv Technology Investment Agreements	27
III.	Eligibility Information	
A	. Eligible Applicants	29
	i. Individuals	29
	ii. Domestic Entities	29
	iii. Foreign Entities	29
	iv. Incorporated Consortia	
	v. Unincorporated Consortia	31
В	Cost Sharing	31
	i. Legal Responsibility	32
	ii. Cost Share Allocation	
	iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability	
	iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs	
	v. Cost Share Verification	
	vi. Cost Share Payment	
C	Compliance Criteria	35
	i. Compliance Criteria	35
D	0. Responsiveness Criteria	
E	. Other Eligibility Requirements	
	i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFR	DC) Listed as
	the Applicant	
	ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Develo	opment
	Centers Included as a Subrecipient	
F.	. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review	
G	i. Questions Regarding Eligibility	
IV.	Application and Submission Information	38
А	Application Process	
	i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange	

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

В	•	Application Forms	40
C		Content and Form of the Concept Paper	40
	i.	Concept Paper Content Requirements	40
D		Content and Form of the Full Application	43
	i.	Full Application Content Requirements	43
	ii.	Technical Volume	45
	iii.	Statement of Project Objectives	52
	iv.	SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance	52
	٧.	Budget Justification Workbook (EERE 335)	52
	vi.	Summary/Abstract for Public Release	53
	vii.	Summary Slide	53
	viii	. Subaward Budget Justification (EERE 335) (if applicable)	54
	ix.	Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)	54
	х.	Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)	54
	xi.	SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	54
	xii.	Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Performance of Work in the United States (if applicable)	55
	xiii	. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments	55
	xiv	Data Management Plan	57
	xv.	Open Source Software Distribution Plan	57
	xvi	. Intellectual Property Management Plan	57
E		Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments	57
F	•	Post-Award Information Requests	58
G	i.	Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management	59
Н		Submission Dates and Times	59
١.		Intergovernmental Review	59
J.		Funding Restrictions	60
	i.	Allowable Costs	60
	ii.	Pre-Award Costs	60
	iii.	Performance of Work in the United States	61
	iv.	Construction	62
	۷.	Foreign Travel	62
	vi.	Equipment and Supplies	63
	vii.	Lobbying	63
	viii	. Risk Assessment	63
	ix.	Invoice Review and Approval	64
	х.	Additional Requirements	64
v.	Ар	plication Review Information	64
Δ		Technical Review Criteria	64
	i.	Concent Paners	04
		Full Applications	65
		Criteria for Renlies to Reviewer Comments	67
В		Standards for Application Evaluation	68
c		Other Selection Factors	68
	i	Program Policy Factors	
D		Evaluation and Selection Process	69
0	i.	Overview	69
	ii.	Pre-Selection Interviews	69
	iii.	Pre-Selection Clarification	69

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	iv. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters	70
	v. Selection	71
Ε.	Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates	71
VI.	Award Administration Information	71
Α.	Award Notices	
	i. Ineligible Submissions	71
	ii. Concept Paper Notifications	71
	iii. Full Application Notifications	72
	iv. Successful Applicants	72
	v. Alternate Selection Determinations	72
	vi. Unsuccessful Applicants	73
В.	Administrative and National Policy Requirements	73
	i. Registration Requirements	73
	ii. Award Administrative Requirements	74
	iii. Foreign National Access to DOE Sites	74
	iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting	75
	v. National Policy Requirements	75
	vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)	75
	vii. Applicant Representations and Certifications	75
	viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship	78
	ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement	78
	x. Intellectual Property Management Plan	79
	xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting	80
	xii. Intellectual Property Provisions	80
	XIII. Reporting	80
	XIV. GO/NO-GO REVIEW	81
	xv. Conference spending	
VII.	Questions/Agency Contacts	82
VIII.	Other Information	82
Α.	FOA Modifications	82
В.	Informational Webinar	82
С.	Government Right to Reject or Negotiate	83
D.	Commitment of Public Funds	83
Ε.	Treatment of Application Information	83
F.	Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel	84
G.	Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities	84
Н.	Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability	84
١.	Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)	85
J.	Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure	85
К.	Retention of Submissions	85
L.	Itile to Subject Inventions	
M.	Government Rights in Subject Inventions	
	Overnment Use License	
N I	II. IVIATCH-IN KIGNTS	
IN.	Rights in Technical Data	88 مە
U. D	Copyright	۵۵ ۵۰
۲.	ר בו זטוומווץ ועבוונוומטוב וווטרווומנוטוו (רוו)	

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Q.	Annual Compliance Audits	89
Appen	dix A – Cost Share Information	
Appen	dix B – Sample Cost Share Calculation for Blended Cost Share Percentage	
Appen the Un	dix C – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Perforn ited States	nance of Work in 96
1	. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient	96
2	. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States	96
Appen	dix D - Data Management Plan	
Appen	dix E – Open Source Software	
Appen	dix F – Technical Potential and Payback Calculation	

I. Funding Opportunity Description

A. Description/Background

Buildings accounted for 40% (38.5 Quadrillion BTUs) of the primary energy consumption in the United States (U.S.) in 2014, greater than that attributable to either industry (33%) or transportation (27%). Technological innovations enable energy efficiency measures in the buildings sector, providing a tremendous opportunity to reduce energy consumption and costs while creating jobs to deploy developed technologies and boosting the competitiveness U.S. companies and businesses. Indeed, building energy consumption representing a cost of approximately \$416 billion in 2012 dollars.

The Emerging Technologies (ET) Program of the Building Technologies Office (BTO) supports applied research and development (R&D) for technologies and systems that contribute to reductions in building energy consumption. In the United States, the ET Program has the broad aim of supporting the development of costeffective technologies that can reduce aggregate building energy use intensity by 30% by 2020, and 45% by 2030, relative to the consumption of 2010 energyefficient technologies. The ET Program strives to meet this goal by researching and developing cost-effective, energy-efficient technologies to be introduced into the marketplace. A portion of the ET budget provides support for the Department of Energy (DOE) national laboratories in five areas: solid-state lighting, heating, ventilation, air-conditioning, and refrigeration (HVAC&R) (includes water heating and appliances), sensors & controls, windows & envelope, and modeling & tools. The remaining budget is distributed through competitive solicitations, including Funding Opportunity Announcements (FOAs) like this one, to allow all interested parties (corporations, universities, non-profits, as well as the national labs) to innovate advanced technologies that lead to reduced primary energy consumption in buildings.

In prior years, the BENEFIT FOA consisted of two sections (Innovations: earlystage; Frontiers: later-stage, roadmap-driven) to complement the core funding provided by the program.^{1,2,3} This FOA consists of four topic areas within these two sections (e.g., "Innovations" and "Frontiers"), as well as a new third "Scale-up"

¹ DE-FOA-0001383: Buildings Energy Efficiency Frontiers and Innovation Technologies (BENEFIT) – 2016, https://eere-exchange.energy.gov

² DE-FOA-0001166: Buildings Energy Efficiency Frontiers and Innovation Technologies (BENEFIT) – 2015, https://eere-exchange.energy.gov

³ DE-FOA-0001027: Buildings Energy Efficiency Frontiers and Innovation Technologies (BENEFIT) – 2014, https://eere-exchange.energy.gov

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.qov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

section for pre-commercial prototype development (Table 1). Two targeted "Frontiers" topics are focused on Advanced HVAC&R and Miscellaneous Electric Loads (MELs), and two open topics are focused on early-stage R&D applications ("Innovations") and pre-commercial prototype development and scale-up ("Scale-up"), respectively. This pursuit of early-stage (Innovations), as well as later-stage (Scale-up) investments in the open topics will provide balance to the BTO R&D portfolio and targeted technology topic and program areas.

Table 1.	Development	Stage for	each	Topic Area
----------	-------------	-----------	------	------------

Topic #	Development Stage/Starting TRL	Technology
Topic #1	"Innovations" Concept to Preliminary Prototype, i.e. high risk, small investment/ 2-3	Open
Topic #2	"Frontiers" Preliminary Prototype to Fully Functional Lab-scale Prototype, i.e. lower risk, larger investment/ 4-5	HVAC&R
"Frontiers" Topic #3 Preliminary Prototype to Fully Functional Lab-scale Prototype, i.e. lower risk, larger investment/ 4-5		MELs
"Scale-up" Functional Lab-scale Prototype to Near Commercially Topic #4 Available or Demo-ready Product, i.e. lower risk (to enable data development and to incentivize follow on funding)/ 6-7		Open

Below is a summary of the four (4) topic areas:

INNOVATIONS section:

Topic 1: Open Topic for Energy Efficiency Solutions for Residential and Commercial Buildings – Early-stage (starting TRL 2-3)

The "Innovations" open topic targets proof of concept stage (starting TRL 2-3) hardware and software technology solutions to building energy efficiency. Innovative energy-efficiency technologies that show a clear application to residential and/or commercial buildings and have significant technical potential for annual primary energy savings (minimum 0.25 Quads) are eligible. This includes

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

technology areas that are identified and already supported by BTO (<u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/technologies/index.html</u>) or described explicitly in a BTO R&D roadmap (<u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/plans_implementation_results.html</u>), as well as technologies also not explicitly identified or supported by BTO roadmaps.

FRONTIERS section (starting TRL 4-5):

Topic 2: Advanced HVAC&R Research and Development

BTO seeks to accelerate the development of next generation heating, ventilation, air-conditioning, and refrigeration (HVAC&R) technologies (starting TRL 4-5), including both electric- and fuel-driven (natural gas, propane as well as other alternative fuels) solutions. HVAC is the largest energy end-use for U.S. buildings, consuming approximately 40% (15.5 Quads) of total energy in 2015. Vapor-compression systems have effectively and efficiently served HVAC&R needs for residential and commercial buildings for close to 100 years. Vapor compression technologies are currently the dominant HVAC&R technology due to their scalability, relatively compact size, high reliability, and other attributes.

International Collaboration

BTO encourages applications that include active collaboration with either or both international partners and non-DOE domestic partners to accelerate the deployment of new HVAC&R technologies developed by U.S. manufacturers in emerging HVAC&R markets. *DOE funds will only be used to fund researchers in the U.S.* The international collaborators will be funded by other sources, such as their countries' government.

Topic 3: Miscellaneous Electric Loads Research and Development

BTO seeks to reduce the energy consumption of electric loads outside of a building's core functions of HVAC&R, lighting, and water heating (starting TRL 4-5). Miscellaneous Electric Loads (MELs), including both plug loads and hard-wired loads, are projected to increase from 6.1 to 6.9 Quads in residential buildings, and from 6.5 to 8.3 Quads in commercial buildings between 2016 and 2030.⁴ The overall fraction of energy consumption attributable to MELs is also projected to

⁴ EIA Annual Energy Outlook, 2015. <u>http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/archive/aeo15/</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

increase during this time period, from 30% to 34% of the entire residential buildings energy consumption, and from 36% to 43% of the entire commercial buildings energy consumption. This increase in the portion of building energy consumption attributable to MELs is driven by both improved efficiencies of the major energy end use technologies in BTO's currently defined R&D goals, and the projected increase in primary energy consumption in residential and commercial buildings attributed to MELs. The 2015 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) projects a 13% growth in residential MELs primary energy consumption from 2016 to 2030, and an even larger growth of 27% in commercial buildings.⁴

SCALE-UP section (starting TRL 6-7):

Topic 4: Open Topic for Energy Efficiency Solutions for Residential and Commercial Buildings – Pre-Commercial Stage

The "Scale-up" open topic targets the development of fully functional, precommercial products ready for demonstration in operational, occupied buildings. Innovative energy-efficiency technologies that show a clear application to residential and/or commercial buildings and have significant technical potential for annual primary energy savings (minimum 0.25 Quads) are eligible. This includes technologies that are identified and already supported by BTO (<u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/technologies/index.html</u>) or described explicitly in a BTO R&D roadmap (<u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/plans_implementation_results.html</u>), as well as technologies not explicitly identified or supported by BTO roadmaps.

B. Topic Areas/Technical Areas of Interest

INNOVATIONS section:

Topic 1: Open Topic for Energy Efficiency Solutions for Residential and Commercial Buildings – Early Stage "Innovations"

The Building Technologies Office (BTO) develops hardware and software technologies for building energy efficiency. Currently supported hardware technologies include heating and air conditioning equipment, water heating equipment and refrigeration equipment, solid-state lighting, insulation materials, advanced windows, and sensors. Supported software technologies include building

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

energy modeling and control execution platforms, including integration between buildings and the electricity grid. Innovative energy-efficiency technologies that show a clear application to residential and/or commercial buildings and have significant technical potential for primary energy savings (minimum 0.25 Quads) are eligible. This includes technologies that are identified and already supported by BTO (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/technologies/index.html) or described explicitly in a BTO R&D roadmap

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/plans implementation results.html). It also includes technologies not explicitly identified or supported, such as fuel-fired (natural gas, propane and other alternative fuel) technologies. One technology area that is explicitly *excluded* from the BENEFIT FOA is solid state lighting (SSL). Subject to appropriations, BTO releases an annual SSL R&D FOA.⁵

The goal of the Innovations open topic is to prepare technologies for laboratory proof of concept. The end result of an Innovations project should be an empirical or analytical validation of the critical functions of new technology components, and a pathway to a proof of concept application prototype. Innovations funding focuses on the advancement of novel ideas that could produce or enable national energy savings in accordance with the goals laid out above.

FRONTIERS section:

Topic 2: Advanced HVAC&R Research and Development "Frontiers"

HVAC is the largest energy end-use for U.S. buildings, consuming approximately 37.3% (~15 Quads) of total energy (source:

<u>http://buildingsdatabook.eren.doe.gov/</u>). For HVAC technologies, the goal is to reduce technical potential energy consumption (as measured by energy use intensity) by 60% relative to 2010 energy-efficient technologies for HVAC technologies.⁶ The main focus of this topic is the development of more energy efficient HVAC&R solutions that can be implemented in the near term, within five to seven years after completion of the proposed project.

BTO's ET HVAC sub-program has published several reports on the energy savings potential and RD&D Opportunities for both Residential and Commercial Building HVAC Systems and a low-global warming potential (GWP) refrigerants roadmap. (http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/pdfs/residential hvac research opportu

⁵ DE-FOA-001613: Solid-state lighting advanced technology R&D – 2017, https://eere-exchange.energy.gov

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

nities.pdf , http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/pdfs/commercial hvac research opport unities.pdf , http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/12/f19/Refrigerants%20Roadmap%20Fina l%20Report%202014.pdf).

Table 2: Performance and cost metrics with targets for near-term technology areas

Targets for near-term technology areas				
Project area	Metric	Building Type	Current Best on Market	2020 Targets
Advanced vapor compression technologies		Residential & commercial	2.30; \$141.67	2.00; \$82.90
Fuel driven heat pumps (can include Natural Gas, propane or other fuels)	Primary seasonal COP; Installed cost per kBtu/hr. in 2013\$	Residential & commercial	0.98; \$36.00	1.38; \$57.90
Cold climate heat pumps		Residential & commercial	0.96; \$125.00	1.07; \$59.00
Air-source integrated heat pump	Primary energy savings;	Residential	Not on market	49%; \$3.32
Multi-function fuel (heat) driven heat pumps	Installed cost per sq. ft.	Residential & commercial	30%; \$9.40	44%; \$3.40

General sources for key assumptions and sources for baseline and 'Best' technology cost and performance:

a) EIA. (2015). Updated Buildings Sector Appliance and Equipment Costs and Efficiencies. Available online:

```
https://www.eia.gov/analysis/studies/buildings/equipcosts/pdf/full.pdf
```

- ENERGY STAR. (2016). Energy Star Most Efficient. Available online: <u>https://www.energystar.gov/products/energy_star_most_efficient</u>
- c) EIA SEER and HSPF estimates for heating/cooling technologies are divided by 3.412 to convert to PCOP, in accordance with EIA NEMS documentation (e.g., see

http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/nems/documentation/residential/pdf/m06 7(2014).pdf, p. 17)

 d) Factor of 3.06 used to convert electric site energy use to electric primary energy use, in accordance with 2013 electricity related losses in Annual Energy Outlook residential data table A4: http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/data/browser/#/?id=4-AEO2015

 e) Per square foot installed costs are normalized to the 2010 median U.S. home square footage of 2,169 square feet: http://www.census.gov/const/C25Ann/sftotalmedavgsqft.pdf

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

General source for 2020 target cost and performance numbers:

Each project area technology is represented as a 'target measure' in the former BTO Prioritization Tool measures database. Given a stock-wide energy savings objective for the area, the target measure's unit costs were varied until it achieved less than a 5-year payback in the year 2030, assuming 2020 market introduction and accounting for savings overlaps with competing measures in the database.

Nererenees.		
Project area	References	
Advanced vapor compression technologies	EIA, Appliance Costs and Efficiencies, Residential Central AC (South), p.36, 2013 Typical (baseline cost/performance) and 2013 High ('Best' cost/performance)	
Fuel-driven heat pumps	Ibid, Residential Gas-Fired Furnaces, p.23, 2013 Typical (baseline cost/performance) and 2013 High ('Best' cost/performance)	
Cold climate heat pumps	Ibid, Residential Air Source Heat Pumps (heat mode), p.40, 2013 Typical (baseline cost/performance and 'Best' cost); ENERGY STAR, Most Efficient 2016 - Central Air Conditioners and Air Source Heat Pumps, Coleman Echelon Series 3 ton unit ('Best' performance)	
Air-source integrated heat pump	EIA, Appliance Costs and Efficiencies, combination of: Residential Electric Resistance Water Heaters, p.14; and Residential Air Source Heat Pumps (cool mode), p.40, 2013 Typical (baseline cost/performance); 'Best' figures based on expert assessment for commercial market	
Multi-function natural gas driven heat pump	Ibid, combination of: Residential Gas-Fired Water Heaters, p.9; Residential Gas-Fired Furnaces, p.23; and Residential Central AC (South), p.36, 2013 Typical (baseline cost/performance); no product on the market yet for 'Best' category	

References:

Regional HVAC solutions offer significant energy saving potential for new construction and the existing building stock. Significant savings, on the order of 50-90%, are possible for technologies optimized for specific climates and applications.⁷ The sub-program seeks to build upon its past efforts with cold climate heat pump research started in 2010 with the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funding and other FOAs, and address the large portion of the current building stock located in hot and humid environments and its challenges for HVAC equipment. Today's vapor compression equipment has limited sensible and latent cooling control. Air conditioning (AC) is more than just cooling air; the total cooling load is composed of both the sensible load (temperature) and the latent load (humidity). The ET Program is helping to meet

⁷ http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/ReportOnTheFirstQTR.pdf

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.qov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

tomorrow's HVAC needs and challenges by developing equipment optimized for specific environments, be it a cold climate or hot and humid. BTO's ET HVAC subprogram is looking to develop advanced vapor compression HVAC technologies that are regionally based solutions/technologies while meeting BTO's long-term energy savings goals. Cold climate solutions are already well covered by today's HVAC R&D portfolio.⁸ Proposed projects are requested for systems that promise dramatic improvements in energy efficiency with modest price premiums. Because the building stock increases by only a few percent annually, concepts which are applicable only to new construction will have limited energy savings potential. Therefore, concepts that are applicable to both new construction and retrofits of existing buildings are particularly encouraged to apply.

Performance, cost and other design requirements are specified. The rated efficiency of some types of heating and cooling equipment has improved significantly in recent years. However, there is still a need for cost effective and affordable advanced materials, components, refrigeration cycles, and system designs to improve efficiency. Rather than focusing solely on rated equipment efficiency, as measured by Energy Efficiency Rating (EER) or Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER), it is necessary to understand and improve the energy consumption of entire systems throughout the entire operating regime to reduce annual energy consumption of the complete HVAC system, including the energy consumption needed to address sensible and latent cooling loads. Because HVAC systems operate at conditions other than full load most of the time, technologies or systems that maximize partial load efficiency, but not to a measurable decrement of the peak load performance, are of particular interest.

This topic also includes hybrid technologies that are not purely vapor compression technologies, and that are applied to the field of HVAC in general. Hybrid technologies may include, but are not limited to, non-vapor compression elements that enable Separate Sensible and Latent Cooling (SSLC) AC Systems or include some component of energy and thermal storage that boosts the energy efficiency of the HVAC system while supporting BTO's energy saving targets. These hybrid system can include power generation as well. High-performance SSLC-AC systems have the potential to save energy by separating the total cooling load for a building and optimizing the system for both. The reheat process in conventional systems is a major deterrent to energy efficiency and limits the independent control of the sensible and latent cooling loads. In warm and humid climates the AC has to not only reduce the air temperature but also reduce the temperature

⁸ http://energy.gov/eere/buildings/space-heating-cooling-research

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

down to the dew point to dehumidify the air. Conventional AC systems provide reasonable humidity control (i.e., below 60% Relative Humidity (RH)) for summer conditions in warm-humid and mixed-humid climates, but lack capabilities in more extreme conditions. Higher performing equipment with enhanced dehumidification capabilities operate at part load and vary blower speed, or operate at lower cooling set points. The main issue with standard AC systems is that the air dew point cannot be lower than the coil temperature, limiting latent cooling and dehumidification.

Not all proposed technologies need to fully address all the desirable characteristics (if they are not applicable), but energy savings and system performance are the major factors for this topic area.

Desirable characteristics:

- Potential response to part-load conditions
- Integrated thermal storage potential
- Grid integration capabilities
- Minimal to zero water consumption (energy water nexus issues addressed)
- Potential to result in reduced size (if located on the ground) and/or weight (if located on the top of a building) than today's high efficiency units
- Readily available materials

In response to industry's request for the safe use of A2L and A3 refrigerants in the air conditioning and refrigeration sectors for the U.S., DOE (BTO), the State of California's Air Resources Board and Energy Commission, AHRI, and ASHRAE have partnered together and committed \$5.8 million to conduct this critical research.⁹ This research is intended to support the acceleration of updated safety standards to allow widespread use of these refrigerants for domestic use and international export by U.S. industry. In this context, this FOA topic continues and supports this collaboration with industry. This topic includes the utilization of A2L and A3 refrigerants in air conditioning and refrigeration equipment that meets BTO's efficiency requirement as articulated by this FOA and BTO's MYPP.

This refrigerant R&D focus in support of the HVAC&R industry includes but is not limited to:

• Modeling research to identify and explore theoretical properties of new blends

⁹ https://www.ashrae.org/news/2016/ashrae-ahri-doe-partner-to-fund-flammable-refrigerant-research

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- Characterize the heat transfer and thermodynamic properties and efficiency performance of new refrigerants and blends
- Develop techniques for detecting and dramatically reducing refrigerant leakage in currently installed systems
- Characterize materials compatibility and stability of new refrigerants and blends
- Investigate alternative system architectures that would inherently mitigate flammability risks with A2L and A3 refrigerants
- Investigate low charge system architectures (including heat exchanger designs) that would inherently mitigate flammability risks with A2L and A3 refrigerants by charge reductions

International Collaboration:

Collaborations with either or both international partners and non-DOE domestic partners are encouraged for this topic. In order to strengthen the ability of U.S. manufacturers to export, proposed collaborative R&D efforts between U.S. researchers and researchers in other countries should focus on developing capacity for and increasing the viability of the proposed technologies in emerging non-U.S. HVAC&R markets. *DOE funds will only be used to fund researchers in the U.S.* The international collaborators will be funded by other sources, such as their countries' government.

Topic 3: Miscellaneous Electric Loads Research and Development "Frontiers"

Miscellaneous Electric Loads (MELs) comprise a large and increasing fraction of the primary energy consumption of buildings. The 2015 DOE Quadrennial Technology Review (QTR) analysis suggests that once the BTO ET program 2020 R&D targets for the major building end-use technologies (HVAC, water heating, lighting, windows, etc.) are attained, MELs will constitute ~ 60% of the remaining primary energy consumption in buildings, as measured by the energy use intensity in BTU/sq. ft.¹⁰ Reducing energy consumption from MELs, however, poses a unique challenge due to three primary factors. First, total MELs consumption in both residential and commercial buildings is projected to increase significantly by 2030 under current business-as-usual trends. Second, a significant portion of total MELs energy consumption is comprised of undefined loads not yet attributed to individual devices. Finally, MELs comprise a wide variety of distinct electric loads

¹⁰ Quadrennial Technology Review 2015. <u>http://energy.gov/under-secretary-science-and-energy/quadrennial-technology-review-2015</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

(e.g., televisions, set-top boxes, office equipment, etc.) that individually consume a relatively small amount of energy.

When measured in aggregate, the total energy consumption and the share of total building energy consumption attributed to MELs are projected to increase significantly between 2016 and 2030, as shown in Figure 1. In the residential sector, MELs are projected to increase from 30% to 34% of total building energy consumption. In the commercial sector, MELs are projected to increase from 36% to 43% of total building energy consumption. These overall increases highlight the importance of developing technology solutions to reduce MELs consumption.



Figure 1. While non-MELs building loads are projected to decrease, both the total energy consumption and the share of building energy consumption attributed to MELs are projected to increase significantly between 2016 and 2030. Error! Bookmark not defined. 11

Figure 2 illustrates the expected load share of defined and undefined MELs in residential and commercial buildings in 2020. Notably, undefined MELs comprise the majority of total MELs consumption in both residential and commercial buildings. Note that undefined MELs include adjustments to the State Energy Data System (SEDS), a proportion of "other" electric load that EIA uses to reconcile supply-side (SEDS) and end-use estimates.¹²

¹¹ EIA Annual Energy Outlook, 2015. <u>http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/archive/aeo15/</u>

¹² EIA Residential Energy Consumption Survey and Commercial Energy Consumption Surveys, https://www.eia.gov/consumption/residential/; https://www.eia.gov/consumption/commercial/

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



Figure 2. The majority of MELs energy use is from undefined MELs in both residential and commercial buildings. Note that undefined MELs include adjustments to the State Energy Data System (SEDS), a proportion of other electric load that EIA uses to reconcile supply-side (SEDS) and end-use estimates.¹³

Figure 3 and Figure 4 illustrate the projected trend in consumption from defined residential and commercial MELs, respectively. In the residential sector, consumption from set-top boxes, cookstoves, ceiling fans, spas, and dehumidifiers is expected to increase. Likewise, in the commercial sector consumption from non-PC office equipment, water services, video displays, and security systems is expected to increase. In both sectors, a number of MELs are expected to remain level or decrease. These changes in the overall contribution of individual loads to total MELs consumption complicates the prioritization of one load versus another and further contributes to the challenge associated with reducing energy consumption of MELs.

¹³ EIA Annual Energy Outlook, 2015. <u>http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/archive/aeo15/</u>

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.



*Figure 3. Projected primary energy consumption for defined residential MELs with annual energy use greater than 100 TBtu.*¹³



Figure 4. Projected primary energy consumption for defined commercial MELs with annual energy use greater than 100 TBtu.^{Error! Bookmark not defined.13}

Previous analysis has demonstrated the potential to significantly reduce the consumption of specific end-use devices by targeting individual loads. In the residential sector, for example, approximate aggregate energy savings of 38% could be accomplished by replacing the current stock of TVs, personal computers, monitors, video game consoles, and DVD/Blu-ray players with best available technologies. In the commercial sector, comparable savings of 77% could be realized by replacing distribution transformers, fume hoods, computer servers, ice

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

machines, vending machines, printers, MRI equipment, escalators, and elevators.¹⁴ However, there is a significant barrier associated with achieving these efficiency gains, because the amount of energy savings from replacing an individual device often does not justify the replacement cost. As a result, there is a need for developing overarching technological solutions that can achieve crosscutting reductions in energy consumption at minimum cost. Instead of targeting individual MELs, R&D advancements require going up one level (to systems of MELs) or down one level (to common MELs components). For example, improved AC/DC power conversion (> 95%) is achieved through the replacement of incumbent silicon-based power electronics with wide bandgap semiconductors.¹⁵ As a result, significant government investment has been made in recent years to capture these improved efficiency levels through manufacturing advances and development of wide bandgap semiconductors.^{16,17,18,19}

The focus of this topic is on electric-powered MELs which comprise 92% of all MELs for residential buildings, and 82% for commercial buildings. Because individual MELs each represent a small portion of overall load (<1000 TBtu), overarching technological approaches are solicited that will overcome one or more existing shortcomings and collectively target diverse MELs to achieve cross-cutting energy savings. Furthermore, techniques to improve non-intrusive identification, classification, and monitoring of MELs are also encouraged in order to more effectively define pathways for reducing the energy consumption from unidentified MELs. This topic area seeks applications in the following areas:

Area of Interest 3.1: Fully automated controls

Fully automated controls to monitor and manage MELs in buildings can reduce consumption by intelligently shutting down devices or placing devices in sleep mode when they are not in use by the building occupant. Such control functions or others can be implemented by incorporating MELs into existing building energy

¹⁴ Kwatra, S, J Amann, and H Sachs. *Miscellaneous Energy Loads in Buildings*. Washington, DC: American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy, 2013.

¹⁵ Millán, J, P Godignon, and A Pérez-Tomás. "Wide Band Gap Semiconductor Devices for Power Electronics." *AUTOMATIKA* 53 (2012): 107–116

¹⁶ "Agile Delivery of Electrical Power Technologies," ARPA-E, U.S. Department of Energy, released July 12, 2010, https://arpae.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-programs/adept

¹⁷ Strategies for Wide Bandgap, Inexpensive Transistors for Controlling High-Efficiency Systems," ARPA-E, U.S. Department of Energy, released October 21, 2013, https://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=arpa-e-programs/switches

¹⁸ "DARPA Sets Tough Goals For The Wide-Bandgap Community," *Compound Semiconductor*, November 8, 2002, http://compoundsemiconductor.net/csc/features-details.php?id=11332

¹⁹ PowerAmerica, 2014, https://www.poweramericainstitute.org/

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

management systems, if available, or deploying advanced power strips or other controllable devices that provide power to a number of individual MELs. For example, a field demonstration of advanced power strips in General Services Administration (GSA) buildings showed that simple timer control, master/slave control, or a combination of the two could reduce plug loads at workstations by 26%, and in printer rooms and kitchens by nearly 50%.²⁰ However, there remains significant technical challenges to reduce integration costs and other barriers to market adoption of automated controls for MELs, including, for example, developing simple but effective data models for communication and energy control standards.

Of particular interest are applications for developing control systems that can reduce consumption from a variety of different MELs and dynamically adjust control rules to incorporate the preferences of building occupants, so that energy consumption is minimized without negatively impacting the occupants. Proposed solutions should aim to reduce aggregate annual consumption from building MELs by 30% and achieve a payback period of 1-2 years.

Area of Interest 3.2: DC power distribution

An increasing portion of building loads now use DC electricity internally rather than AC electricity that is distributed through the electric grid. Connecting these DC loads to the grid requires a number of AC/DC power conversions in the building that can lead to unnecessary energy consumed by power electronics. Performing AC/DC conversion at a more efficient centralized converter has the potential to reduce total building energy consumption, but the design tradeoffs associated with minimizing energy consumption from mixed AC-DC distribution systems are still not well understood.²¹ There is a need for rigorous evaluations to provide standard, well-defined system boundaries and systematic, impartial comparison in order to effectively design DC distribution for a variety of scenarios and building types with the best pathway to energy savings.

Applications that define rigorous system boundaries to quantify the potential energy savings from different DC distribution configurations in different buildings types or recommend DC distribution configurations for different building types that minimize cost and maximize energy savings are of particular interest.

²⁰ General Services Administration Green Proving Ground Program, 2012.

²¹ Frank, Stephen M., and Steffen Rebennack. "Optimal design of mixed AC–DC distribution systems for commercial buildings: a nonconvex generalized benders decomposition approach." European Journal of Operational Research 242.3 (2015): 710-729.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Area of Interest 3.3: Component-level solutions

Component-level solutions achieve cross-cutting efficiency improvements by improving the efficiency of component technologies that are used in a variety of different MELs. For example, the present trend in consumer electronics and appliances is to provide wireless connectivity in an ever greater variety of MELs. As a result, component-level research that enhances the energy efficiency of wireless communication chips could reduce present MELs consumption and achieve further reductions as wireless chips proliferate in the future. Another example of a component-level solution is the development of robust, broadlyapplicable, and open algorithms for when and how devices should transition into and recover from low-power states (i.e. idle, sleep, deep-sleep, etc.). Chip-level implementation of those algorithms could lead to further reductions along with cost savings for applicable MELs. Considering the wide variety of devices that comprise MELs, the breadth of components that are common to a number of MELs and the potential for energy savings are still unknown and the quantification of the potential aggregate savings from improvements in component efficiency is still needed to identify common components with the largest potential energy savings.

Proposed solutions including the examples listed above or other solutions not listed are encouraged that will increase the efficiency and/or reduce the cost of a component in order to reduce energy consumption from a variety of common MELs. Proposed solutions should aim to reduce aggregate consumption from building MELs by 30% and achieve a payback period of 1-2 years.

Area of Interest 3.4: Non-intrusive monitoring and identification of MELs

Because the majority of energy consumption of MELs is from undefined devices, achieving a significant reduction in total consumption will require technologies and strategies to identify and monitor diverse MELs in both residential and commercial buildings at minimum cost. A number of technologies have been proposed to identify and monitor MELs, ranging from low-cost wireless power meters installed at individual MELs to load-disaggregation algorithms that can identify and separate

MELs from buildings' aggregate load data.^{22,23,24} While disaggregation techniques generally require less hardware than monitoring individual MELs, disaggregation algorithms can be less accurate than individual sensors. There is a need for further technological advancements that can reveal the appropriate level of data collection required to accurately identify and monitor MELs in both residential and commercial buildings, so that undefined MELs can be identified and categorized with minimum cost and effort required. Correctly attributing energy consumption to specific MELs and identifying the largest energy consumers will help to guide additional technological advancements to reduce total MELs consumption. For example, a survey of 70,000 northern California homes revealed that the average idle electric load was 164 W, or approximately 1,300 kWh/yr. for each home, representing about 23% of total annual electricity consumption.²⁵ Identifying the source of this idle load, or the "always on" portion of a building's electric load profile that is constant throughout the day, could help identify which devices are necessary and which devices could be turned off without inconveniencing the building occupants.

Applications are particularly encouraged for the development of highly accurate and cost-effective techniques, such as wireless sensors, that will provide automatic identification and categorization of different MELs or accurate load disaggregation and monitoring to disaggregate MELs load from whole building load or submetered groups of buildings loads. Proposed methods to disaggregate loads should aim to accurately identify 75% of building MELs on a kWh basis and approximate the power demand profile of identified loads with at least 50% standard deviation explained.²³ Applications that propose either individual wireless metering of electric loads or centralized metering and load disaggregation should seek to achieve an installed cost of \$10 per load monitored.

Area of Interest 3.5: Other

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

²² Brown, Richard et al. "Using wireless power meters to measure energy use of miscellaneous and electronic devices in buildings." Energy Efficiency in Domestic Appliances and Lighting (EEDAL) 2011 Conference, Copenhagen, Denmark. https://people.eecs.berkeley.edu/~culler/papers/brown11MELS.pdf

²³ Kamilaris, A, B Kalluri, S Kondepudi, and TK Wai. "A Literature Survey on Measuring Energy Usage for

Miscellaneous Electric Loads in Offices and Commercial Buildings." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 34 (2014): 536–550.

²⁴ Mayhorn, Ebony T., et al. "Characteristics and Performance of Existing Load Disaggregation Technologies." PNNL-24230 (2015).

²⁵ Delforge, P, L Schmidt, and S Schmidt. *Home Idle Load: Devices Wasting Huge Amounts of Electricity When Not In Active Use.* NRDC Issue Paper, New York: Natural Resources Defense Council, 2015.

Other applications outside of the specific areas of interest or that combine multiple areas of interest are also encouraged. These applications should identify and monitor 75% of building MELs on a kWh basis at a cost of \$10 per load monitored, and/or reduce aggregate building MELs by 30% on a kWh basis with a payback period of 1-2 years.

SCALE-UP section:

Topic 4: Open Topic for Energy Efficiency Solutions for Residential and Commercial Buildings – Pre-Commercial Stage "Scale-up"

The Scale-up open topic seeks to advance the maturity of energy-efficiency technologies from the engineering prototype stage (starting at TRL 6-7) to the finished product prototype (i.e., pre-production prototype) stage ready for at-scale demonstrations in operational, occupied buildings. This includes approaches for manufacturing or processing cost reduction that could result in significantly more market viable applications.

As noted in the Innovations open topic (e.g., Topic 1), the Building Technologies Office (BTO) develops hardware and software technologies for building energy efficiency. Currently supported hardware technologies include heating, ventilation, air conditioning, and refrigeration equipment (HVAC&R), water heating equipment, solid-state lighting, next-generation window and building envelope technologies, and sensors. Supported software technologies include building energy modeling and control execution platforms, including integration between buildings and the electricity grid. Innovative energy-efficiency technologies that show a clear application to residential and/or commercial buildings and have significant technical potential for primary energy savings (minimum 0.25 Quads) are eligible. This includes technologies that are identified or already supported by BTO

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/technologies/index.html) or described explicitly in a BTO R&D roadmap

(http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/plans_implementation_results.html). It also includes technologies not explicitly identified or supported, including for instance natural-gas technologies. One technology area that is explicitly *excluded* from the BENEFIT FOA is solid state lighting (SSL). Subject to appropriations, BTO

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

releases an annual SSL R&D FOA.²⁶

Applicants to the Scale-up topic should have a fully functional engineering prototype that can be reliably reproduced at lab scale and are pursuing methods of iterating to enable scaled up manufacturing and/or ruggedization of their product. An engineering prototype is considered a functional version of the technology that adequately represents the materials and functionality of the end product. The technology's operating performance must have been validated and production feasibility gauged. The objective of this topic is to advance this engineering prototype to the finished product prototype stage, develop the manufacturing processes or system requirements and techniques required to produce the product, and prepare the product for demonstration and introduction into the marketplace. The finished product prototype is the single part, assembly, system, or service that accurately represents exactly what the final production units will eventually be in terms of material content, physical configuration, and function, and is the basis for final analysis of technical feasibility, cost and market acceptance.

As a technology developer moves successively from proof-of-concept to engineering prototype stage and beyond, the capital needs almost always rise substantially. This is especially the case for the finished product prototype stage which is usually an expensive proposition due to the lack of economies of scale in production and the disproportionate design and testing costs attributable to the product. Unfortunately, capital availability does not follow the same pattern. Initial sources of capital in the early development stages from the developers' "sweat equity," personal savings and small investments from family and friends, as well as R&D money from foundations and local, state, and federal government are usually depleted before the entrepreneur has a final model or has commercialized the product, plunging the entrepreneur into the "Valley of Death." Unlike the early R&D stage(s), there is little government funding at this final development stage. Moreover, venture capitalists typically wait until a technology has matured enough or even has proven itself in the demonstration stage before making an investment. The Scale-up open topic seeks to bridge this capital scarcity gap, make entrepreneurs much more attractive to follow-on funding at the conclusion of the project, and set the stage for commercial demonstration and deployment.

The emphasis of this topic is on applications from teams that have a near marketready product at the end of the award. The end result of a Scale-up project should

²⁶ DE-FOA-001613: Solid-state lighting advanced technology R&D – 2017, https://eere-exchange.energy.gov

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

be a product and the associated data necessary to support demonstration in an occupied building. Teams with an industry or "strategic" partner, such as potential end-users, equipment manufacturers, channel partners, or some combination thereof are highly encouraged. It is anticipated by the end of the performance period that product development will be within 2-3 years of availability for purchase through normal market channels.

All work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. See Section IV.J.3 and Appendix C.

C. Applications Specifically Not of Interest

The following types of applications will be deemed nonresponsive and will not be reviewed or considered (See Section III.D of the FOA):

- Applications that fall outside the technical parameters specified in Section I.B of the FOA.
- Applications for proposed technologies that are not based on sound scientific principles (e.g., violates the laws of thermodynamics).
- Since BTO typically releases a separate Solid-State Lighting (SSL) R&D FOA annually, SSL technologies are not included in the BENEFIT FOA.

D. Authorizing Statutes

The programmatic authorizing statute is EPAct 2005 911(a)(2)(B)

Awards made under this announcement will fall under the purview of 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

II. Award Information

A. Award Overview

i. Estimated Funding

EERE expects to make up to \$19.5 million of Federal funding available for new awards under this FOA, subject to the availability of appropriated funds. EERE anticipates making approximately 12-25 awards under this FOA. EERE may issue one, multiple, or no awards.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

EERE may issue awards in one, multiple, or none of the following topic areas:

Topic 1: Open Topic for Energy Efficiency Solutions for Residential and Commercial Buildings: Early Stage "Innovations"

Individual awards may vary between \$200,000 to \$500,000.

Topic 2: Advanced HVAC&R Research and Development "Frontiers" Individual awards may vary between \$700,000 to \$3 million.

Topic 3: Miscellaneous Electric Loads Research and Development "Frontiers"

Individual awards may vary between \$700,000 to \$3 million.

Topic 4: Open Topic for Energy Efficiency Solutions for Residential and Commercial Building: Pre Commercial Stage "Scale-up"

Individual awards may vary between \$700,000 to \$3 million.

EERE may establish more than one budget period for each award and fund only the initial budget period(s). Funding for all budget periods, including the initial budget period, is not guaranteed. Before the expiration of the initial budget period(s), EERE may perform a down-select among different recipients and provide additional funding only to a subset of recipients.

ii. Period of Performance

EERE anticipates making awards that will run up to 36 months in length. Project continuation will be contingent upon satisfactory performance and go/no-go decision review. At the go/no-go decision points, EERE will evaluate project performance, project schedule adherence, meeting milestone objectives, compliance with reporting requirements, and overall contribution to the program goals and objectives. As a result of this evaluation, EERE will make a determination to continue the project, re-direct the project, or discontinue funding the project.

iii. New Applications Only

EERE will accept only new applications under this FOA. EERE will not consider applications for renewals of existing EERE-funded awards through this FOA.

B. EERE Funding Agreements

Through Cooperative Agreements and other similar agreements, EERE provides financial and other support to projects that have the potential to realize the FOA

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

objectives. EERE does not use such agreements to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government.

i. Cooperative Agreements

EERE generally uses Cooperative Agreements to provide financial and other support to Prime Recipients.

Through Cooperative Agreements, EERE provides financial or other support to accomplish a public purpose of support or stimulation authorized by Federal statute. Under Cooperative Agreements, the Government and Prime Recipients share responsibility for the direction of projects.

EERE has substantial involvement in all projects funded via Cooperative Agreement. See Section VI.B.9 of the FOA for more information on what substantial involvement may involve.

ii. Funding Agreements with FFRDCs

In most cases, Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) are funded independently of the remainder of the Project Team. The FFRDC then executes an agreement with any non-FFRDC Project Team members to arrange work structure, project execution, and any other matters. Regardless of these arrangements, the entity that applied as the Prime Recipient for the project will remain the Prime Recipient for the project.

iii. Grants

Although EERE has the authority to provide financial support to Prime Recipients through Grants, EERE generally does not fund projects through Grants. EERE may fund a limited number of projects through Grants, as appropriate.

iv. Technology Investment Agreements

In rare cases and if determined appropriate, EERE will consider awarding a Technology Investment Agreement (TIA) to a non-FFRDC applicant. TIAs, governed by 10 CFR Part 603, are assistance instruments used to increase the involvement of commercial entities in the Department's research, development, and demonstration programs. A TIA may be either a type of cooperative agreement or an assistance transaction other than a cooperative agreement, depending on the intellectual property provisions. In both cases, TIAs are not necessarily subject to all of the requirements of 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line. In a TIA, EERE may modify the standard Government terms and conditions, including but not limited to:

- Intellectual Property Provisions: EERE may negotiate special arrangements with recipients to avoid the encumbrance of existing intellectual property rights or to facilitate the commercial deployment of inventions conceived or first actually reduced to practice under the EERE funding agreement.
- Accounting Provisions: EERE may authorize the use of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) where recipients do not have accounting systems that comply with Government recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

EERE will be more amenable to awarding a TIA in support of an application from a consortium or a team arrangement that includes cost sharing with the private sector, as opposed to an application from a single organization. Such a consortium or teaming arrangement could include a FFRDC. If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is a part of the consortium or teaming arrangement, the value of, and funding for the DOE/NNSA FFRDC portion of the work will be authorized and funded under the DOE field work authorization system and performed under the laboratory's Management and Operating contract. Funding for a non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC would be through an interagency agreement under the Economy Act or other statutory authority. Other appropriate contractual accommodations, such as those involving intellectual property, may be made through a "funds in" agreement to facilitate the FFRDCs participation in the consortium or teaming arrangement. If a TIA is awarded, certain types of information described in 10 CFR 603.420(b) are exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act for five years after DOE receives the information.

An applicant may request a TIA if it believes that using a TIA could benefit the RD&D objectives of the program (see section 603.225) and can document these benefits. If an applicant is seeking to negotiate a TIA, the applicant must include an explicit request in its Full Application. After an applicant is selected for award negotiation, the Contracting Officer will determine if awarding a TIA would benefit the RD&D objectives of the program in ways that likely would not happen if another type of assistance agreement (e.g., cooperative agreement subject to the requirements of 2 CFR Part 200 as

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in amended by 2 CFR Part 910). The Contracting Officer will use the criteria in 10 CFR 603, Subpart B, to make this determination.

III. Eligibility Information

To be considered for substantive evaluation, an applicant's submission must meet the criteria set forth below. If the application does not meet these initial requirements, it will be considered non-responsive, removed from further evaluation, and ineligible for any award.

A. Eligible Applicants

i. Individuals

U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

ii. Domestic Entities

For-profit entities, educational institutions, and nonprofits that are incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a particular State or territory of the United States are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. Nonprofit organizations described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that engaged in lobbying activities after December 31, 1995, are not eligible to apply for funding.

State, local, and tribal government entities are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient.

Non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

Federal agencies and instrumentalities (other than DOE) are eligible to apply for funding as a Subrecipient, but are not eligible to apply as a Prime Recipient.

iii. Foreign Entities

Foreign entities, whether for-profit or otherwise, are eligible to apply for funding under this FOA. Other than as provided in the "Individuals" or

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

"Domestic Entities" sections above, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. If a foreign entity applies for funding as a Prime Recipient, it must designate in the Full Application a subsidiary or affiliate incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States to be the Prime Recipient. The Full Application must state the nature of the corporate relationship between the foreign entity and domestic subsidiary or affiliate.

Foreign entities may request a waiver of the requirement to designate a subsidiary in the United States as the Prime Recipient in the Full Application (i.e., a foreign entity may request that it remains the Prime Recipient on an award). To do so, the Applicant must submit an explicit written waiver request in the Full Application. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive this requirement</u>. The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

In the waiver request, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

A foreign entity may receive funding as a Subrecipient.

iv. Incorporated Consortia

Incorporated consortia, which may include domestic and/or foreign entities, are eligible to apply for funding as a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient. For consortia incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States, please refer to "Domestic Entities" above. For consortia incorporated in foreign countries, please refer to the requirements in "Foreign Entities" above.

Each incorporated consortium must have an internal governance structure and a written set of internal rules. Upon request, the consortium must provide a written description of its internal governance structure and its internal rules to the EERE Contracting Officer.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

v. Unincorporated Consortia

Unincorporated Consortia, which may include domestic and foreign entities, must designate one member of the consortium to serve as the Prime Recipient/consortium representative. The Prime Recipient/consortium representative must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. The eligibility of the consortium will be determined by the eligibility of the Prime Recipient/consortium representative under Section III.A of the FOA.

Upon request, unincorporated consortia must provide the EERE Contracting Officer with a collaboration agreement, commonly referred to as the articles of collaboration, which sets out the rights and responsibilities of each consortium member. This agreement binds the individual consortium members together and should discuss, among other things, the consortium's:

- Management structure;
- Method of making payments to consortium members;
- Means of ensuring and overseeing members' efforts on the project;
- Provisions for members' cost sharing contributions; and
- Provisions for ownership and rights in intellectual property developed previously or under the agreement.

B. Cost Sharing

Topics 1-3 - Cost Share 20%, Cost Share Waiver Utilized:

• Cost Sharing Generally

The cost share must be at least 20% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the Government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-Federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.)

• Special Cost Share Waiver for Domestic Institutions of Higher Education, Domestic Nonprofit Entities, FFRDCs, or U.S. State, Local, or Tribal Government Entity

The Assistant Secretary for the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy has issued a Cost Share Reduction determination pursuant to Section

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

988(b)(3) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 that is applicable to certain entities applying under this FOA. Specifically, recipient cost share requirement for applied research and development activities projects is reduced from 20% to 10% where:

- 1. The Prime Recipient is a domestic institution of higher education; domestic nonprofit entity; FFRDC; or U.S. State, local, or tribal government entity; and
- 2. The Prime Recipient performs more than 50% of the project work, as measured by the Total Project Cost.

Applicants who believe their project qualifies for the reduced recipient cost share must be able to provide verification that the above requirements are satisfied.

Topic 4 - Cost Share 30%:

• Topic 4: Open "Scale-up" Pre-Commercial Stage

The cost share must be at least 30% of the total allowable costs for research and development projects (i.e., the sum of the Government share, including FFRDC costs if applicable, and the recipient share of allowable costs equals the total allowable cost of the project) and must come from non-Federal sources unless otherwise allowed by law. (See 2 CFR 200.306 and 2 CFR 910.130 for the applicable cost sharing requirements.) Special cost share waiver for Domestic Institutions of Higher Education, Domestic Nonprofit Entities, FFRDCs, or U.S. State, Local, or Tribal Government Entity will not be accepted for the Open Scale-up Topic #4.

To assist applicants in calculating proper cost share amounts, EERE has included a cost share information sheet and sample cost share calculation as Appendices B and C to this FOA.

i. Legal Responsibility

Although the cost share requirement applies to the project as a whole, including work performed by members of the project team other than the Prime Recipient, the Prime Recipient is legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. The Prime Recipient's cost share obligation is expressed in the Assistance Agreement as a static amount in U.S. dollars (cost share amount) and as a percentage of the Total Project Cost (cost share percentage). If the funding agreement is terminated prior to the end of the

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

project period, the Prime Recipient is required to contribute at least the cost share percentage of total expenditures incurred through the date of termination.

The Prime Recipient is solely responsible for managing cost share contributions by the Project Team and enforcing cost share obligation assumed by Project Team members in subawards or related agreements.

ii. Cost Share Allocation

Each Project Team is free to determine how best to allocate the cost share requirement among the team members. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, as long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

iii. Cost Share Types and Allowability

Every cost share contribution must be allowable under the applicable Federal cost principles, as described in Section IV.J.1 of the FOA. In addition, cost share must be verifiable upon submission of the Full Application.

Project Teams may provide cost share in the form of cash or in-kind contributions. Cash contributions may be provided by the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients. Allowable in-kind contributions include, but are not limited to: rental value of buildings or equipment, the value of a donated service or resource, or third party in-kind contribution.

Project teams may use funding or property received from state or local governments to meet the cost share requirement, so long as the funding was not provided to the state or local government by the Federal Government.

The Prime Recipient may not use the following sources to meet its cost share obligations including, but not limited to:

- Revenues or royalties from the prospective operation of an activity beyond the project period;
- Proceeds from the prospective sale of an asset of an activity;
- Federal funding or property (e.g., Federal grants, equipment owned by the Federal Government); or
- Expenditures that were reimbursed under a separate Federal Program.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Project Teams may not use the same cash or in-kind contributions to meet cost share requirements for more than one project or program.

Cost share contributions must be specified in the project budget, verifiable from the Prime Recipient's records, and necessary and reasonable for proper and efficient accomplishment of the project. As all sources of cost share are considered part of total project cost, the cost share dollars will be scrutinized under the same Federal regulations as Federal dollars to the project. Every cost share contribution must be reviewed and approved in advance by the Contracting Officer and incorporated into the project budget before the expenditures are incurred.

Applicants are encouraged to refer to 2 CFR 200.306 as amended by 2 CFR 910.130 & 10 CFR 603.525-555 for additional guidance on cost sharing.

iv. Cost Share Contributions by FFRDCs

Because FFRDCs are funded by the Federal Government, costs incurred by FFRDCs generally may not be used to meet the cost share requirement. FFRDCs may contribute cost share only if the contributions are paid directly from the contractor's Management Fee or another non-Federal source.

v. Cost Share Verification

Applicants are required to provide written assurance of their proposed cost share contributions in their Full Applications.

Upon selection for award negotiations, applicants are required to provide additional information and documentation regarding their cost share contributions. Please refer to Appendix A of the FOA.

vi. Cost Share Payment

EERE requires Prime Recipients to contribute the cost share amount incrementally over the life of the award. Specifically, the Prime Recipient's cost share for each billing period must always reflect the overall cost share ratio negotiated by the parties (i.e., the total amount of cost sharing on each invoice when considered cumulatively with previous invoices must reflect, at a minimum, the cost sharing percentage negotiated).

In limited circumstances, and where it is in the government's interest, the EERE Contracting Officer may approve a request by the Prime Recipient to meet its cost share requirements on a less frequent basis, such as monthly or

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

quarterly. Regardless of the interval requested, the Prime Recipient must be up-to-date on cost share at each interval. Such requests must be sent to the Contracting Officer during award negotiations and include the following information: (1) a detailed justification for the request; (2) a proposed schedule of payments, including amounts and dates; (3) a written commitment to meet that schedule; and (4) such evidence as necessary to demonstrate that the Prime Recipient has complied with its cost share obligations to date. The Contracting Officer must approve all such requests before they go into effect.

C. Compliance Criteria

<u>Concept Papers and Full Applications must meet all Compliance criteria listed</u> <u>below or they will be considered noncompliant. EERE will not review or consider</u> <u>noncompliant submissions</u>, including Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments that were: submitted through means other than EERE Exchange; submitted after the applicable deadline; and/or submitted incomplete. EERE will not extend the submission deadline for applicants that fail to submit required information due to server/connection congestion.

i. Compliance Criteria

1. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are deemed compliant if:

- The Concept Paper complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.C of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in this FOA.

2. Full Applications

Full Applications are deemed compliant if:

- The applicant submitted a compliant Concept Paper;
- The Full Application complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.D of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents and clicked the "Submit" button in EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

3. Replies to Reviewer Comments

Replies to Reviewer Comments are deemed compliant if:

- The Reply to Reviewer Comments complies with the content and form requirements in Section IV.E of the FOA; and
- The applicant successfully uploaded all required documents to EERE Exchange by the deadline stated in the FOA.

D. Responsiveness Criteria

All "Applications Specifically Not of Interest," as described in Section I.C of the FOA, are deemed nonresponsive and are not reviewed or considered.

E. Other Eligibility Requirements

i. Requirements for DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) Listed as the Applicant

A DOE/NNSA FFRDC is eligible to apply for funding under this FOA if its cognizant Contracting Officer provides written authorization and this authorization is submitted with the application. If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC is selected for award negotiation, the proposed work will be authorized under the DOE work authorization process and performed under the laboratory's Management and Operating (M&O) contract.

The following wording is acceptable for the authorization:

Authorization is granted for the [Enter Laboratory Name] Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

ii. Requirements for DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA Federally Funded Research and Development Centers Included as a Subrecipient

DOE/NNSA and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs may be proposed as a Subrecipient on another entity's application subject to the following guidelines:

1. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA FFRDCs
The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with its authority under its award.

2. Authorization for DOE/NNSA FFRDCs

The cognizant Contracting Officer for the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The following wording is acceptable for this authorization:

Authorization is granted for the [Enter Laboratory Name] Laboratory to participate in the proposed project. The work proposed for the laboratory is consistent with or complementary to the missions of the laboratory, and will not adversely impact execution of the DOE assigned programs at the laboratory.

3. Value/Funding

The value of and funding for the FFRDC portion of the work will not normally be included in the award to a successful applicant. Usually, DOE will fund a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor through the DOE field work proposal system and non-DOE/NNSA FFRDC through an interagency agreement with the sponsoring agency.

4. Cost Share

Although the FFRDC portion of the work is usually excluded from the award to a successful applicant, the applicant's cost share requirement will be based on the total cost of the project, including the applicant's and the FFRDC's portions of the project.

5. Responsibility

The Prime Recipient will be the responsible authority regarding the settlement and satisfaction of all contractual and administrative issues including, but not limited to disputes and claims arising out of any agreement between the Prime Recipient and the FFRDC contractor.

6. Limit on FFRDC Effort

The scope of work to be performed by the FFRDC may not be more significant than the scope of work to be performed by the applicant.

F. Limitation on Number of Concept Papers and Full Applications Eligible for Review

Applicants may submit more than one Full Application to this FOA, provided that each application describes a unique, scientifically distinct project.

G. Questions Regarding Eligibility

EERE will not make eligibility determinations for potential applicants prior to the date on which applications to this FOA must be submitted. The decision whether to submit an application in response to this FOA lies solely with the applicant.

IV. Application and Submission Information

A. Application Process

The application process will include two phases: a Concept Paper phase and a Full Application phase. Only applicants who have submitted an eligible Concept Paper will be eligible to submit a Full Application. At each phase, EERE performs an initial eligibility review of the applicant submissions to determine whether they meet the eligibility requirements of Section III of the FOA. EERE will not review or consider submissions that do not meet the eligibility requirements of Section III. All submissions must conform to the following form and content requirements, including maximum page lengths (described below) and must be submitted via EERE Exchange at https://eere-exchange.energy.gov/, unless specifically stated otherwise. EERE will not review or consider submissions submitted through means other than EERE Exchange, submissions submitted after the applicable deadline, and incomplete submissions. EERE will not extend deadlines for applicants who fail to submit required information and documents due to server/connection congestion. A control number will be issued when an applicant begins the Exchange application process. This control number must be included with all Application documents, as described below.

The Concept Paper, Full Application, and Reply to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following requirements:

- Each must be submitted in Adobe PDF format unless stated otherwise.
- Each must be written in English.
- All pages must be formatted to fit on 8.5 x 11 inch paper with margins not less than one inch on every side. Use Times New Roman typeface, a black

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

font color, and a font size of 12 point or larger (except in figures or tables, which may be 10 point font). A symbol font may be used to insert Greek letters or special characters, but the font size requirement still applies. References must be included as footnotes or endnotes in a font size of 10 or larger. Footnotes and endnotes are counted toward the maximum page requirement.

- The Control Number must be prominently displayed on the upper right corner of the header of every page. Page numbers must be included in the footer of every page.
- Each submission must not exceed the specified maximum page limit, including cover page, charts, graphs, maps, and photographs when printed using the formatting requirements set forth above and single spaced. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages.

Applicants are responsible for meeting each submission deadline. <u>Applicants are</u> <u>strongly encouraged to submit their Concept Papers and Full Applications at</u> <u>least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline</u>. Under normal conditions (i.e., at least 48 hours in advance of the submission deadline), applicants should allow at least 1 hour to submit a Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments. Once the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments is submitted in EERE Exchange, applicants may revise or update that submission until the expiration of the applicable deadline. If changes are made, the applicant must resubmit the Concept Paper, Full Application, or Reply to Reviewer Comments before the applicable deadline.

EERE urges applicants to carefully review their Concept Papers, and Full Applications and to allow sufficient time for the submission of required information and documents. All Full Applications that pass the initial eligibility review will undergo comprehensive technical merit review according to the criteria identified in Section V.A.2 of the FOA.

i. Additional Information on EERE Exchange

EERE Exchange is designed to enforce the deadlines specified in this FOA. The "Apply" and "Submit" buttons will automatically disable at the defined submission deadlines. Should applicants experience problems with Exchange, the following information may be helpful.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Applicants that experience issues with submission <u>PRIOR</u> to the FOA deadline: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties with a submission, the Application should contact the Exchange helpdesk for assistance (<u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u>). The Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist Applicants in resolving issues.

Applicants that experience issue with submissions that result in late submissions: In the event that an applicant experiences technical difficulties so severe that they are unable to submit their application by the deadline, the applicant should contact the Exchange helpdesk for assistance (EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov). The Exchange helpdesk and/or the EERE Exchange system administrators will assist the applicant in resolving all issues (including finalizing submission on behalf of and with the applicant's concurrence). PLEASE NOTE, however, those applicants who are unable to submit their application on time due to their waiting until the last minute when network traffic is at its heaviest to submit their materials will not be able to use this process.

B. Application Forms

The application forms and instructions are available on EERE Exchange. To access these materials, go to <u>https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov</u> and select the appropriate funding opportunity number.

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA, it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_1 ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Project_Part_2, etc.

C. Content and Form of the Concept Paper

To be eligible to submit a Full Application, applicants must submit a Concept Paper by the specified due date and time.

i. Concept Paper Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Concept Papers (see Section III of the FOA).

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Each Concept Paper must be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies should not be consolidated into a single Concept Paper.

The Concept Paper must conform to the following content requirements:

Section	Page Limit	Description
Cover Page	1 page maximum	 Applicants are required to include a Cover Page with their Concept Paper containing: Topic Area Number Project Title Lead Organization Organization Type Anticipated Project Budget (Federal and Cost Share) Anticipated Project Duration Principal Investigator, Team Members, and Key Participants International Collaboration for HVAC&R Topic 2? (Yes or No) Abstract – no more than 200 words in length, and it should provide a truncated explanation of the proposed project.
Technology Description	2 pages maximum	 Applicants are required to describe succinctly: The proposed technology, including its basic operating principles and how it is unique and innovative; The proposed technology's target level of performance (applicants should provide technical data or other support to show how the proposed target could be met); The current state-of-the-art in the relevant field and application, including key shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; How the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges; The potential impact that the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application; The key technical risks/issues associated with the proposed technology development plan; and The impact that EERE funding would have on the proposed project.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Addendum	1 page maximum	 Applicants are required to describe succinctly the qualifications, experience, and capabilities of the proposed Project Team, including: Whether the Principal Investigator (PI) and Project Team have the skill and expertise needed to successfully execute the project plan; Whether the applicant has prior experience which demonstrates an ability to perform tasks of similar risk and complexity; Whether the applicant has worked together with its teaming partners on prior projects or programs; and Whether the applicant has adequate access to equipment and facilities necessary to accomplish the effort and/or clearly explain how it intends to obtain access to the necessary equipment and facilities.
		Applicants may provide graphs, charts, or other data to supplement their Technology Description.
HVAC&R Topic International Collaborations (Optional)	1 page maximum	 Applicants are required to describe succinctly: The proposed scope of the international collaboration and impact of DOE funding on domestic manufacturing. The value of collaborative work towards advancing core technology in international markets and the ability of U.S. manufacturers to export. Whether the Applicant has worked with the international collaborators on prior activities.

EERE makes an independent assessment of each Concept Paper based on the criteria in Section V.A.i of the FOA. EERE will encourage a subset of applicants to submit Full Applications. Other applicants will be discouraged from submitting a Full Application. An applicant who receives a "discouraged" notification may still submit a Full Application. EERE will review all eligible Full Applications. However, by discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project in an effort to save the applicant the time and expense of preparing an application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

EERE may include general comments provided from reviewers on an applicant's Concept Paper in the encourage/discourage notification sent to applicants at the close of that phase.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

D. Content and Form of the Full Application

Applicants must submit a Full Application by the specified due date and time to be considered for funding under this FOA. Applicants must complete the following application forms found on the EERE Exchange website at <u>https://eere-</u> Exchange.energy.gov/, in accordance with the instructions.

Applicants will have approximately 30 days from receipt of the Concept Paper Encourage/Discourage notification to prepare and submit a Full Application. Regardless of the date the applicant receives the Encourage/Discourage notification, the submission deadline for the Full Application remains the date and time stated on the FOA cover page.

All Full Application documents must be marked with the Control Number issued to the applicant. Applicants will receive a control number upon submission of their Concept Paper, and should include that control number in the file name of their Full Application submission (i.e., Control number_Applicant Name_Full Application)."

i. Full Application Content Requirements

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Full Applications (see Section III of the FOA).

Each Full Application shall be limited to a single concept or technology. Unrelated concepts and technologies shall not be consolidated in a single Full Application.

Submission	Components	File Name	
Full	Technical Volume (See Chart in Section	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Technic	
Application	IV.D.2)	alVolume	
(PDF, unless	Statement of Project Objectives	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SOPO	
stated	(Microsoft Word format) (10 page limit)		
otherwise)	SF-424: Application for Federal	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424	
	Assistance		
	Budget Justification (EERE 335)	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget	
	(Microsoft Excel format. Applicants must	_Justification	
	use the template available in EERE		
	Exchange)		

Full Applications must conform to the following requirements:

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Summary for Public Release (1 page	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summa
limit)	ry
Summary Slide (1 page limit, Microsoft	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide
PowerPoint format)	
Subaward Budget Justification, if	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subawa
applicable (EERE 335) (Microsoft Excel	rdee_Budget_Justification
format. Applicants must use the	
template available in EERE Exchange)	
Budget for FFRDC, if applicable	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FWP
Authorization from cognizant	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCA
Contracting Officer for FFRDC, if	uth
applicable	
SF-LLL Disclosure of Lobbying Activities	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL
Foreign Entity and Performance of Work	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Waiver
in the United States waiver requests, if	
applicable	
U.S. Manufacturing Plans	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_USMP
Data Management Plan	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_DMP
Open Source Software Distribution Plan,	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_OSSDP
if applicable (applicable only if software	
development that is being proposed will	
be open source)	
Intellectual Property Management Plan,	ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_IPMP
if applicable, For applications	
collaborating with international entities	
in the HVAC&R Topic #2	

Note: The maximum file size that can be uploaded to the EERE Exchange website is 10MB. Files in excess of 10MB cannot be uploaded, and hence cannot be submitted for review. If a file exceeds 10MB but is still within the maximum page limit specified in the FOA it must be broken into parts and denoted to that effect. For example:

ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_1 ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume_Part_2, etc.

EERE will not accept late submissions that resulted from technical difficulties due to uploading files that exceed 10MB.

EERE provides detailed guidance on the content and form of each component below.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

ii. Technical Volume

The Technical Volume must be submitted in Adobe PDF format. The Technical Volume must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths. If applicants exceed the maximum page lengths indicated below, EERE will review only the authorized number of pages and disregard any additional pages. This volume must address the Merit Review Criteria as discussed in Section V.A.2 of the FOA. Save the Technical Volume in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title: "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_TechnicalVolume".

Applicants must provide sufficient citations and references to the primary research literature to justify the claims and approaches made in the Technical Volume. However, EERE and reviewers are under no obligation to review cited sources.

Except for enabling technologies and design tools, one performance metric used to evaluate applications will be the primary energy savings technical potential. Each application must describe a technology or an approach that leads to a minimum annual primary energy savings technical potential of at least 250 Trillion British Thermal Units (TBtu), i.e., 0.25 Quads for U.S. residential and/or commercial buildings. All applicants proposing a technology, again except for enabling technologies and design tools, will be required to use the BTO Market Calculator

(http://trynthink.github.io/scout/calculator.html) to compute the total market size in TBtu in 2030. Each applicant will enter the relevant building type (residential single-family, commercial food sales, etc.), end use (heating, cooling, lighting, cooking, refrigeration, etc.), climate zone(s) (1—5), and other information, from which the web tool will return the energy market size in TBtu. The applicants will also need to provide an estimate of the percent energy savings applicable to this market for their proposed technology innovation, with supporting analysis as described in Appendix F. The applicant will present the primary energy savings technical potential: the product of the percent energy savings and the energy market size as calculated by the <u>BTO Market Calculator</u>.

A second performance metric used to evaluate applications will be the cost effectiveness, as measured by the simple payback. Again, this will be applicable only to technology development proposals, and not to other proposals such as design tools or enabling technologies for which primary energy savings and/or payback are difficult to directly quantify. An explicit

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

approach is described in <u>Appendix F</u>, which applicants should follow to compute the payback for their proposed technology. An acceptable maximum payback (in years) will not be specified, since that can vary significantly depending on the end use.

All applicants will be required to provide an estimate of primary energy savings potentially resulting from their solution, even non-technological solutions. Such non-technological solutions must provide their own analysis of primary energy savings technical potential, and an analysis of their cost effectiveness. Applicants are strongly encouraged to provide references that support their analysis.

The Technical Volume to the Full Application may not be more than 15 pages, including the cover page, table of contents, and all citations, charts, graphs, maps, photos, or other graphics, and must include all of the information in the table below. The applicant should consider the weighting of each of the evaluation criteria (see Section V.A.2 of the FOA) when preparing the Technical Volume.

SECTION/PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION	
Cover Page	The cover page should include the project title, the specific FOA Topic Area and Number being addressed (if applicable), both the technical and business points of contact, names of all team member organizations, proposed project budget (Federal and Cost Share), proposed project duration, and any statements regarding confidentiality.	
Project Overview (This section should constitute approximately 10% of the Technical Volume)	 The Project Overview should contain the following information: Background: The applicant should discuss the background of their organization, including the history, successes, and current research and development status (i.e., the technical baseline) relevant to the technical topic being addressed in the Full Application. Project Goal: The applicant should explicitly identify the targeted improvements to the baseline technology and the critical success factors in achieving that goal. DOE Impact: The applicant should discuss the impact that DOE funding would have on the proposed project. Applicants are required to report two performance metrics: primary energy savings and cost effectiveness, as measured by the simple payback. Applicants are required to use the BTO Market Calculator web tool to report the primary energy savings for the proposed 	

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

		technology. The web tool will allow applicants to compute total market size (in TBtu). The applicants will also need to provide an estimate of the % energy savings applicable to this market, with supporting analysis, and the product of the % energy savings and the energy market size yields the primary energy savings technical potential. Applicants should specifically explain how DOE funding, relative to prior, current, or anticipated funding from other public and private sources, is necessary to achieve the project objectives. See section IV.D.2. Technical Volume and Appendix F for further guidance.
	Technical Description, Innovation, and Impact (This section should constitute approximately 30% of	 Relevance and Outcomes: The applicant should provide a detailed description of the technology, including the scientific and other principles and objectives that will be pursued during the project. This section should describe the relevance of the proposed project
	the Technical Volume)	 to the goals and objectives of the FOA, including the potential to meet specific DOE technical targets or other relevant performance targets. The applicant should clearly specify the expected outcomes of the project. Eassibility: The applicant should demonstrate the technical
		 reasibility. The applicant should demonstrate the technical feasibility of the proposed technology and capability of achieving the anticipated performance targets, including a description of previous work done and prior results.
		 Innovation and impacts. The applicant should describe the current state of the art in the applicable field, the specific innovation of the proposed technology, the advantages of proposed technology over current and emerging technologies, and
		the overall impact on advancing the state of the art/technical baseline and the energy efficiency relative to the commercial sector energy consumption baseline if the project is successful and the technology is ultimately commercialized.
	Workplan and	The Workplan should include a summary of the Project Objectives,
	Commercialization Plan	Technical Scope, Work Breakdown Structure, Milestones, Go/No-Go
	(This section should	Decision Points, and Project Schedule. A detailed Statement of Project
	approximately 40% of	the following information:
	the Technical Volume)	
	,	Project Objectives: The applicant should provide a clear and concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives of the goals and objectives of the concise (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives (high-level) statement of the goals and objectives (high-level) statement of the goals and high-level)
		project as well as the expected outcomes.
		Technical Scope Summary: The applicant should provide a
		summary description of the overall work scope and approach to
		achieve the objective(s). The overall work scope is to be divided
		by performance periods that are separated by discrete,
1		ם האביר האביר איני איני איני איני איני איני איני אי

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

information on go/no-go decision points). The applicant should
describe the specific expected end result of each performance
period.
Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) and Task Description Summary:
The Workplan should describe the work to be accomplished and
how the applicant will achieve the milestones, will accomplish the
final project goal(s), and will produce all deliverables. The
Workplan is to be structured with a hierarchy of performance
period (approximately annual), task and subtasks, which is typical
The Worknam shall contain a concise description of the specific
activities to be conducted over the life of the project. The
description shall be a full explanation and disclosure of the project.
heing proposed (i.e., a statement such as "we will then complete a
nronrietary process" is unaccentable). It is the applicant's
responsibility to prepare an adequately detailed task plan to
describe the proposed project and the plan for addressing the
objectives of this FOA. The summary provided should be
consistent with the SOPO. The SOPO will contain a more detailed
description of the WBS and tasks.
• Milestone Summary: The applicant should provide a summary of
appropriate milestones throughout the project to demonstrate
success. A milestone may be either a progress measure (which
can be activity based) or a SMART technical milestone. SMART
milestones should be Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant,
and T imely, and must demonstrate a technical achievement rather
than simply completing a task. Unless otherwise specified in the
FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have at
least one milestone per quarter for the duration of the project
with at least one SMART technical milestone per year (depending
on the project, more milestones may be necessary to
comprehensively demonstrate progress). The applicant should
The summary provided should be consistent with the Milestone
Summary Table in the SOPO
 Go/No-Go Decision Points: The applicant should provide a
summary of project-wide go/no-go decision points at appropriate
points in the Workplan. A go/no-go decision point is a risk
management tool and a project management best practice to
ensure that, for the current phase or period of performance,
technical success is definitively achieved and potential for success
in future phases or periods of performance is evaluated, prior to
actually beginning the execution of future phases. Unless
otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that
each project must have at least one project-wide go/no-go
decision point for each budget period (12 to 18-month period) of

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

 the project. The Applicant should also provide the specific technical criteria to be used to make the go/no-go decision. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Go/no-go decision points are considered "SMART" and can fulfill the requirement for an annual SMART milestone. End of Project Goal: The applicant should provide a summary of the end of project goal(s). Unless otherwise specified in the FOA, the minimum requirement is that each project must have one SMART end of project goal. The summary provided should be consistent with the SOPO. Project Schedule (Gantt Chart or similar): The applicant should provide a schedule for the entire project, including task and subtask durations, milestones, and go/no-go decision points. Project Management: The applicant should discuss the team's proposed management plan, including the following: The overall approach to and organization for managing the work The roles of each Project Team member Any critical handoffs/interdependencies among Project Team members The technical and management aspects of the management plan, including systems and practices, such as financial and project risk management A description of how project changes will be handled If applicable, the approach to Quality Assurance/Control
The Commercialization Plan section in the application should use plain language to describe the state of the technology and include a summary of the Technology Transition, Market, Major Commercialization Risks/Issues/Obstacles, Next Stage Plans, and Team. The significant impact sought by DOE depends upon successful projects finding a path to large- scale adoption. DOE projects are not required to achieve commercial deployment by the end of the project period, but the applicant should define a reasonable path for the proposed technology toward commercial adoption.
The Commercialization Plan should contain the following information for the Innovations Open Topic #1:
 Market: Quantify the market opportunity and describe the value proposition and competitive differentiation. Include explanation of why the proposed solution would be commercially relevant (e.g., what needs are you trying to address? How have previous

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in

 solutions fallen short?) and how you plan to test and qualify your product concept in the market. Bisks and Challenges: Identify techno-economic challenges to be
overcome for the proposed technology to be commercially relevant.
 Next Stage Plans: Describe anticipated resource needs for the next phase of development following the end of the DOE project. Team: Identification of commercialization project team lead responsible for leading and coordinating all commercialization activities for the project.
The Commercialization Plan should contain the following information for
 Technology Transition: Describe how the proposed technology is expected to transition from the current state of development to ultimate commercial deployment, including a description of the eventual product, potential near- and long-term market entries, likely commercialization approach (startup, license, etc.), types of organizations expected to be involved in the eventual commercial technology transition (utilities, system operators, strategic industry partners, etc.), and the timeline of expected technology commercialization.
 Market: Identify and quantify the target market and opportunity. Include building type, sector, size, system, training requirements and other factors key to the successful realization of energy savings potential. Describe the value proposition and competitive differentiation. Include explanation why the proposed solution would be commercially relevant. Describe the distribution/dissemination channels for the proposed solution (including who is expected to sell/disseminate and where) and any known or perceived barriers to market adoption/dissemination and your plans for mitigating these.
 Major Commercialization Risks/Issues/Obstacles: Identify techno- economic challenges to be overcome for the proposed technology to be commercially relevant and discuss manufacturing and scalability risks associated with the technology. Include regulatory, intellectual property (IP), and integration with existing tools (if applicable) considerations and any infrastructure requirements.
 Next Stage Plans: Describe anticipated resource needs and potential follow-on funding sources for the next phase of development following the end of the DOE project and your plan to engage these entities during the DOE project.
 Team: Identification of commercialization project team lead responsible for leading and coordinating all commercialization

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

	activities for the project. Discuss the anticipated roles for the proposed research team in the commercialization of the technology. Include previous commercialization experience and successes.
Technical Qualifications and Resources (Approximately 20% of the Technical Volume)	 Interfechnical Qualifications and Resources should contain the following information: Describe the Project Team's unique qualifications and expertise, including those of key Subrecipients. Describe the Project Team's existing equipment and facilities that will facilitate the successful completion of the proposed project; include a justification of any new equipment or facilities requested as part of the project. This section should also include relevant, previous work efforts, level of current or prior funding, demonstrated innovations, and how these enable the applicant to achieve the project objectives. Describe the time commitment of the key team members to support the project. Attach one-page resumes for key participating team members as an appendix. Resumes do not count towards the page limit. Multi-page resumes are not allowed. Describe the technical services to be provided by DOE/NNSA FFRDCs, if applicable. Attach letters of commitment from all Subrecipient/third party cost share providers as an appendix. Letters of support do not count towards the page limit. Attach any letters of support from partners/end users as an appendix (1 page maximum per letter). Letters of support do not count towards the page limit. For multi-organizational or multi-investigator projects, describe succinctly: The roles and the work to be performed by each PI and Key Participant; Business agreements between the applicant and each PI and Key Participant; How the various efforts will be integrated and managed; Process for making decisions on scientific/technical direction; Publication arrangements; Intellectual Property issues; and Communication plans
FOA-Specific Requirements	 Applications proposing an international collaboration as part of the HVAC Topic #2 are provided an additional 1 page in the Technical Volume in which to describe the proposed collaboration

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

impact on strengthening domestic manufacturing. This section
should describe the nature of the collaboration and how technical
resources from collaborators will be leveraged, as well as how the
proposed international collaboration strengthens the ability of
U.S. manufacturers to export and improves the viability of the
proposed technology in international markets. DOE funds will
only be used to fund researchers in the U.S. The international
collaborators will be funded by other sources, such as their
countries' government.
countries government.

iii. Statement of Project Objectives

Applicants are required to complete a Statement of Project Objectives (SOPO). A SOPO template is available on EERE Exchange at <u>https://eere-</u> <u>Exchange.energy.gov/</u>. The SOPO, including the Milestone Table, must not exceed 10 pages excluding milestone table when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the SOPO in a single Microsoft Word file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber LeadOrganization SOPO".

iv. SF-424: Application for Federal Assistance

Complete all required fields in accordance with the instructions on the form. The list of certifications and assurances in Field 21 can be found at <u>http://energy.gov/management/office-management/operational-management/financial-assistance/financial-assistance-forms</u>, under Certifications and Assurances. Note: The dates and dollar amounts on the SF-424 are for the complete project period and not just the first project year, first phase or other subset of the project period. Save the SF-424 in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_App424".

v. Budget Justification Workbook (EERE 335)

Applicants are required to complete the Budget Justification Workbook. This form is available on EERE Exchange at <u>https://eere-Exchange.energy.gov/</u>. Prime Recipients must complete each tab of the Budget Justification Workbook for the project as a whole, including all work to be performed by the Prime Recipient and its Subrecipients and Contractors, and provide all requested documentation (e.g., a Federally-approved rate agreement, vendor quotes). Applicants should include costs associated with required annual audits and incurred cost proposals in their proposed budget documents. The "Instructions and Summary" included with the Budget

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Justification Workbook will auto-populate as the applicant enters information into the Workbook. Applicants must carefully read the "Instructions and Summary" tab provided within the Budget Justification Workbook. Save the Budget Justification Workbook in a single Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Budget_Justification".

vi. Summary/Abstract for Public Release

Applicants are required to submit a one-page summary/abstract of their project. The project summary/abstract must contain a summary of the proposed activity suitable for dissemination to the public. It should be a self-contained document that identifies the name of the applicant, the project director/principal investigator(s), the project title, the objectives of the project, a description of the project, including methods to be employed, the potential impact of the project (e.g., benefits, outcomes), and major participants (for collaborative projects). This document must not include any proprietary or sensitive business information as DOE may make it available to the public after selections are made. The project summary must not exceed 1 page when printed using standard 8.5 x 11 paper with 1" margins (top, bottom, left, and right) with font not smaller than 12 point. Save the Summary for Public Release in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Summary".

vii.Summary Slide

Applicants are required to provide a single PowerPoint slide summarizing the proposed project. The slide must be submitted in Microsoft PowerPoint format. This slide is used during the evaluation process. Save the Summary Slide in a single file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Slide".

The Summary Slide template requires the following information:

- A technology Summary;
- A description of the technology's impact;
- Proposed project goals;
- Any key graphics (illustrations, charts and/or tables);
- The project's key idea/takeaway;
- Project title, Prime Recipient, Principal Investigator, and Key Participant information; and
- Requested EERE funds and proposed applicant cost share.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

viii. Subaward Budget Justification (EERE 335) (if applicable)

Applicants must provide a separate budget justification, EERE 335 (i.e., budget justification for each budget year and a cumulative budget) for each subawardee that is expected to perform work estimated to be more than \$250,000 or 25 percent of the total work effort (whichever is less). The budget justification must include the same justification information described in the "Budget Justification" section above. Save each subaward budget justification in a Microsoft Excel file using the following convention for the title

"ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_Subawardee_Budget_Justification".

ix. Budget for DOE/NNSA FFRDC (if applicable)

If a DOE/NNSA FFRDC contractor is to perform a portion of the work, the applicant must provide a DOE Field Work Proposal (FWP) in accordance with the requirements in DOE Order 412.1, Work Authorization System. DOE Order 412.1 and DOE O 412.1 (Field Work Proposal form) area available at the following link, under "DOE Budget Forms": <u>https://www.directives.doe.gov/directives/0412.1-BOrder-a/view</u>. Save the FWP in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FWP".

x. Authorization for non-DOE/NNSA or DOE/NNSA FFRDCs (if applicable)

The Federal agency sponsoring the FFRDC must authorize in writing the use of the FFRDC on the proposed project and this authorization must be submitted with the application. The use of a FFRDC must be consistent with the contractor's authority under its award. Save the Authorization in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_FFRDCAuth".

xi. SF-LLL: Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Prime Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities" (<u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf</u>) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

Save the SF-LLL in a single PDF file using the following convention for the title "ControlNumber_LeadOrganization_SF-LLL".

xii. Waiver Requests: Foreign Entities and Performance of Work in the United States (if applicable)

1. Foreign Entity Participation:

As set forth in Section III.A.3, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be</u> <u>included in a request to waive this requirement</u>.

2. Performance of Work in the United States

As set forth in Section IV.J.iii, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be</u> <u>included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United</u> <u>States requirement</u>.

xiii. U.S. Manufacturing Commitments

As part of the application, applicants are required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan. The U.S. Manufacturing Plan represents the applicant's measurable commitment to support U.S. manufacturing as a result of its award.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

The weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans during the review and selection process varies based on the particular FOA. Applicants should review Section V.A.2 of this FOA to determine the weight given to the U.S. Manufacturing Plans under this FOA.

A U.S. Manufacturing Plan should contain the following or similar preamble: "If selected for funding, the applicant agrees to the following commitments as a condition of that funding:" and, after the preamble, the plan should include one or more specific and measureable commitments. For example, an applicant may commit particular types of products to be manufactured in the U.S. In addition to or instead of making a commitment tied to a particular product, the applicant may make other types of commitments still beneficial to U.S. manufacturing. An applicant may commit to a particular investment in a new or existing U.S. manufacturing facility, keep certain activities based in the U.S. (i.e., final assembly) or support a certain number of jobs in the U.S. related to the technology and manufacturing. For an applicant which is likely to license the technology to others, especially universities for which licensing may be the exclusive means of commercialization the technology, the U.S. manufacturing plan may indicate the applicant's plan and commitment to use a licensing strategy that would likely support U.S. manufacturing.

When an applicant that is a domestic small business, domestic educational institution, or nonprofit organization is selected for an award, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan submitted by the applicant becomes part of the terms and conditions of the award. The applicant/awardee may request a waiver or modification of the U.S. Manufacturing Plan from DOE upon a showing that the original U.S. Manufacturing Plan is no longer economically feasible.

When an applicant that is a domestic large business is selected for an award, a class patent waiver applies as set forth in Section VIII. L. Under this class patent waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class patent waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of an invention conceived or first actually reduced to practice under the award will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line. For other entity types that are selected for award, please see Section VIII.L regarding U.S. manufacturing commitments.

xiv. Data Management Plan

Applicants are required to submit a Data Management Plan with their Full Application. The Data Management Plan is a document that outlines the proposed plan for data sharing or preservation. Submission of a Data Management Plan with the Full Application is required; failure to submit a complete Data Management Plan may result in a determination of noncompliance for your Full Application. Guidance for preparing a Data Management Plan is included in Appendix D of the FOA.

xv. Open Source Software Distribution Plan

BTO encourages the development of software that will broadly be used and impact the building energy market. Applicants that choose to propose the development of software that is open source are required to submit an Open Source Software Distribution Plan as part of their Full Application. This plan describes how software produced under this FOA will be distributed. Submission of an Open Source Software Distribution Plan is required; failure to submit a complete Plan may result in a determination of non-compliance for your Full Application. Guidance for preparing an Open Source Software Distribution Plan is included in Appendix E of the FOA.

xvi. Intellectual Property Management Plan

For applications collaborating with international entities in the HVAC&R Topic #2, a preliminary Intellectual Property Management Plan (IPMP) should be submitted with the application identifying how prior, individually developed, and jointly developed intellectual property will be managed. Further information regarding an IPMP can be found in Section VI.B.x.

E. Content and Form of Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE will provide applicants with reviewer comments following evaluation of all eligible Full Applications. Applicants will have a brief opportunity to review the comments and to prepare a short Reply to Reviewer Comments responding to comments however they desire or supplementing their Full Application. The Reply to Reviewer Comments is an optional submission; applicants are not required to

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.qov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

submit a Reply to Reviewer Comments. EERE will notify applicants via email when the Reviewer Comments are available for reply. The expected submission deadline is on the cover page of the FOA; however, it is the applicant's responsibility to monitor email in the event that the expected date changes. The deadline will not be extended for applicants who are unable to timely submit their reply due to failure to check email or relying on the expected date alone. Applicants should anticipate having approximately three (3) business days to submit Replies to Reviewer Comments.

EERE will not review or consider ineligible Replies to Reviewer Comments (see Section III of the FOA). EERE will review and consider each eligible Full Application, even if no Reply is submitted or if the Reply is found to be ineligible.

Replies to Reviewer Comments must conform to the following content and form requirements, including maximum page lengths, described below. If a Reply to Reviewer Comments is more than three pages in length, EERE will review only the first three (3) pages and disregard any additional pages.

SECTION	PAGE LIMIT	DESCRIPTION
Text	2 pages max	Applicants may respond to one or more reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application.
Optional	1 page max	Applicants may use this page however they wish; text, graphs, charts, or other data to respond to reviewer comments or supplement their Full Application are acceptable.

F. Post-Award Information Requests

If selected for award, EERE reserves the right to request additional or clarifying information for any reason deemed necessary, including but not limited to:

- Indirect cost information
- Other budget information
- Commitment Letters from Third Parties Contributing to Cost Share, if applicable
- Name and phone number of the Designated Responsible Employee for complying with national policies prohibiting discrimination (See 10 CFR 1040.5)
- Representation of Limited Rights Data and Restricted Software, if applicable
- Environmental Questionnaire

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

 Representation or clarification of data submitted for input into BTO's Scout software program (an update to the formerly used P-Tool program). Data will consist of the following for the proposed technology: relevant market, efficiency improvement relative to the baseline technology it replaces, incremental installed cost relative to the baseline technology that it replaces, and the expected lifetime

G. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System Number and System for Award Management

Each applicant (unless the applicant is an individual or Federal awarding agency that is excepted from those requirements under 2 CFR §25.110(b) or (c), or has an exception approved by the Federal awarding agency under 2 CFR §25.110(d)) is required to: (1) Be registered in the System for Award Management (SAM) at <u>https://www.sam.gov</u> before submitting its application; (2) provide a valid Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number in its application; and (3) continue to maintain an active SAM registration with current information at all times during which it has an active Federal award or an application or plan under consideration by a Federal awarding agency. DOE may not make a Federal award to an applicant until the applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time DOE is ready to make a Federal award, the DOE may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive a Federal award and use that determination as a basis for making a Federal award to another applicant.

H. Submission Dates and Times

Concept Papers, Full Applications, and Replies to Reviewer Comments must be submitted in EERE Exchange no later than 5 p.m. Eastern on the dates provided on the cover page of this FOA.

I. Intergovernmental Review

This FOA is not subject to Executive Order 12372 – Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

J. Funding Restrictions

i. Allowable Costs

All expenditures must be allowable, allocable, and reasonable in accordance with the applicable Federal cost principles.

Refer to the following applicable Federal cost principles for more information:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities; and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

ii. Pre-Award Costs

Selectees must request prior written approval to charge pre-award costs. Pre-award costs are those incurred prior to the effective date of the Federal award directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and **only** with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency, through the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Pre-award costs cannot be incurred prior to the Selection Official signing the Selection Statement and Analysis. Pre-award costs can only be incurred if such costs would be reimbursable under the agreement if incurred after award.

Pre-Award expenditures are made at the Selectee's risk; EERE is not obligated to reimburse costs: (1) in the absence of appropriations; (2) if an award is not made; or (3) if an award is made for a lesser amount than the Selectee anticipated.

1. Pre-Award Costs Related to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Requirements

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute Federal funds under this FOA is subject to NEPA. Applicants should carefully consider and should seek legal counsel or other expert advice before taking any action related to the proposed project that would have an adverse effect on the

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to EERE completing the NEPA review process.

EERE does not guarantee or assume any obligation to reimburse costs where the Prime Recipient incurred the costs prior to receiving written authorization from the Contracting Officer. If the applicant elects to undertake activities that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to receiving such written authorization from the Contracting Officer, the applicant is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Likewise, if a project is selected for negotiation of award, and the Prime Recipient elects to undertake activities that are not authorized for Federal funding by the Contracting Officer in advance of EERE completing a NEPA review, the Prime Recipient is doing so at risk of not receiving Federal Funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable cost share. Nothing contained in the pre-award cost reimbursement regulations or any pre-award costs approval letter from the Contracting Officer override these NEPA requirements to obtain the written authorization from the Contracting Officer prior to taking any action that may have an adverse effect on the environment or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives.

iii. Performance of Work in the United States

1. Requirement

All work performed under EERE Awards must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment; however, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. The Prime Recipient must flow down this requirement to its Subrecipients.

2. Failure to Comply

If the Prime Recipient fails to comply with the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, EERE may deny reimbursement for the work conducted outside the United States and such costs may not be recognized as allowable recipient cost share. The Prime Recipient is responsible should any work under this Award be performed outside the United States, absent a waiver, regardless of if the work is performed by the Prime Recipient, Subrecipients, contractors or other project partners.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

3. Waiver

There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit a written waiver request to EERE. <u>Appendix C lists the necessary information that must be included in a request to waive the Performance of Work in the United States requirement</u>.

The applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that a waiver would further the purposes of the FOA and is in the economic interests of the United States. EERE may require additional information before considering a waiver request. Save the waiver request(s) in a single PDF file titled "ControlNumber_PerformanceofWork_Waiver". The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

iv. Construction

Recipients are required to obtain written authorization from the Contracting Officer before incurring any major construction costs.

v. Foreign Travel

Foreign Travel is only allowed under this FOA for the HVAC&R Topic #2 projects collaborating with international partners. Elsewise foreign travel costs are not allowable.

If international travel is proposed for your project, please note that your organization must comply with the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (49 USC 40118), commonly referred to as the "Fly America Act," and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 301-10.131 through 301-10.143. The law and regulations require air transport of people or property to, from, between, or within a country other than the United States, the cost of which is supported under this award, to be performed by or under a cost-sharing arrangement with a U.S. flag carrier, if service is available. Foreign travel costs are allowable only with the written prior approval of the Contracting Officer assigned to the award.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

vi. Equipment and Supplies

To the greatest extent practicable, all equipment and products purchased with funds made available under this FOA should be American-made. This requirement does not apply to used or leased equipment.

Property disposition will be required at the end of a project if the current fair market value of property exceeds \$5,000. The rules for property disposition are set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 – 200.316 as amended by 2 CFR 910.360.

vii.Lobbying

Recipients and Subrecipients may not use any Federal funds to influence or attempt to influence, directly or indirectly, congressional action on any legislative or appropriation matters.

Recipients and Subrecipients are required to complete and submit SF-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities"

(<u>http://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/grants/sflllin.pdf</u>) if any non-Federal funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence any of the following in connection with your application:

- An officer or employee of any Federal agency;
- A Member of Congress;
- An officer or employee of Congress; or
- An employee of a Member of Congress.

viii. Risk Assessment

Prior to making a Federal award, the DOE is required by 31 U.S.C. 3321 and 41 U.S.C. 2313 to review information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as SAM Exclusions and "Do Not Pay."

In addition, DOE evaluates the risk(s) posed by applicants before they receive Federal awards. This evaluation may consider: results of the evaluation of the applicant's eligibility; the quality of the application; financial stability; quality of management systems and ability to meet the management standards prescribed in this part; history of performance; reports and findings from audits; and the applicant's ability to effectively implement statutory, regulatory, or other requirements imposed on non-Federal entities.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

In addition to this review, DOE must comply with the guidelines on government-wide suspension and debarment in 2 CFR 180, and must require non-Federal entities to comply with these provisions. These provisions restrict Federal awards, subawards and contracts with certain parties that are debarred, suspended or otherwise excluded from or ineligible for participation in Federal programs or activities.

ix. Invoice Review and Approval

DOE employs a risk-based approach to determine the level of supporting documentation required for approving invoice payments. Recipients may be required to provide some or all of the following items with their requests for reimbursement:

- Summary of costs by cost categories
- Timesheets or personnel hours report
- Invoices/receipts for all travel, equipment, supplies, contractual, and other costs
- UCC filing proof for equipment acquired with project funds by for-profit recipients and subrecipients
- Explanation of cost share for invoicing period
- Analogous information for some subrecipients
- Other items as required by DOE

x. Additional Requirements

For international collaborations under **Topic 2: Advanced HVAC&R Research and Development**, DOE and cost share funds will only be used to fund researchers in the U.S. **The international collaborators will be funded by other sources, such as their countries' government**.

V. Application Review Information

A. Technical Review Criteria

i. Concept Papers

Concept Papers are evaluated based on consideration of the following factors. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact

- The applicant clearly describes the proposed technology, how the technology is unique and innovative, and how the technology will advance the current state-of-the-art.
- Method used to identify current state of the art technology.
- If successful, proposed idea would significantly improve technical and economic performance relative to the state of the art.
- The applicant has shown the impact that EERE funding and the proposed project would have on the relevant field and application.
- The proposed work, if successfully accomplished, would clearly meet the objectives as stated in the FOA.

Criterion 2: Overall Project Approach

- The applicant clearly describes the overall soundness, adequacy, and completeness of the proposed project.
- The applicant has shown how the proposed technology will overcome the shortcomings, limitations, and challenges in the relevant field and application.
- The applicant has identified risks and challenges, including possible mitigation strategies associated with the proposed technology development plan.

Criterion 3: Team Qualifications and Capabilities

- The applicant has the qualifications, experience, capabilities and other resources necessary to complete the proposed project.
- The likelihood that the applicant will effectively and efficiently accomplish the work and meet the objectives.

ii. Full Applications

Applications will be evaluated against the merit review criteria shown below. All sub-criteria are of equal weight.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Weight: 30%

Weight: 50%

Weight: 20%

Criterion 1: Technical Merit, Innovation, and Impact (50%)

Technical Merit and Innovation

- Extent to which the proposed technology or process is innovative;
- Degree to which the current state of the technology and the proposed advancement are clearly described;
- Extent to which the application specifically and convincingly demonstrates how the applicant will move the state of the art to the proposed advancement; and
- Sufficiency of technical detail in the application to assess whether the proposed work is scientifically meritorious and revolutionary, including relevant data, calculations and discussion of prior work in the literature with analyses that support the viability of the proposed work.

Impact of Technology Advancement

- How the project supports the topic area objectives and target specifications and metrics; and
- The potential impact of the project on advancing the state-of-the-art.

Criterion 2: Project Research and Commercialization Plan (30%)

Research Approach, Workplan and SOPO

- Degree to which the approach and critical path have been clearly described and thoughtfully considered; and
- Degree to which the task descriptions are clear, detailed, timely, and reasonable, resulting in a high likelihood that the proposed Workplan and SOPO will succeed in meeting the project goals.

Identification of Technical Risks

• Discussion and demonstrated understanding of the key technical risk areas involved in the proposed work and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.

Baseline, Metrics, and Deliverables

- The level of clarity in the definition of the baseline, metrics, and milestones; and
- Relative to a clearly defined experimental baseline, the strength of the quantifiable metrics, milestones, and a mid-point deliverables defined in the application, such that meaningful interim progress will be made.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Commercialization Plan

- The extent to which the application proposes a reasonable and effective strategy for transitioning the proposed technology from the current state of development to ultimate commercial deployment.
- Comprehensiveness of commercialization plan including but not limited to articulating a clear understanding of the state of the market and market opportunity, competitive advantage or value proposition, product distribution/dissemination, including intellectual property, infrastructure requirements, Data Management Plan, U.S. manufacturing plan etc., as well as team and next stage plans.
- Demonstrated understanding of the major market and commercialization issues, barriers, and risk areas involved in the development and eventual deployment/disseminination of the proposed solution, and the quality of the mitigation strategies to address them.
- For applications in the Scale-up Open Topic #4: The likelihood that the project would result in a product within 2-3 years of available for purchase through normal market channels with data and information available to support a technology demonstration in an operational, occupied building

Criterion 3: Team and Resources (20%)

- The capability of the Principal Investigator(s) and the proposed team to address all aspects of the proposed work with a high probability of success. The qualifications, relevant expertise, and time commitment of the individuals on the team;
- The sufficiency of the facilities to support the work;
- The degree to which the proposed consortia/team demonstrates the ability to facilitate and expedite further development and commercial deployment of the proposed technologies;
- The level of participation by project participants as evidenced by letter(s) of commitment and how well they are integrated into the Workplan; and
- The reasonableness of the budget and spend plan for the proposed project and objectives.

iii. Criteria for Replies to Reviewer Comments

EERE has not established separate criteria to evaluate Replies to Reviewer Comments. Instead, Replies to Reviewer Comments are attached to the original applications and evaluated as an extension of the Full Application.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

B. Standards for Application Evaluation

Applications that are determined to be eligible will be evaluated in accordance with this FOA, by the standards set forth in EERE's Notice of Objective Merit Review Procedure (76 Fed. Reg. 17846, March 31, 2011) and the guidance provided in the "Department of Energy Merit Review Guide for Financial Assistance," which is available at

http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/meritrev.pdf.

C. Other Selection Factors

i. Program Policy Factors

In addition to the above criteria, the Selection Official may consider the following program policy factors in determining which Full Applications to select for award negotiations:

- The degree to which the proposed project exhibits technological diversity when compared to the existing DOE project portfolio and other projects selected from the subject FOA;
- The degree to which the proposed project, including proposed cost share, optimizes the use of available EERE funding to achieve programmatic objectives;
- The level of industry involvement and demonstrated ability to accelerate commercialization and overcome key market barriers;
- The degree to which the proposed project is likely to lead to increased employment and manufacturing in the United States;
- The degree to which the proposed project will accelerate transformational technological advances in areas that industry by itself is not likely to undertake because of technical and financial uncertainty; and
- For international collaborations in the HVAC&R topic, the degree to which the proposed project leverages international partners and their contributions to accelerate the development of new HVAC&R technologies, increase market viability of the proposed technology to non-US markets, as well as allow for market priming and capacity building for U.S manufacturers in growing foreign markets.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

D. Evaluation and Selection Process

i. Overview

The evaluation process consists of multiple phases; each includes an initial eligibility review and a thorough technical review. Rigorous technical reviews of eligible submissions are conducted by reviewers that are experts in the subject matter of the FOA. Ultimately, the Selection Official considers the recommendations of the reviewers, along with other considerations such as program policy factors, in determining which applications to select.

ii. Pre-Selection Interviews

As part of the evaluation and selection process, EERE may invite one or more applicants to participate in Pre-Selection Interviews. Pre-Selection Interviews are distinct from and more formal than pre-selection clarifications (See Section V.D.3 of the FOA). The invited applicant(s) will meet with EERE representatives to provide clarification on the contents of the Full Applications and to provide EERE an opportunity to ask questions regarding the proposed project. The information provided by applicants to EERE through Pre-Selection Interviews contributes to EERE's selection decisions.

EERE will arrange to meet with the invited applicants in person at EERE's offices or a mutually agreed upon location. EERE may also arrange site visits at certain applicants' facilities. In the alternative, EERE may invite certain applicants to participate in a one-on-one conference with EERE via webinar, videoconference, or conference call.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for travel and other expenses relating to the Pre-Selection Interviews, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

EERE may obtain additional information through Pre-Selection Interviews that will be used to make a final selection determination. EERE may select applications for funding and make awards without Pre-Selection Interviews. Participation in Pre-Selection Interviews with EERE does not signify that applicants have been selected for award negotiations.

iii. Pre-Selection Clarification

EERE may determine that pre-selection clarifications are necessary from one or more applicants. Pre-selection clarifications are distinct from and less

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line. formal than pre-selection interviews. These pre-selection clarifications will solely be for the purposes of clarifying the application, and will be limited to information already provided in the application documentation. The preselection clarifications may occur before, during or after the merit review evaluation process. Information provided by an applicant that is not necessary to address the pre-selection clarification question will not be reviewed or considered. Typically, a pre-selection clarification will be carried out through either written responses to EERE's written clarification questions or video or conference calls with EERE representatives.

The information provided by applicants to EERE through pre-selection clarifications is incorporated in their applications and contributes to the merit review evaluation and EERE's selection decisions. If EERE contacts an applicant for pre-selection clarification purposes, it does not signify that the applicant has been selected for negotiation of award or that the applicant is among the top ranked applications.

EERE will not reimburse applicants for expenses relating to the pre-selection clarifications, nor will these costs be eligible for reimbursement as pre-award costs.

iv. Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters

DOE, prior to making a Federal award with a total amount of Federal share greater than the simplified acquisition threshold, is required to review and consider any information about the applicant that is in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM (currently FAPIIS) (see 41 U.S.C. 2313).

The applicant, at its option, may review information in the designated integrity and performance systems accessible through SAM and comment on any information about itself that a Federal awarding agency previously entered and is currently in the designated integrity and performance system accessible through SAM.

DOE will consider any written comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in the designated integrity and performance system, in making a judgment about the applicant's integrity, business ethics, and record of performance under Federal awards when completing the review of risk posed by applicants as described in 2 C.F.R. § 200.205.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

v. Selection

The Selection Official may consider the technical merit, the Federal Consensus Board's recommendations, program policy factors, and the amount of funds available in arriving at selections for this FOA.

E. Anticipated Notice of Selection and Award Dates

EERE anticipates notifying applicants selected for negotiation of award by June 2017 and making awards by August 2017.

VI. Award Administration Information

A. Award Notices

i. Ineligible Submissions

Ineligible Concept Papers and Full Applications will not be further reviewed or considered for award. The Contracting Officer will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will state the basis upon which the Concept Paper or the Full Application is ineligible and not considered for further review.

ii. Concept Paper Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination to encourage or discourage the submission of a Full Application. EERE will send a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange.

Applicants may submit a Full Application even if they receive a notification discouraging them from doing so. By discouraging the submission of a Full Application, EERE intends to convey its lack of programmatic interest in the proposed project. Such assessments do not necessarily reflect judgments on the merits of the proposed project. The purpose of the Concept Paper phase is to save applicants the considerable time and expense of preparing a Full Application that is unlikely to be selected for award negotiations.

A notification letter encouraging the submission of a Full Application does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. Please refer to Section IV.J.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in

iii. Full Application Notifications

EERE will notify applicants of its determination via a notification letter by email to the technical and administrative points of contact designated by the applicant in EERE Exchange. The notification letter will inform the applicant whether or not its Full Application was selected for award negotiations. Alternatively, EERE may notify one or more applicants that a final selection determination on particular Full Applications will be made at a later date, subject to the availability of funds or other factors.

iv. Successful Applicants

Receipt of a notification letter selecting a Full Application for award negotiations does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. If an application is selected for award negotiations, it is not a commitment by EERE to issue an award. Applicants do not receive an award until award negotiations are complete and the Contracting Officer executes the funding agreement, accessible by the Prime Recipient in FedConnect.

The award negotiation process will take approximately 60 days. Applicants must designate a primary and a backup point-of-contact in EERE Exchange with whom EERE will communicate to conduct award negotiations. The applicant must be responsive during award negotiations (i.e., provide requested documentation) and meet the negotiation deadlines. If the applicant fails to do so or if award negotiations are otherwise unsuccessful, EERE will cancel the award negotiations and rescind the Selection. EERE reserves the right to terminate award negotiations at any time for any reason.

Please refer to Section IV.J.2 of the FOA for guidance on pre-award costs.

v. Alternate Selection Determinations

In some instances, an applicant may receive a notification that its application was not selected for award and EERE designated the application to be an alternate. As an alternate, EERE may consider the Full Application for Federal funding in the future. A notification letter stating the Full Application is designated as an alternate does not authorize the applicant to commence performance of the project. EERE may ultimately determine to select or not select the Full Application for award negotiations.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.
vi. Unsuccessful Applicants

EERE shall promptly notify in writing each applicant whose application has not been selected for award or whose application cannot be funded because of the unavailability of appropriated funds.

B. Administrative and National Policy Requirements

i. Registration Requirements

There are several one-time actions before submitting an application in response to this FOA, and it is vital that applicants address these items as soon as possible. Some may take several weeks, and failure to complete them could interfere with an applicant's ability to apply to this FOA, or to meet the negotiation deadlines and receive an award if the application is selected. These requirements are as follows:

1. EERE Exchange

Register and create an account on EERE Exchange at <u>https://eere-</u> <u>Exchange.energy.gov</u>.

This account will then allow the user to register for any open EERE FOAs that are currently in EERE Exchange. It is recommended that each organization or business unit, whether acting as a team or a single entity, use only one account as the contact point for each submission. Applicants should also designate backup points of contact so they may be easily contacted if deemed necessary. This step is required to apply to this FOA.

The EERE Exchange registration does not have a delay; however, <u>the</u> <u>remaining registration requirements below could take several weeks to</u> <u>process and are necessary for a potential applicant to receive an award</u> <u>under this FOA</u>.

2. DUNS Number

Obtain a Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number (including the plus 4 extension, if applicable) at <u>http://fedgov.dnb.com/webform</u>.

3. System for Award Management

Register with the System for Award Management (SAM) at https://www.sam.gov. Designating an Electronic Business Point of Contact (EBiz POC) and obtaining a special password called an MPIN are

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

important steps in SAM registration. Please update your SAM registration annually.

4. FedConnect

Register in FedConnect at <u>https://www.fedconnect.net</u>. To create an organization account, your organization's SAM MPIN is required. For more information about the SAM MPIN or other registration requirements, review the FedConnect Ready, Set, Go! Guide at <u>http://www.fedconnect.net/FedConnect/Marketing/Documents/FedConnect Ready Set Go.pdf</u>.

5. Grants.gov

Register in Grants.gov (<u>http://www.grants.gov</u>) to receive automatic updates when Amendments to this FOA are posted. However, please note that Concept Papers, and Full Applications will not be accepted through Grants.gov.

6. Electronic Authorization of Applications and Award Documents

Submission of an application and supplemental information under this FOA through electronic systems used by the Department of Energy, including EERE Exchange and FedConnect.net, constitutes the authorized representative's approval and electronic signature.

ii. Award Administrative Requirements

The administrative requirements for DOE grants and cooperative agreements are contained in 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910.

iii. Foreign National Access to DOE Sites

All applicants that ultimately enter into an award resulting from this FOA will be subject to the following requirement concerning foreign national involvement. Upon DOE's request, Prime Recipients must provide information to facilitate DOE's responsibilities associated with foreign national access to DOE sites, information, technologies, and equipment. A foreign national is defined as any person who was born outside the jurisdiction of the United States, is a citizen of a foreign government, and has not been naturalized under U.S. law. If the Prime Recipient or Subrecipients, contractors or vendors under the award, anticipate utilizing a foreign national person in the performance of an award, the Prime Recipient is responsible for providing to the Contracting Officer specific information of

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

the foreign national(s) to satisfy compliance with all of the requirements for access approval.

iv. Subaward and Executive Reporting

Additional administrative requirements necessary for DOE grants and cooperative agreements to comply with the Federal Funding and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA) are contained in 2 CFR Part 170. Prime Recipients must register with the new FFATA Subaward Reporting System database and report the required data on their first tier Subrecipients. Prime Recipients must report the executive compensation for their own executives as part of their registration profile in SAM.

v. National Policy Requirements

The National Policy Assurances that are incorporated as a term and condition of award are located at: <u>http://www.nsf.gov/awards/managing/rtc.jsp</u>.

vi. Environmental Review in Accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

EERE's decision whether and how to distribute federal funds under this FOA is subject to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 USC 4321, *et seq.*). NEPA requires Federal agencies to integrate environmental values into their decision-making processes by considering the potential environmental impacts of their proposed actions. For additional background on NEPA, please see DOE's NEPA website, at <u>http://nepa.energy.gov/</u>.

While NEPA compliance is a Federal agency responsibility and the ultimate decisions remain with the Federal agency, all recipients selected for an award will be required to assist in the timely and effective completion of the NEPA process in the manner most pertinent to their proposed project. If DOE determines certain records must be prepared to complete the NEPA review process (e.g., biological evaluations or environmental assessments), the costs to prepare the necessary records may be included as part of the project costs.

vii.Applicant Representations and Certifications

1. Lobbying Restrictions

By accepting funds under this award, the Prime Recipient agrees that none of the funds obligated on the award shall be expended, directly or

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

indirectly, to influence Congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress, other than to communicate to Members of Congress as described in 18 U.S.C. §1913. This restriction is in addition to those prescribed elsewhere in statute and regulation.

- 2. Corporate Felony Conviction and Federal Tax Liability Representations In submitting an application in response to this FOA, the applicant represents that:
 - a. It is **not** a corporation that has been convicted of a felony criminal violation under any Federal law within the preceding 24 months, and
 - b. It is **not** a corporation that has any unpaid Federal tax liability that has been assessed, for which all judicial and administrative remedies have been exhausted or have lapsed, and that is not being paid in a timely manner pursuant to an agreement with the authority responsible for collecting the tax liability.

For purposes of these representations the following definitions apply:

A Corporation includes any entity that has filed articles of incorporation in any of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or the various territories of the United States [but not foreign corporations]. It includes both forprofit and non-profit organizations.

- **3.** Nondisclosure and Confidentiality Agreements Representations In submitting an application in response to this FOA the applicant represents_that:
 - a. It does not and will not require its employees or contractors to sign internal nondisclosure or confidentiality agreements or statements prohibiting or otherwise restricting its employees or contactors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated investigative or law enforcement representative of a Federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in

- b. It **does not and will not** use any Federal funds to implement or enforce any nondisclosure and/or confidentiality policy, form, or agreement it uses unless it contains the following provisions:
 - (1) "These provisions are consistent with and do not supersede, conflict with, or otherwise alter the employee obligations, rights, or liabilities created by existing statute or Executive order relating to (1) classified information, (2) communications to Congress, (3) the reporting to an Inspector General of a violation of any law, rule, or regulation, or mismanagement, a gross waste of funds, an abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety, or (4) any other whistleblower protection. The definitions, requirements, obligations, rights, sanctions, and liabilities created by controlling Executive orders and statutory provisions are incorporated into this agreement and are controlling."
 - (2) The limitation above shall not contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312, Form 4414, or any other form issued by a Federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.
 - (3) Notwithstanding the provision listed in paragraph (a), a nondisclosure or confidentiality policy form or agreement that is to be executed by a person connected with the conduct of an intelligence or intelligence-related activity, other than an employee or officer of the United States Government, may contain provisions appropriate to the particular activity for which such document is to be used. Such form or agreement shall, at a minimum, require that the person will not disclose any classified information received in the course of such activity unless specifically authorized to do so by the United States Government. Such nondisclosure or confidentiality forms shall also make it clear that they do not bar disclosures to Congress, or to an authorized official of an executive agency or the

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line. Department of Justice, that are essential to reporting a substantial violation of law.

viii. Statement of Federal Stewardship

EERE will exercise normal Federal stewardship in overseeing the project activities performed under EERE Awards. Stewardship Activities include, but are not limited to, conducting site visits; reviewing performance and financial reports, providing assistance and/or temporary intervention in usual circumstances to correct deficiencies that develop during the project; assuring compliance with terms and conditions; and reviewing technical performance after project completion to ensure that the project objectives have been accomplished.

ix. Statement of Substantial Involvement

EERE has substantial involvement in work performed under Awards made as a result of this FOA. EERE does not limit its involvement to the administrative requirements of the Award. Instead, EERE has substantial involvement in the direction and redirection of the technical aspects of the project as a whole. Substantial involvement includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- 1. EERE shares responsibility with the recipient for the management, control, direction, and performance of the Project.
- 2. EERE may intervene in the conduct or performance of work under this Award for programmatic reasons. Intervention includes the interruption or modification of the conduct or performance of project activities.
- 3. EERE may redirect or discontinue funding the Project based on the outcome of EERE's evaluation of the Project at that the Go/No Go decision point(s).
- 4. EERE participates in major project decision-making processes.
- 5. EERE promotes and facilitates technology transfer activities, including disseminating Technology Office results through presentations and publications.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

- 6. EERE participates in project management planning activities, including risk analysis, to ensure EERE Technology Office requirements or limitations are considered in performance of the work elements.
- 7. EERE reviews and approves in a timely manner project plans, including project management, testing and technology transfer plans, and recommending alternate approaches, if the plans do not address the critical programmatic issues.

x. Intellectual Property Management Plan

Within the first quarter of award execution, applicants must submit an executed IP Management Plan between the members of the consortia or team. Applicants proposing an international collaboration in the HVAC&R Topic must include a draft IP Management Plan with the full application.

The award will set forth the treatment of and obligations related to intellectual property rights between EERE and the individual members. The IP Management Plan should describe how the members will handle intellectual property rights and issues between themselves while ensuring compliance with Federal IP laws, regulations, and policies (see Sections VIII.L-VIII.O of this FOA for more details on applicable Federal IP laws and regulations). Guidance regarding the contents of IP Management Plans is available from EERE upon request.

The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of items that the IP Management Plan may cover:

- The treatment of confidential information between members (i.e., the use of non-disclosure agreements);
- The treatment of background IP (e.g., any requirements for identifying it or making it available);
- The treatment of inventions made under the project (e.g., any requirements for disclosing to the other members, filing patent applications, paying for patent prosecution, and cross-licensing or other licensing arrangements between the members);
- The treatment of data produced, including software, under the project (e.g., any publication process or other dissemination strategies, copyrighting strategy or arrangement between members);

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

- Any technology transfer and commercialization requirements or arrangements between the members;
- The treatment of any intellectual property issues that may arise due to a change in membership of the consortia or team; and
- The handling of disputes related to intellectual property between the members.

xi. Subject Invention Utilization Reporting

In order to ensure that Prime Recipients and Subrecipients holding title to subject inventions are taking the appropriate steps to commercialize subject inventions, EERE may require that each Prime Recipient holding title to a subject invention submit annual reports for 10 years from the date the subject invention was disclosed to EERE on the utilization of the subject invention and efforts made by Prime Recipient or their licensees or assignees to stimulate such utilization. The reports must include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the Prime Recipient, and such other data and information as EERE may specify.

xii. Intellectual Property Provisions

The standard DOE financial assistance intellectual property provisions applicable to the various types of recipients are located at http://www1.eere.energy.gov/financing/resources.html.

xiii. Reporting

Reporting requirements are identified on the Federal Assistance Reporting Checklist, attached to the award agreement. The checklist can be accessed at <u>http://www1.eere.energy.gov/financing/resources.html</u>. In addition, all awarded projects will be required to submit data for analysis using the BTO Scout software program (formerly the P-Tool), to consist of the following for the proposed technology: baseline market, anticipated market entry year, absolute performance (e.g., COP, U-value) or, if appropriate, relative efficiency improvement compared to the baseline technology it replaces, total installed cost, expected service lifetime, and other ancillary descriptive information, as well as supporting reference information for these data, if available. These data will be made publicly available via the Scout energy conservation measure (ECM) database, although they will be presented in an anonymous manner without identifying the applicant.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

xiv. Go/No-Go Review

Each project selected under this FOA will be subject to a periodic project evaluation referred to as a Go/No-Go Review. Federal funding beyond the Go/No Go decision point (continuation funding), is contingent on (1) the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) meeting the objectives, milestones, deliverables, and decision point criteria of recipient's approved project and obtaining approval from EERE to continue work on the project; and (3) the submittal of required reports in accordance with the Statement of Project Objectives.

As a result of the Go/No Go Review, DOE may, at its discretion, authorize the following actions: (1) continue to fund the project, contingent upon the availability of funds appropriated by Congress for the purpose of this program and the availability of future-year budget authority; (2) recommend redirection of work under the project; (3) place a hold on federal funding for the project, pending further supporting data or funding; or (4) discontinue funding the project because of insufficient progress, change in strategic direction, or lack of funding.

The Go/No-Go decision is distinct from a non-compliance determination. In the event a recipient fails to comply with the requirements of an award, EERE may take appropriate action, including but not limited to, redirecting, suspending or terminating the award.

xv.Conference Spending

The recipient shall not expend <u>any</u> funds on a conference not directly and programmatically related to the purpose for which the grant or cooperative agreement was awarded that would defray the cost to the United States Government of a conference held by any Executive branch department, agency, board, commission, or office for which the cost to the United States Government would otherwise exceed \$20,000, thereby circumventing the required notification by the head of any such Executive Branch department, agency, board, commission, or office to the Inspector General (or senior ethics official for any entity without an Inspector General), of the date, location, and number of employees attending such conference.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

VII. Questions/Agency Contacts

Upon the issuance of a FOA, EERE personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with applicants regarding the FOA except through the established question and answer process as described below. Specifically, questions regarding the content of this FOA must be submitted to: <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>. Questions must be submitted not later than 3 business days prior to the application due date and time.

All questions and answers related to this FOA will be posted on EERE Exchange at: <u>https://eere-exchange.energy.gov</u>. **Please note that you must first select this specific FOA Number in order to view the questions and answers specific to this FOA**. EERE will attempt to respond to a question within 3 business days, unless a similar question and answer has already been posted on the website.

Questions related to the registration process and use of the EERE Exchange website should be submitted to: <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u>.

VIII. Other Information

A. FOA Modifications

Amendments to this FOA will be posted on the EERE Exchange website and the Grants.gov system. However, you will only receive an email when an amendment or a FOA is posted on these sites if you register for email notifications for this FOA in Grants.gov. EERE recommends that you register as soon after the release of the FOA as possible to ensure you receive timely notice of any amendments or other FOAs.

B. Informational Webinar

EERE will conduct one informational webinar during the FOA process. It will be held after the initial FOA release but before the due date for Concept Papers.

Attendance is not mandatory and will not positively or negatively impact the overall review of any applicant submissions. As the webinar will be open to all applicants who wish to participate, applicants should refrain from asking questions or communicating information that would reveal confidential and/or proprietary information specific to their project. Specific dates for the webinar can be found on the cover page of the FOA.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

C. Government Right to Reject or Negotiate

EERE reserves the right, without qualification, to reject any or all applications received in response to this FOA and to select any application, in whole or in part, as a basis for negotiation and/or award.

D. Commitment of Public Funds

The Contracting Officer is the only individual who can make awards or commit the Government to the expenditure of public funds. A commitment by anyone other than the Contracting Officer, either express or implied, is invalid.

E. Treatment of Application Information

In general, EERE will only use data and other information contained in applications for evaluation purposes, unless such information is generally available to the public or is already the property of the Government.

Applicants should not include trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential in their application unless such information is necessary to convey an understanding of the proposed project or to comply with a requirement in the FOA.

The use of protective markings such as "Do Not Publicly Release – Trade Secret" or "Do Not Publicly Release – Confidential Business Information" is encouraged. However, applicants should be aware that the use of protective markings is not dispositive as to whether information will be publicly released pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175. (See Section I of this document, "Notice of Potential Disclosure Under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" for additional information regarding the public release of information under the Freedom of Information Act.

Applicants are encouraged to employ protective markings in the following manner:

The cover sheet of the application must be marked as follows and identify the specific pages containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential:

Notice of Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data: Pages [list applicable pages] of this document may contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential,

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

and is exempt from public disclosure. Such information shall be used or disclosed only for evaluation purposes or in accordance with a financial assistance or loan agreement between the submitter and the Government. The Government may use or disclose any information that is not appropriately marked or otherwise restricted, regardless of source. [End of Notice]

The header and footer of every page that contains trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged must be marked as follows: "May contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential and exempt from public disclosure."

In addition, each line or paragraph containing trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged or confidential must be enclosed in brackets.

F. Evaluation and Administration by Non-Federal Personnel

In conducting the merit review evaluation, the Go/No-Go Review and Peer Review, the Government may seek the advice of qualified non Federal personnel as reviewers. The Government may also use non-Federal personnel to conduct routine, nondiscretionary administrative activities. The applicant, by submitting its application, consents to the use of non-Federal reviewers/administrators. Non-Federal reviewers must sign conflict of interest and non-disclosure agreements prior to reviewing an application. Non-Federal personnel conducting administrative activities must sign a non-disclosure agreement.

G. Notice Regarding Eligible/Ineligible Activities

Eligible activities under this FOA include those which describe and promote the understanding of scientific and technical aspects of specific energy technologies, but not those which encourage or support political activities such as the collection and dissemination of information related to potential, planned or pending legislation.

H. Notice of Right to Conduct a Review of Financial Capability

EERE reserves the right to conduct an independent third party review of financial capability for applicants that are selected for negotiation of award (including personal credit information of principal(s) of a small business if there is insufficient information to determine financial capability of the organization).

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

I. Notice of Potential Disclosure Under Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Under the Freedom of Information Act, (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. §552, et. seq., as amended by the OPEN Government Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-175, any information received from the Applicant is considered to be an agency record, and as such, subject to public release under FOIA. The purpose of the FOIA is to afford the public the right to request and receive agency records unless those agency records are protected from disclosure under one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions. Decisions to disclose or withhold information received from the Applicant are based upon the applicability of one or more of the nine FOIA exemptions, not on the existence or nonexistence of protective markings or designations. Only the agency's designated FOIA Officer may determine if information received from the Applicant may be withheld pursuant to one of the nine FOIA exemptions. All FOIA requests received by DOE are processed in accordance with 10 C.F.R. Part 1004.

J. Requirement for Full and Complete Disclosure

Applicants are required to make a full and complete disclosure of all information requested. Any failure to make a full and complete disclosure of the requested information may result in:

- The termination of award negotiations;
- The modification, suspension, and/or termination of a funding agreement;
- The initiation of debarment proceedings, debarment, and/or a declaration of ineligibility for receipt of Federal contracts, subcontracts, and financial assistance and benefits; and
- Civil and/or criminal penalties.

K. Retention of Submissions

EERE expects to retain copies of all Concept Papers, Full Applications, Replies to Reviewer Comments, and other submissions. No submissions will be returned. By applying to EERE for funding, applicants consent to EERE's retention of their submissions.

L. Title to Subject Inventions

Ownership of subject inventions is governed pursuant to the authorities listed below.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

- Domestic Small Businesses, Educational Institutions, and Nonprofits: Under the Bayh-Dole Act (35 U.S.C. § 200 et seq.), domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits may elect to retain title to their subject inventions.
- All other parties: The Federal Non-Nuclear Energy Act of 1974, 42. U.S.C.
 5908, provides that the Government obtains title to new inventions unless a waiver is granted (see below).
- Class Patent Waiver:

DOE has issued a class waiver that applies to this FOA. Under this class waiver, domestic large businesses may elect title to their subject inventions similar to the right provided to the domestic small businesses, educational institutions, and nonprofits by law. In order to avail itself of the class waiver, a domestic large business must agree that any products embodying or produced through the use of a subject invention first created or reduced to practice under this program will be substantially manufactured in the United States, unless DOE agrees that the commitments proposed in the U.S. Manufacturing Plan are sufficient.

- Advance and Identified Waivers: Applicants may request a patent waiver that will cover subject inventions that may be invented under the award, in advance of or within 30 days after the effective date of the award. Even if an advance waiver is not requested or the request is denied, the recipient will have a continuing right under the award to request a waiver for identified inventions, i.e., individual subject inventions that are disclosed to EERE within the timeframes set forth in the award's intellectual property terms and conditions. Any patent waiver that may be granted is subject to certain terms and conditions in 10 CFR 784.
- Determination of Exceptional Circumstances (DEC): Each applicant is required to submit a U.S. Manufacturing Plan as part of its application. If selected, the U.S. Manufacturing Plan shall be incorporated into the award terms and conditions for domestic small businesses and nonprofit organizations. DOE has determined that exceptional circumstances exist that warrants the modification of the standard patent rights clause for small businesses and non-profit awardees under Bayh-Dole to the extent necessary to implement and enforce the U.S. Manufacturing Plan. For example, the commitments and enforcement of a U.S. Manufacturing Plan may be tied to subject

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

inventions. Any Bayh-Dole entity (domestic small business or nonprofit organization) affected by this DEC has the right to appeal it.

M. Government Rights in Subject Inventions

Where Prime Recipients and Subrecipients retain title to subject inventions, the U.S. Government retains certain rights.

i. Government Use License

The U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States any subject invention throughout the world. This license extends to contractors doing work on behalf of the Government.

ii. March-In Rights

The U.S. Government retains march-in rights with respect to all subject inventions. Through "march-in rights," the Government may require a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient who has elected to retain title to a subject invention (or their assignees or exclusive licensees), to grant a license for use of the invention to a third party. In addition, the Government may grant licenses for use of the subject invention when a Prime Recipient, Subrecipient, or their assignees and exclusive licensees refuse to do so.

DOE may exercise its march-in rights only if it determines that such action is necessary under any of the four following conditions:

- The owner or licensee has not taken or is not expected to take effective steps to achieve practical application of the invention within a reasonable time;
- The owner or licensee has not taken action to alleviate health or safety needs in a reasonably satisfied manner;
- The owner has not met public use requirements specified by Federal statutes in a reasonably satisfied manner; or
- The U.S. Manufacturing requirement has not been met.

Any determination that march-in rights are warranted must follow a factfinding process in which the recipient has certain rights to present evidence and witnesses, confront witnesses and appear with counsel and appeal any adverse decision. To date, DOE has never exercised its march-in rights to any subject inventions.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

N. Rights in Technical Data

Data rights differ based on whether data is first produced under an award or instead was developed at private expense outside the award.

"Limited Rights Data": The U.S. Government will not normally require delivery of confidential or trade secret-type technical data developed solely at private expense prior to issuance of an award, except as necessary to monitor technical progress and evaluate the potential of proposed technologies to reach specific technical and cost metrics.

Government rights in Technical Data Produced Under Awards: The U.S. Government normally retains unlimited rights in technical data produced under Government financial assistance awards, including the right to distribute to the public. However, pursuant to special statutory authority, certain categories of data generated under EERE awards may be protected from public disclosure for up to five years after the data is generated ("Protected Data"). For awards permitting Protected Data, the protected data must be marked as set forth in the awards intellectual property terms and conditions and a listing of unlimited rights data (i.e., non-protected data) must be inserted into the data clause in the award. In addition, invention disclosures may be protected from public disclosure for a reasonable time in order to allow for filing a patent application.

O. Copyright

The Prime Recipient and Subrecipients may assert copyright in copyrightable works, such as software, first produced under the award without EERE approval. When copyright is asserted, the Government retains a paid-up nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and to perform publicly and display publicly the copyrighted work. This license extends to contractors and others doing work on behalf of the Government. In addition, for those applicants that propose distribution of software as Open-Source Software (OSS), the additional information in Appendix E must be addressed in the application.

P. Personally Identifiable Information (PII)

All information provided by the Applicant must to the greatest extent possible exclude Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The term "personally identifiable information" refers to information which can be used to distinguish or trace an individual's identity, such as their name, social security number, biometric records,

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

etc. alone, or when combined with other personal or identifying information which is linked or linkable to a specific individual, such as date and place of birth, mother's maiden name, etc. (See OMB Memordum M-07-16 dated May 22, 2007, found at:

https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/memoranda/fy2007/m07-16.pdf

By way of example, Applicants must screen resumes to ensure that they do not contain PII such as personal addresses, phone/cell numbers, personal emails and/or SSNs. In short, if the PII is not essential to the application, it should not be in the application.

Q. Annual Compliance Audits

If a for-profit entity is a Prime Recipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of DOE funds during the entity's fiscal year, an annual compliance audit performed by an independent auditor is be required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 910.501 and Subpart F.

If an educational institution, non-profit organization, or state/local government is a Prime Recipient or Subrecipient and has expended \$750,000 or more of Federal funds during the non-Federal entity's fiscal year, then a single or program-specific audit is required. For additional information, please refer to 2 C.F.R. § 200.501 and Subpart F.

Applicants and sub-recipients (if applicable) should propose sufficient costs in the project budget to cover the costs associated with the audit. EERE will share in the cost of the audit at its applicable cost share ratio.

Appendix A – Cost Share Information

Cost Sharing or Cost Matching

The terms "cost sharing" and "cost matching" are often used synonymously. Even the DOE Financial Assistance Regulations, 2 CFR 200.306, use both of the terms in the titles specific to regulations applicable to cost sharing. EERE almost always uses the term "cost sharing," as it conveys the concept that non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. An exception is the State Energy Program Regulation, 10 CFR 420.12, State Matching Contribution. Here "cost matching" for the non-federal share is calculated as a percentage of the Federal funds only, rather than the Total Project Cost.

How Cost Sharing Is Calculated

As stated above, cost sharing is calculated as a percentage of the Total Project Cost. FFRDC costs must be included in Total Project Costs. Following is an example of how to calculate cost sharing amounts for a project with \$1,000,000 in federal funds with a minimum 20% non-federal cost sharing requirement:

- Formula: Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Total Project Cost Example: \$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000
- Formula: Total Project Cost (\$) minus Federal share (\$) = Non-federal share (\$) Example: \$1,250,000 minus \$1,000,000 = \$250,000
- Formula: Non-federal share (\$) divided by Total Project Cost (\$) = Non-federal share (%) Example: \$250,000 divided by \$1,250,000 = 20%

What Qualifies For Cost Sharing

While it is not possible to explain what specifically qualifies for cost sharing in one or even a couple of sentences, in general, if a cost is allowable under the cost principles applicable to the organization incurring the cost and is eligible for reimbursement under an EERE grant or cooperative agreement, then it is allowable as cost share. Conversely, if the cost is not allowable under the cost principles and not eligible for reimbursement, then it is not allowable as cost share. In addition, costs may not be counted as cost share if they are paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

The rules associated with what is allowable as cost share are specific to the type of organization that is receiving funds under the grant or cooperative agreement, though are generally the same for all types of entities. The specific rules applicable to:

- FAR Part 31 for For-Profit entities, (48 CFR Part 31); and
- 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E Cost Principles for all other non-federal entities.

In addition to the regulations referenced above, other factors may also come into play such as timing of donations and length of the project period. For example, the value of ten years of donated maintenance on a project that has a project period of five years would not be fully allowable as cost share. Only the value for the five years of donated maintenance that corresponds to the project period is allowable and may be counted as cost share.

Additionally, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs for either cost share or reimbursement when these costs precede the signing of the appropriation bill that funds the award. In the case of a competitive award, EERE generally does not allow pre-award costs prior to the signing of the Selection Statement by the EERE Selection Official.

DOE Financial Assistance Rules 2 CFR Part 200 as amended by 2 CFR Part 910

As stated above, the rules associated with what is allowable cost share are generally the same for all types of organizations. Following are the rules found to be common, but again, the specifics are contained in the regulations and cost principles specific to the type of entity:

- (A) Acceptable contributions. All contributions, including cash contributions and third party in-kind contributions, must be accepted as part of the Prime Recipient's cost sharing if such contributions meet all of the following criteria:
 - (1) They are verifiable from the recipient's records.
 - (2) They are not included as contributions for any other federally-assisted project or program.
 - (3) They are necessary and reasonable for the proper and efficient accomplishment of project or program objectives.
 - (4) They are allowable under the cost principles applicable to the type of entity incurring the cost as follows:

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

- a. For-profit organizations. Allowability of costs incurred by for-profit organizations and those nonprofit organizations listed in Attachment C to OMB Circular A–122 is determined in accordance with the for-profit cost principles in 48 CFR Part 31 in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, except that patent prosecution costs are not allowable unless specifically authorized in the award document. (v) Commercial Organizations. FAR Subpart 31.2—Contracts with Commercial Organizations
- b. Other types of organizations. For all other non-federal entities, allowability of costs is determined in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Subpart E.
- (5) They are not paid by the Federal Government under another award unless authorized by Federal statute to be used for cost sharing or matching.
- (6) They are provided for in the approved budget.
- (B) Valuing and documenting contributions
 - (1) Valuing recipient's property or services of recipient's employees. Values are established in accordance with the applicable cost principles, which mean that amounts chargeable to the project are determined on the basis of costs incurred. For real property or equipment used on the project, the cost principles authorize depreciation or use charges. The full value of the item may be applied when the item will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award. In cases where the full value of a donated capital asset is to be applied as cost sharing or matching, that full value must be the lesser or the following:
 - a. The certified value of the remaining life of the property recorded in the recipient's accounting records at the time of donation; or
 - b. The current fair market value. If there is sufficient justification, the Contracting Officer may approve the use of the current fair market value of the donated property, even if it exceeds the certified value at the time of donation to the project. The Contracting Officer may accept the use of any reasonable basis for determining the fair market value of the property.
 - (2) Valuing services of others' employees. If an employer other than the recipient furnishes the services of an employee, those services are valued at the employee's regular rate of pay, provided these services are for the same skill level for which the employee is normally paid.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

- (3) Valuing volunteer services. Volunteer services furnished by professional and technical personnel, consultants, and other skilled and unskilled labor may be counted as cost sharing or matching if the service is an integral and necessary part of an approved project or program. Rates for volunteer services must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the recipient's organization. In those markets in which the required skills are not found in the recipient organization, rates must be consistent with those paid for similar work in the labor market in which the recipient competes for the kind of services involved. In either case, paid fringe benefits that are reasonable, allowable, and allocable may be included in the valuation.
- (4) Valuing property donated by third parties.
 - a. Donated supplies may include such items as office supplies or laboratory supplies. Value assessed to donated supplies included in the cost sharing or matching share must be reasonable and must not exceed the fair market value of the property at the time of the donation.
 - b. Normally only depreciation or use charges for equipment and buildings may be applied. However, the fair rental charges for land and the full value of equipment or other capital assets may be allowed, when they will be consumed in the performance of the award or fully depreciated by the end of the award, provided that the Contracting Officer has approved the charges. When use charges are applied, values must be determined in accordance with the usual accounting policies of the recipient, with the following qualifications:
 - i. The value of donated space must not exceed the fair rental value of comparable space as established by an independent appraisal of comparable space and facilities in a privately-owned building in the same locality.
 - ii. The value of loaned equipment must not exceed its fair rental value.
- (5) Documentation. The following requirements pertain to the recipient's supporting records for in-kind contributions from third parties:
 - a. Volunteer services must be documented and, to the extent feasible, supported by the same methods used by the recipient for its own employees.
 - b. The basis for determining the valuation for personal services and property must be documented.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

APPENDIX B – SAMPLE COST SHARE CALCULATION FOR BLENDED COST SHARE PERCENTAGE

The following example shows the math for calculating required cost share for a project with \$2,000,000 in Federal funds with four tasks requiring different Non-federal cost share percentages:

Task	Proposed Federal Share	Federal Share %	Recipient Share %
Task 1 (R&D)	\$1,000,000	80%	20%
Task 2 (R&D)	\$500,000	80%	20%
Task 3 (Demonstration)	\$400,000	50%	50%
Task 4 (Outreach)	\$100,000	100%	0%

Federal share (\$) divided by Federal share (%) = Task Cost

Each task must be calculated individually as follows:

Task 1

\$1,000,000 divided by 80% = \$1,250,000 (Task 1 Cost) Task 1 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share \$1,250,000 - \$1,000,000 = \$250,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 2 \$500,000 divided 80% = \$625,000 (Task 2 Cost) Task 2 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share \$625,000 - \$500,000 = \$125,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 3 \$400,000 / 50% = \$800,000 (Task 3 Cost) Task 3 Cost minus federal share = Non-federal share \$800,000 - \$400,000 = \$400,000 (Non-federal share)

Task 4 Federal share = \$100,000 Non-federal cost share is not mandated for outreach = \$0 (Non-federal share)

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

The calculation may then be completed as follows:

Tasks	\$ Federal	% Federal	\$ Non-Federal	% Non-Federal	Total Project
	Share	Share	Share	Share	Cost
Task 1	\$1,000,000	80%	\$250,000	20%	\$1,250,000
Task 2	\$500,000	80%	\$125,000	20%	\$625,000
Task 3	\$400,000	50%	\$400,000	50%	\$800,000
Task 4	\$100,000	100%	\$0	0%	\$100,000
Totals	\$2,000,000		\$775,000		\$2,775,000

Blended Cost Share %

Non-federal share (\$775,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 27.9% (Non-federal) Federal share (\$2,000,000) divided by Total Project Cost (\$2,775,000) = 72.1% (Federal)

Appendix C – Waiver Requests: Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient and Performance of Work in the United States

1. Waiver for Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient

As set forth in Section III.A.3, all Prime Recipients receiving funding under this FOA must be incorporated (or otherwise formed) under the laws of a State or territory of the United States. To request a waiver of this requirement, an applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application.

Overall, the applicant must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to have a foreign entity serve as the Prime Recipient. A request to waive the *Foreign Entity Participation as the Prime Recipient* requirement must include the following:

- Entity name;
- The rationale for proposing a foreign entity to serve as the Prime Recipient;
- Country of incorporation;
- A description of the project's anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - How the project will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the project will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of how the foreign entity's participation as the Prime Recipient is essential to the project;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the work and the treatment of any such IP;
- Countries where the work will be performed (Note: if any work is proposed to be conducted outside the U.S., the applicant must also complete a separate request for waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement).

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

2. Waiver for Performance of Work in the United States

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

As set forth in Section IV.J.3, all work under EERE funding agreements must be performed in the United States. This requirement does not apply to the purchase of supplies and equipment, so a waiver is not required for foreign purchases of these items. However, the Prime Recipient should make every effort to purchase supplies and equipment within the United States. There may be limited circumstances where it is in the interest of the project to perform a portion of the work outside the United States. To seek a waiver of the Performance of Work in the United States requirement, the applicant must submit an explicit waiver request in the Full Application. A separate waiver request must be submitted for each entity proposing performance of work outside of the United States.

Overall, a waiver request must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EERE that it would further the purposes of this FOA and is otherwise in the economic interests of the United States to perform work outside of the United States. A request to waive the *Performance of Work in the United States states* requirement must include the following:

- The rationale for performing the work outside the U.S. ("foreign work");
- A description of the work proposed to be performed outside the U.S.;
- An explanation as to how the foreign work is essential to the project;
- A description of the anticipated benefits to be realized by the proposed foreign work and the anticipated contributions to the US economy;
 - The associated benefits to be realized and the contribution to the project from the foreign work;
 - How the foreign work will benefit U.S. research, development and manufacturing, including contributions to employment in the U.S. and growth in new markets and jobs in the U.S.;
 - How the foreign work will promote domestic American manufacturing of products and/or services;
- A description of the likelihood of Intellectual Property (IP) being created from the foreign work and the treatment of any such IP;
- The total estimated cost (DOE and Recipient cost share) of the proposed foreign work;
- The countries in which the foreign work is proposed to be performed; and
- The name of the entity that would perform the foreign work.

EERE may require additional information before considering the waiver request.

The applicant does not have the right to appeal EERE's decision concerning a waiver request.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Appendix D - Data Management Plan

A data management plan ("DMP") explains how data generated in the course of the work performed under an EERE award will be shared and preserved or, when justified, explains why data sharing or preservation is not possible or scientifically appropriate.

DMP Requirements

In order for a DMP to be considered acceptable, the DMP must address the following:

At a minimum, the DMP must describe how data sharing and preservation will enable validation of the results from the proposed work, or how results could be validated if data are not shared or preserved.

The DMP must provide a plan for making all research data displayed in publications resulting from the proposed work digitally accessible at the time of publication. This includes data that are displayed in charts, figures, images, etc. In addition, the underlying digital research data used to generate the displayed data, including metadata should be made as accessible as possible in accordance with the principles stated above. This requirement could be met by including the data as supplementary information to the published article, or through other means. The published article should indicate how these data can be accessed. If the data are made accessible through means not provided by the publisher, the DMP must describe plans to ensure data preservation.

The DMP should consult and reference available information about data management resources to be used in the course of the proposed work. In particular, a DMP that explicitly or implicitly commits data management resources at a facility beyond what is conventionally made available to approved users should be accompanied by written approval from that facility. In determining the resources available for data management at DOE User Facilities, researchers should consult the published description of data management resources and practices at that facility and reference it in the DMP. Information about other DOE facilities can be found in the additional guidance from the sponsoring program.

The DMP must protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness; and otherwise be

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

consistent with all laws (i.e., export control laws), and DOE regulations, orders, and policies.

Data Determination for a DMP

The Principal Investigator should determine which data should be the subject of the DMP and, in the DMP, propose which data should be shared and/or preserved in accordance with the DMP Requirements noted above.

For data that will be generated through the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate what types of data should be protected from immediate public disclosure by DOE (referred to as "protected data") and what types of data that DOE should be able to release immediately. Similarly, for data developed outside of the proposed work at private expense that will be used in the course of the proposed work, the Principal Investigator should indicate whether that type of data will be subject to public release or kept confidential (referred to as "limited rights data"). Any use of limited rights data or labeling of data as "protected data" must be consistent with the DMP Requirements noted above.

Suggested Elements for a DMP

The following list of elements for a DMP provides suggestions that may aid the data management planning process and indicates a possible structure for the DMP:

Data Types and Sources: A brief, high-level description of the data to be generated or used through the course of the proposed work and which of these are considered digital research data necessary to validate the research findings or results.

Content and Format: A statement of plans for data and metadata content and format including, where applicable, a description of documentation plans, annotation of relevant software, and the rationale for the selection of appropriate standards. Existing, accepted community standards should be used where possible. Where community standards are missing or inadequate, the DMP could propose alternate strategies for facilitating sharing, and should advise the sponsoring program of any need to develop or generalize standards.

Sharing and Preservation: A description of the plans for data sharing and preservation. This should include, when appropriate: the anticipated means for sharing and the rationale for any restrictions on who may access the data and under what conditions; a timeline for sharing and preservation that addresses both the minimum length of time the data will be available and any anticipated delay to data access after research findings are published;

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

any special requirements for data sharing, for example, proprietary software needed to access or interpret data, applicable policies, provisions, and licenses for re-use and redistribution, and for the production of derivatives, including guidance for how data and data products should be cited; any resources and capabilities (equipment, connections, systems, software, expertise, etc.) requested in the research proposal that are needed to meet the stated goals for sharing and preservation (this could reference the relevant section of the associated research proposal and budget request); and whether/where the data will be preserved after direct project funding ends and any plans for the transfer of responsibilities for sharing and preservation.

Protection: A statement of plans, where appropriate and necessary, to protect confidentiality, personal privacy, Personally Identifiable Information, and U.S. national, homeland, and economic security; recognize proprietary interests, business confidential information, and intellectual property rights; and avoid significant negative impact on innovation, and U.S. competitiveness.

Rationale: A discussion of the rationale or justification for the proposed data management plan including, for example, the potential impact of the data within the immediate field and in other fields, and any broader societal impact.

Additional Guidance

In determining which data should be shared and preserved, researchers must consider the data needed to validate research findings as described in the Requirements, and are encouraged to consider the potential benefits of their data to their own fields of research, fields other than their own, and society at large.

DMPs should reflect relevant standards and community best practices and make use of community accepted repositories whenever practicable.

Costs associated with the scope of work and resources articulated in a DMP may be included in the proposed research budget as permitted by the applicable cost principles.

To improve the discoverability of and attribution for datasets created and used in the course of research, EERE encourages the citation of publicly available datasets within the reference section of publications, and the identification of datasets with persistent identifiers such as Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs). In most cases, EERE can provide DOIs free of charge for data resulting from DOE-funded research through its Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) DataID Service.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Definitions

Data Preservation: Data preservation means providing for the usability of data beyond the lifetime of the research activity that generated them.

Data Sharing: Data sharing means making data available to people other than those who have generated them. Examples of data sharing range from bilateral communications with colleagues, to providing free, unrestricted access to anyone through, for example, a webbased platform.

Digital Research Data: Digital research data encompasses a wide variety of information stored in digital form including: experimental, observational, and simulation data; codes, software and algorithms; text; numeric information; images; video; audio; and associated metadata. It also encompasses information in a variety of different forms including raw, processed, and analyzed data, published and archived data.

Metadata: Metadata are the high-level data that describe the characteristics of other data and are often critical to successful use of digital research data by individuals other than the creators. The form and content of metadata should follow community-accepted taxonomies, vocabularies, or data dictionaries, if applicable.

Research Data: The recorded factual material commonly accepted in the scientific community as necessary to validate research findings, but not any of the following: preliminary analyses, drafts of scientific papers, plans for future research, peer reviews, or communications with colleagues. This 'recorded' material excludes physical objects (e.g., laboratory samples). Research data also do not include:

(A) Trade secrets, commercial information, materials necessary to be held confidential by a researcher until they are published, or similar information which is protected under law; and

(B) Personnel and medical information and similar information the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, such as information that could be used to identify a particular person in a research study."

Validate: In the context of DMPs, validate means to support, corroborate, verify, or otherwise determine the legitimacy of the research findings. Validation of research findings could be accomplished by reproducing the original experiment or analyses; comparing and contrasting the results against those of a new experiment or analyses; or by some other means.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Appendix E – Open Source Software

Open Source Software Distribution Plan.

Open source software distribution is not required for this FOA. Applicants that propose developing software that is open source must submit a plan describing how software produced under this FOA will be distributed. For a DOE National Laboratory or a FFRDC, the data rights clause, including rights and requirements pertaining to computer software, in its Management and Operating (M&O) Contract shall apply and shall take precedence over any requirement set forth in this Appendix. The plan must include the following elements:

- 1. A complete description of any existing software that will be modified or incorporated into software produced under this FOA, including a description of the license rights. The license rights must allow the modified or incorporated software to be distributed as open source.
- A discussion of the open source license that the applicant plans to use for the software it plans to produce under the FOA, and how that choice furthers the goals of this FOA. The discussion must also address how the license conforms to the conditions listed below.
- 3. A method for depositing the software in a source code repository.
- 4. A method for sharing and disseminating the software and other information to team members or others when multiple parties will contribute to the development of the software or the FOA requires that the software or other information be shared or disseminated to others.

Open Source Definition: Open source licenses must conform to all of the following conditions:

Free Redistribution

The license shall not restrict any party from selling or giving away the software as a component of an aggregate software distribution containing programs from several different sources. The license shall not require a royalty or other fee for such sale. The rights attached to the software must apply to all to whom the software is redistributed without the need for execution of an additional license by those parties.

Source Code

The program must include source code, and must allow distribution in source code as well as compiled form. Where some form of a product is not distributed with source code, there must

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

be a well-publicized means of obtaining the source code for no more than a reasonable reproduction cost preferably, i.e., downloading via the Internet without charge. The source code must be the preferred form in which a programmer would modify the program. Deliberately obfuscated source code and intermediate forms such as the output of a preprocessor or translator are not allowed.

Derived Works

The license must allow modifications and derived works, and permit the option of distributing the modifications and derived works under the same terms as the license of the original software.

Integrity of the Author's Source Code

The license may restrict source-code from being distributed in modified form only if the license allows the distribution of "patch files" with the source code for the purpose of modifying the program at build time. The license must explicitly permit distribution of software built from modified source code. The license may require derived works to carry a different name or version number from the original software.

No Restriction Against Fields of Endeavor

The license must not restrict anyone from making use of the program in a specific field of endeavor. For example, it may not restrict the program from being used in a business, or from being used for genetic research.

License Must Not Be Specific to a Product or Technology

The rights attached to the program must not depend on the program's being part of a particular software distribution. If the program is extracted from that distribution and used or distributed within the terms of the program's license, all parties to whom the program is redistributed should have the same rights as those that are granted in conjunction with the original software distribution. No provision of the license may be predicated on any individual technology or style of interface.

License Must Not Restrict Other Software

The license must not place restrictions on other software that is distributed along with the licensed software. For example, the license must not insist that all other programs distributed on the same medium must be open-source software.

Examples of Acceptable Licenses

Apache License, 2.0 http://www.apache.org/licenses

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

The 2.0 version of the Apache License was approved by the Apache Software Foundation (ASF) in 2004. The goals of this license revision were to reduce the number of frequently asked questions, to allow the license to be reusable without modification by any project (including non-ASF projects), to allow the license to be included by reference instead of listed in every file, to clarify the license on submission of contributions, to require a patent license on contributions that necessarily infringe the contributor's own patents, and to move comments regarding Apache and other inherited attribution notices to a location outside the license terms

The result is a license that is compatible with other open source licenses, while remaining true to and supportive of collaborative development across both nonprofit and commercial organizations.

All packages produced by the ASF are implicitly licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0, unless otherwise explicitly stated.

BSD-3 or "Revised Berkeley Software Distribution License" https://opensource.org/licenses/BSD-3-Clause

BSD is both a license and a class of licenses (generally referred to as BSD-like). The modified BSD license (in wide use today) is very similar to the license originally used for the BSD version of Unix. The BSD license is a simple license that merely requires that all code licensed under the BSD license be licensed under the BSD license if redistributed in source code format. BSD (unlike some other licenses) does not require that source code be distributed at all. While the original license is sometimes referred to as "BSD-old", the resulting 3-clause version is sometimes referred to by "BSD-new." Other names include "New BSD", "revised BSD", "BSD-3", or "3-clause BSD". This version allows unlimited redistribution for any purpose as long as its copyright notices and the license's disclaimers of warranty are maintained. The license also contains a clause restricting use of the names of contributors for endorsement of a derived work without specific permission.

GNU Library or "Lesser" General Public License (LGPLv3) http://www.gnu.org/licenses/lgpl.html

The GNU Lesser General Public License (formerly the GNU Library General Public License) or LGPL is a free software license published by the Free Software Foundation (FSF). It was designed as a compromise between the strong-copyleft GNU General Public License or GPL and permissive licenses such as the BSD licenses and the MIT License. The GNU Library General Public License (as the LGPL was originally named) was published in 1991, and adopted the version number 2 for parity with GPL version 2. The LGPL was revised in minor ways in the 2.1 point release, published in 1999, when it was renamed the GNU Lesser General Public License

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

to reflect the FSF's position that not all libraries should use it. Version 3 of the LGPL was published in 2007 as a list of additional permissions applied to GPL version 3.

The LGPL places copyleft restrictions on the program governed under it but does not apply these restrictions to other software that merely link with the program. There are, however, certain other restrictions on this software.

The LGPL is primarily used for software libraries, although it is also used by some stand-alone applications, most notably Mozilla and OpenOffice.org.

The MIT License (MIT) http://opensource.org/licenses/MIT

The MIT License is a free software license originating at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT). It is a permissive license, meaning that it permits reuse within proprietary software provided all copies of the licensed software include a copy of the MIT License terms. Such proprietary software retains its proprietary nature even though it incorporates software under the MIT License. The license is also GPL-compatible, meaning that the GPL permits combination and redistribution with software that uses the MIT License.

Software packages that use one of the versions of the MIT License include Expat, PuTTY, the Mono development platform class libraries, Ruby on Rails, Lua (from version 5.0 onwards), and the X Window System, for which the license was written.

Mozilla Public License 2.0 (MPL-2.0) http://www.mozilla.org/MPL/2.0/

The Mozilla Public License (MPL) is a free and open source software license. Version 1.0 was developed by Mitchell Baker when she worked as a lawyer at Netscape Communications Corporation and version 1.1 at the Mozilla Foundation. Version 2.0 was developed in the open, overseen by Baker and led by Louis Villa. The MPL is characterized as a hybridization of the modified BSD license and GNU General Public License.

The MPL is the license for the Mozilla Application Suite, Mozilla Firefox, Mozilla Thunderbird and other Mozilla software. The MPL has been adapted by others as a license for their software, most notably Sun Microsystems, as the Common Development and Distribution License for OpenSolaris, the open source version of the Solaris 10 operating system, and by Adobe, as the license for its Flex product line.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

APPENDIX F – TECHNICAL POTENTIAL AND PAYBACK CALCULATION

One performance metric used to evaluate applications will be the 2030 primary energy savings technical potential. Each application must describe a technology or approach that leads to a minimum annual primary energy savings technical potential in 2030 of at least 250 Trillion British Thermal Units (TBtu), i.e., 0.25 Quads. All applicants proposing a technology innovation should provide the *Primary Energy Savings Technical Potential* (TBtu), and the *Simple Payback* (years). The *Primary Energy Savings Technical Potential* is calculated from Eq. F1:

Primary Energy Savings		% Energy Savings] [2030 Energy Market]
Technical Potential	-	Over Typical New	×	Size	(F1)
(TBtu)		Technology		(TBtu)	

The 2030 Energy Market Size (TBtu) can be determined from the building type addressed by the technology (residential or commercial), the end use (cooling, lighting, cooking, refrigeration, etc.), the climate zone (1 - 5), and other information. The <u>BTO Market Calculator</u> (http://trynthink.github.io/scout/calculator.html) tool facilitates the determination of the 2030 Energy Market Size. If a proposed technology or approach affects energy use in multiple end uses (e.g. an HVAC technology that operates in both heating and cooling modes), the BTO Market Calculator will need to be used twice to obtain the market size for each affected end use. Detailed instructions on how to use the BTO Market Calculator are provided on the website.

The "Typical New Technology" in Eq. F1 is the technology that is being replaced. For "covered" technologies, that is, technologies subject to minimum efficiency standards,²⁷ Applicants should assume the efficiency of the "Typical New Technology" to be greater than or equal to the applicable efficiency standard. For "covered" and other technologies, Table F1 presents the projected 2030 stock and average stock efficiency for a variety of residential equipment that may be used in this calculation. Corresponding 2030 average stock efficiencies for commercial units are provided in Table F2. In all cases applicants should ensure that if a "covered" technology is being replaced, the efficiency of the "Typical New Technology" is equal to or greater than the applicable efficiency standard.

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

²⁷ http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards_test_procedures.html

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Equipment Class	Stock (million units)	Stock Average Efficiency
Main Space Heaters		
Electric Heat Pumps (HSPF)	15.30	8.81
Natural Gas Heat Pumps (GCOP)	0.38	1.30
Geothermal Heat Pumps (COP)	1.69	3.45
Natural Gas Furnace (AFUE)	67.19	0.85
Distillate Furnace (AFUE)	5.59	0.87
Space Cooling		
Electric Heat Pumps (SEER)	15.30	14.08
Natural Gas Heat Pumps (GCOP)	0.38	0.67
Geothermal Heat Pumps (EER)	1.69	15.77
Central Air Conditioners (SEER)	76.96	13.61
Room Air Conditioners (EER)	47.05	10.52
Water Heaters		
Electric (EF)	60.56	0.97
Natural Gas (EF)	65.28	0.63
Distillate Fuel Oil (EF)	1.64	0.62
Propane (EF)	2.32	0.62
Refrigeration		
Refrigerators (kW.hr/yr)	166.17	479.30
Freezers (kW.hr/yr)	43.36	412.56

Table F1 2030 Residential equipment stock and average efficiency²⁸

http://www.eia.gov/oiaf/aeo/tablebrowser/#release= AEO 2015 & subject = 12-AEO 2015 & table = 30-AEO 2015 &

²⁸ Residential Sector Equipment Stock and Efficiency, Reference case:

AEO2015®ion=0-0&cases=ref2015-d021915a

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

subject line.

Table F2 2030 Commercial equipment average efficiency²⁹

Equipment Class	Stock Average Efficiency ³⁰		
Space Heating			
Electricity	1.63		
Natural Gas	0.78		
Distillate Fuel Oil	0.80		
Space Cooling			
Electricity	3.75		
Natural Gas	0.98		
Water Heating			
Electricity	1.10		
Natural Gas	0.91		
Distillate Fuel Oil	0.79		
Ventilation (cfm/Btu)	0.50		
Refrigeration	3.16		

If the provided information is not used to calculate the *Energy Market Size* (TBtu), then a comparable approach can be applied, with corresponding justification.

A second performance metric used to evaluate applications will be the cost effectiveness, as measured by the *Simple Payback*. This will be applicable only to technology innovations, and not to other innovations such as design tools or enabling technologies for which primary energy savings and/or payback are difficult to describe. Proposers should compute the *Simple Payback* for their proposed technology innovation per Eq. F2:

²⁹ Commercial Sector Energy Consumption, Floorspace, and Equipment Efficiency, Reference case: <u>http://www.eia.gov/oiaf/aeo/tablebrowser/#release=AEO2015&subject=13-AEO2015&table=32-</u> <u>AEO2015®ion=0-0&cases=ref2015-d021915a</u>. Note that the stock (millions of units) are not available from this

source.

³⁰ Unless noted otherwise, efficiencies are in units of Btu's of energy output divided by Btu's of energy input. *Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.*

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.
$$\begin{bmatrix} Simple \\ Payback \\ (Yr) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} Incremental Initial \\ Cost of Proposed \\ Technology at Scale (\$) \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} Cost \\ Savings (\frac{\$}{Yr}) \end{bmatrix}}$$
(F2)
$$= \frac{\begin{bmatrix} Incremental Initial \\ Cost of Proposed \\ Technology at Scale (\$) \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} Unit Energy Consumed by \\ Typical New Technology \\ Per Year (kWh/Yr) \end{bmatrix}} \begin{bmatrix} Energy \\ Cost (\frac{\$}{kWh}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \% Energy Savings \\ Over Typical New \\ Technology \end{bmatrix}$$

where the Incremental Initial Cost of Proposed Technology at Scale (\$) is computed from

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Incremental Initial} \\ \text{Cost of Proposed} \\ \text{Technology at Scale}(\$) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Unit Cost of} \\ \text{Proposed Technology} \\ \text{at Scale}(\$) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \text{Unit Cost of} \\ \text{Typical New} \\ \text{Technology}(\$) \end{bmatrix}$ (F3)

Note that the % Energy Savings Over Typical New Technology term in Eq. F2 is the same as that in Eq. F1. The "Energy Cost" can be specified alternatively in \$/MMBtu (i.e., for natural-gas-fired systems), or in whatever units are most appropriate. The nationally averaged energy costs specified in Table F3 *must* be used for this calculation. The proposer should describe, and provide supporting documentation, what they consider to be an acceptable maximum payback (in years), which can vary significantly depending on the end use.

Table F3 Retail energy 2015 pricing (year-to-date)

Sector	Electricity, ¢/kWh ³¹	Natural Gas	
		\$/Thousand Cubic Feet ³²	\$/MMBTU ³³
Residential	12.64	12.36	12.02
Commercial	10.65	8.15	7.93

³¹ http://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.cfm?t=epmt_5_3

 $^{^{32}\,}http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_pri_sum_a_EPG0_PCS_DMcf_a.htm$

³³ http://www.eia.gov/tools/faqs/faq.cfm?id=45&t=8

Questions about this FOA? Email <u>BENEFIT17@ee.doe.gov</u>.

Problems with EERE Exchange? Email EERE- <u>EERE-ExchangeSupport@hq.doe.gov</u> Include FOA name and number in subject line.

Proposers of non-technological solutions, e.g., modeling approaches, are also required to provide an estimate of primary energy savings potentially resulting from their innovation, as well as an analysis of their cost effectiveness. The approaches used in these analyses need to be appropriately justified.